FUNCTIONAL SERVICING & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

PRIMONT (THOROLD/WELLAND) INC.

436 QUAKER ROAD, WELLAND

Project No.: 2022-0091-10

July 22, 2024



PRIMONT (THOROLD/WELLAND) INC.

FUNCTIONAL SERVICING & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT 436 Quaker Road, Welland

Table of Contents

1.0	INTR	ODUCTION	Page
	1.1	Background	
	1.2	Proposed Draft Plan	
	1.3	Reference Reports and Drawings	
2.0	EXIS		4
	2.1	Topography and Land Use	4
	2.2	Geotechnical and Hydrogeological Conditions	5
	2.3	Traffic Impact Review	5
	2.4	Archaeological Assessment	6
	2.5	Existing Servicing	7
3.0	REVI	EW AGENCIES	9
	3.1	City of Welland	9
	3.2	City of Thorold	10
	3.3	Niagara Region	10
	3.4	Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority	10
	3.5	Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks	10
4.0	PROF	POSED DEVELOPMENT	
5.0	SANI	TARY SERVICING	11
	5.1	Design Criteria	11
	5.2	Sanitary Demands	
	5.3	Service Design and System Layout	12
	5.4	Sanitary System Constraints	13
6.0	WAT	ER SERVICING	15
	6.1	Design Criteria	15
	6.2	Domestic Demand	16
	6.3	Fire Flow Demand	16
	6.4	Service Design and Modelling	16
	6.5	Water Distribution System Constraints	17
7.0	STOF	RM SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	

	7.1	Stormwater Management Criteria	19
	7.2	Pre-Development Conditions	19
	7.3	Post-Development Conditions	20
	7.4	Water Quantity Control	21
	7.5	Water Quality and Erosion Control	25
8.0	GRADI	NG	26
9.0	EROSI	ON AND SEDIMENT CONTROL	27
10.0	CONC	LUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	28
	10.1	Recommendations	29

APPENDICES

Appendix A	A.T. McLaren Limited Draft Plan of Subdivision
Appendix B	Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet
Appendix C	Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report
Appendix D	Stormwater Management Information
Appendix E	FUS Fire Protection Calculations
Appendix F	Geotechnical Investigation
Appendix G	Hydrogeological Study and Wetland Water Balance
Appendix H	Drawings

1.0 INTRODUCTION

WalterFedy Inc. has been retained by Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc. to provide Civil Engineering Consulting services in support of a Draft Plan of Subdivision for the lands located at 436 Quaker Road in the City of Welland, hereafter referred to as the Subject Lands. The site is located within the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan (NWSP) Area.

The purpose of this report is to provide an overview of how the development can be serviced and identify connections to existing and future adjacent municipal infrastructure. The report will discuss the existing conditions, the capacity of the municipal systems, and will identify how the development can be accommodated with storm and sanitary sewer and watermain sizing presented to demonstrate compliance with the City of Welland guidelines and criteria. In addition, the report will summarize the Stormwater Management (SWM) strategy to address requirements of the City of Welland and the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA).

The report will also discuss the potential for servicing additional lands owned by the proponent located within the City of Thorold via an extension of sanitary and water servicing through the Subject Lands.

1.1 Background

The 30.1 ha Subject Lands are located in the northwestern quadrant of the intersection of Quaker Road and First Avenue within the town of Welland. The lands are bound existing residential lands and future development lands to the west, Quaker Road to the south, First Avenue to the east, and Welland and Thorold's Municipal boundary to the north. As previously, noted, the Subject Lands are located in the NWSP Area. Refer



Figure **1**.1 for the Site Location.

Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Report 436 Quaker Road, Welland.



Figure 1.1: Site Location¹

The current land use of the Subject Lands is a mix of agricultural and open space. The open space within the Blocks also encompasses environmentally-regulated areas which includes a Provincially Significant Wetlands (PSW).

As previously noted, an additional 30.3 ha of land is owned by the proponent, north of the Subject Lands and within the municipal boundary of the City of Thorold, herein after noted as the Thorold Lands. As with the Subject Site, these lands are currently used for agricultural purposes and open space.

1.2 Proposed Draft Plan

A Draft Plan of Subdivision was prepared by A.T McLaren Ltd. Refer to Figure 1.2 and Appendix A implements the Official Plan land uses comprised of a mix of residential densities (i.e., single-detached homes, townhouses, multi-unit blocks, etc.), SWM facilities, a block for the realigned Towpath Drain, public park spaces, and associated roads including a proposed collector traversing through the Subject Lands linking future intersections at First Avenue and Quaker Road to the east and the south, respectively.

¹ Mapping taken from Region of Niagara GIS Website: https://maps.niagararegion.ca/Navigator/?viewer=npi, October 3, 2022

Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Report 436 Quaker Road, Welland.



Figure 1.2: Draft Plan

1.3 Reference Reports and Drawings

The following were referenced in the preparation of this Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Report:

- 1. <u>City of Welland Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report</u>, by Associated Engineering, December 2023.
- 2. <u>City of Welland Municipal Standards</u>, February 2013
- 3. Hydrogeologic Study and Wetland Water Balance, by Terra-Dynamics Consulting Inc., June 2024
- 4. Environmental Impact Study, by GEI, June 2024.
- <u>Geotechnical Investigation and Hydrogeological Considerations Proposed residential development</u> <u>Quaker Road and First Avenue Welland, Ontario, by Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants LTD. Revised</u> June 2024.
- 6. <u>Technical Memorandum Primont Welland and Thorold Road Network</u>, by CGH, November 2022.
- 7. <u>Welland Northwest Area Secondary Plan Stormwater Management Plan</u>, prepared by Aquafor Beech Limited, dated February 30, 2020.
- 8. <u>Draft Northwest Welland Stormwater Management Implementation Plan</u>, prepared by Upper Canada Consultants, dated August 22, 2022.

- 9. <u>Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual</u>, prepared by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP), March 2019.
- 10. <u>Niagara Watershed Plan (Equivalency) Volume 1: Characterization</u>, prepared by Wood, May 16, 2022
- 11. <u>Niagara Region Water and Wastewater Master Servicing Plan Update</u>, 2021, prepared by GM Blue Plan Engineering, December 2023.
- 12. <u>Region of Niagara's 2022 Development Charges Background Study, 2022</u>, prepared by Watson and Associates Economists Ltd.
- 13. <u>City of Thorold City Wide Water Service Master Plan (Draft)</u>, prepared by GM Blue Plan Engineering, November 2019
- 14. Official Plan of the City of Thorold, Aril 2016
- 15. <u>436 Quaker Road Review and Entry into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports</u>: prepared by Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism (MCM) September 20, 2023
- 16. <u>Lot 228 Quaker Road Review and Entry into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports</u>: prepared by Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism (MCM) September 20, 2023
- 17. <u>City of Welland Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report:</u> prepared by Associated Engineering December 2023
- 18. Transportation Impact Study Primont 436 Quaker Road, Welland by CGH, June 2024.
- 19. Plan, Profile, Storm, Sanitary, and Watermain Information provided by the City of Welland and Region of Niagara.
- 20. Topographic Information provided by J.D. Barnes Limited and Upper Canada Consultants.

2.0 EXISTING INFORMATION

2.1 Topography and Land Use

The Subject Lands have historically been agricultural and open space land uses. Topographic information was obtained from surveys completed by J.D. Barnes (2022), and Upper Canada Consultants (2022). This topographic information was supplemented with OMARFA Lidar provided by the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF).

As previously noted, the Subject Lands are bound by existing residential lands and future development lands to the west, Quaker Road to the south, First Avenue to the east, and additional lands of the owner north of the municipal boundary (Thorold Lands). The topography of the site varies from 185 m to 183 m across the agricultural field, with lower grades of 182 m to 181 m throughout the wetlands. The subject lands generally slope towards the wetlands and watercourse (Towpath Drain) which bisects the property and drains from west-to-east. Refer to the Existing Conditions Drawing in Appendix E.

2.2 Geotechnical and Hydrogeological Conditions

The geotechnical assessment was undertaken by Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd. 16 boreholes were drilled in October 2021, and were advanced between 6.7 m and 6.3 m below grade, with three deep boreholes drilled in March 2022 to a depth of 35.6 m below grade, and an additional 13 boreholes advanced to depths of 6.7 m to 20.4 m below the existing ground surface in December 2022. The boreholes indicate a layer of topsoil generally ranging in thickness between 0.2 and 0.35 metres, with one borehole (BH21-16) recording a topsoil depth of 0.6m. Field and laboratory testing demonstrated the native soils consist of silty clay with traces of sand to silt to sandy silt with some clay at lower levels. The clay and silt soils would generally behave as a cohesive material with slight to medium plasticity, and low hydraulic conductivity, on the order of 10^{-7} to 10^{-8} cm/sec and would be of low permeability to effectively impermeable. Refer to Appendix F for the Geotechnical Investigation and Hydrogeological Considerations Report

The Hydrogeological Assessments was undertaken by Terra-Dynamics Consulting Inc. with 16 monitoring wells drilled starting in November 2021. Based on the results found with the monitoring wells, the static seasonal groundwater level tends to be relatively shallow across much of the site and flows east towards First Avenue; typically ranging between 0.2 m and 0.5 m below existing grades. Refer to Appendix G for the Hydrogeological Assessment and the Groundwater Monitoring results.

An Environmental Impact Study (EIS) was initiated as part of the Draft Plan submission by GEI. Significant areas of interest were identified within Primont's Lands. There is a Provincially Significant Wetland (PSW) on the subject lands, referred to as the Niagara Street Cataract Road Woodlot PSW Complex, as mapped by the MNRF. This PSW has been ground-verified by GEI and NPCA. This PSW complex was determined not to include some smaller wetlands present on site and was evaluated under the revised Ontario Wetland Evaluation System (OWES; 2022). Through evaluation, GEI confirmed that all five of the smaller wetlands can be classified as "unevaluated" and will be treated as non-significant from a planning perspective.

In conjunction with the Hydrogeological Assessment, a water balance has been completed to confirm if the wetlands on the site are hydraulically connected. Terra-Dynamics Consulting Inc. has established, through the monitoring of the site and the soil composition, that the wetlands are not hydraulically connected and are only fed by precipitation. Based on these findings and the high elevations of groundwater, additional low-impact development (LID) will not function as designed and is therefore not incorporated into the SWM strategy. The site will be graded to allow proposed residential units backing onto the wetlands to sheet flow clean runoff to the wetlands.

2.3 Traffic Impact Review

A Road Network Technical Memorandum was completed by CGH Transportation, which summarizes transportation assessments, Municipal Class Environmental Assessment studies, and future road widenings in the NWSP. CGH Transportation has completed a Traffic Impact Study (TIS). The report states the impact of the proposed development on the surrounding study area road network is relatively minor compared to the other developments in the area and can be mitigated by network signal improvement and the proposed development application is recommended to proceed from a transportation perspective.

2.4 Archaeological Assessment

A combined Stage 1 and Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment was completed by ASI and added to the provincial registry on June 2 and September 20, 2023, respectively. These assessments confirmed further assessment and investigation were required; as such, in the Spring of 2023, Stantec commenced additional fieldwork on areas identified for further investigation in the previous assessments in support of Stage 3 and Stage 4 Archeological Assessment. Refer to Figure 2.1, which indicates areas requiring Stage 3 and 4 Assessments, utilizing the Land Titles Reference plan prepared by A.T. Mclaren Limited as the base.



Figure 2.1: Archaeological Assessment Area

It should be noted that all field work for the Stage 3 and Stage 4 assessments have been carried out in coordination with the First Nations, with representatives from First Nations overseeing the excavation activities.

2.5 Existing Servicing

2.5.1 Sanitary Servicing

Wastewater servicing within the Region of Niagara operates under a two-tier system, with the Region being responsible for the operation and maintenance of the pumping stations (SPSs), force mains, trunk sewers, and wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs). The local municipality is responsible for the smaller gravity mains within the local roads and conveyance to the regional system. Refer to Figure 2.1 for the layout of the Regional Sanitary system servicing the NWSP Area.



Figure 2.2: Existing Wastewater Main Connection Points²

A 750-mm diameter regional wastewater main is located adjacent to the Subject Lands frontage of the Quaker Road right-of-way, outletting to the Towpath Road Trunk Sewer before being conveyed to the Towpath SPS and then pumped via forcemain under the Recreational Canal and Welland River to the Woodlawn Road Trunk Sewer and ultimately to the Welland WWTP. There are no existing wastewater mains within the First Avenue right-of-way north of Quaker Road.

2.5.2 Storm Servicing

Currently there are no storm sewers adjacent to the site on either Quaker Road or First Avenue, which both utilize roadside ditches outletting to the Towpath drain. Under pre-development conditions, the undeveloped site sheet flows to wetlands and ultimately outlets to a 1800 mm culvert crossing under First Avenue, discharging to the Towpath Drain. It should be noted that phase one of the design and approval of the channelization of the Towpath Drain has been completed. Additional wetland and channel monitoring is currently underway and will wrap up in May 2024. At that time phase 2 of the Channel design can be completed which includes the sizing of the future culvert under First Avenue.

² Mapping taken from Region of Niagara GIS Website: https://maps.niagararegion.ca/Navigator/?viewer=npi, September 29, 2022

2.5.3 Water Servicing

Available water servicing for the site includes the 300-mm-diameter watermain owned and operated by the City of Welland, located adjacent to the site frontage on Quaker Road. There is no watermain within the First Avenue right-of-way north of Quaker Road. Drinking water is supplied by the Welland Water Treatment Plant (WTP) and pumped to the Shoalt's Drive Reservoir. When the WTP pumps are offline, the area is mainly supplied by gravity by the reservoir, with the Bemis Elevated Storage Tank (located in the southern portion of the City of Welland) system providing supplementary flows. Refer to Figure 2.3 for the existing watermain network.

Associated Engineering's hydraulic modeling of the municipal system, based on increased future demands and without the demands of the NWSP Area, found that under the current operating configuration, the existing municipal water system was unable to maintain adequate pressure due to a mid-morning shutdown at the WTP. The Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report found that by adjusting the operation of the pumps (i.e. eliminating the mid-morning shutdown) adequate pressure could be maintained throughout the existing system.

When the modeling using the adjusted pump operations schedule included the NWSP Area, it was found that the system would function within the City's operating criteria in all areas below an elevation of 190.0 m. In areas higher than 190.0 m, it was found the hydraulic grade line was too low and an operating pressure of 275 kPa could not be achieved. Further modeling by Associated Engineering found that no modifications to the system could be made to address the low pressure. The Subject Site generally has finished grades in the range of 185m – 187m which enables the system to provide appropriate operation pressures. Refer to Appendix C for the report by Associated Engineering.

2.5.4 Other Utilities

The development will require review by other utility providers for the supply and installation of services including, but not limited to, hydro, gas, and telecommunications (cable and fiber). A desktop review noted that the various utility services (Welland Hydro, Bell Canada, and Cogeco) are currently available on roadside utility poles along Quaker Road. There is a 150-mm-diameter gas main on the northern side of Quaker Road and the eastern side of First Avenue. It is anticipated that each of these utilities will identify their specific requirements through the standard application circulation, review, and design process as required. The utility design process is to be initiated at the same time as the second submission of detailed engineering drawings.



Figure 2.3: Existing Watermain in the City of Welland³

³ Mapping taken from Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report, page 4.

2.5.5 Servicing - The Thorold Lands

As previously noted, the upper west half of the Thorold Lands are currently outside Thorold's Urban Boundary, with the lands adjacent to the municipal boundary along the southern portion of the property included in the Urban Boundary and subject to the Port Robinson West Secondary Plan with a residential lands use. Refer to Figure 2.4. Under the current condition, there is no municipal servicing to the property. The City of Thorold's Official Plan notes the Secondary Plan will require the extension of sewer facilities to the area in accordance with the Region's servicing strategy to ensure all new development occurs on the basis of full urban water and sanitary sewer facilities. GM BluePlan has been awarded the task of assessing the City of Thorold water and wastewater systems, rectifying current shortcomings, forecasting impending necessities, and introducing critical enhancements. A draft copy of the <u>City of Thorold City Wide Water Service</u> <u>Master Plan</u>, November 2019, has been prepared which outlines the need to extend water service to the Port Robison West Secondary Plan under the Ultimate Buildout design and provides project ID's for the work required to extend the servicing. A report for wastewater is not currently available.



Figure 2.4: City of Thorold Urban Boundary and Land Use⁴

3.0 **REVIEW AGENCIES**

3.1 City of Welland

The City of Welland will be responsible for the review and approval of the Draft Plan of Subdivision, as well as detailed servicing, grading, and SWM designs for the overall development, including the issuance of the necessary CIL-ECA approvals.

⁴ Base Map taken from Schedule A6- PRW Land Use Plan.

3.2 City of Thorold

As the report discusses the potential servicing of lands located within the City of Thorold's municipal boundary, the City of Thorold will be responsible for reviewing the report and providing comment and approval for the servicing scheme set forth to service the Thorold Lands.

3.3 Niagara Region

Niagara Region will be responsible for the review and approval of the Draft Plan of Subdivision, as well as detailed servicing, grading, and SWM designs for the overall development.

3.4 Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority

The NPCA will be responsible for the review and approval of the Draft Plan of Subdivision, as well as detailed grading, drainage, and SWM designs for the overall development.

3.5 Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks

The MECP is no longer involved in the Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) process. The MECP will continue to be responsible for the direct review and approval of Records of Site Condition as may be required.

4.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Utilizing the Draft Plan prepared by A.T. Mclaren Limited, and in reference to the <u>Northwest Welland</u> <u>Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report</u>, WalterFedy has prepared preliminary grading and servicing plans for the Subject Lands. The proponent intends to develop the property into a residential subdivision, including single-detached dwellings (136 units), street townhouses (112 units), a condominium townhouse block (44 units), a condominium mid-rise block (422 units), a channel block for the realignment and channelization of the Towpath Drain, and three stormwater management facilities.

Based on the proposed Draft Plan, the design population was calculated using the population densities outlined in Region of Niagara's 2022 Development Charge By-Law Report. Table 4.1 summarizes the population densities based on the residential unit type.

Table 4.1: Populations Densities			
Unit Type	Persons per Unit (ppu)		
Single-Family	2.929		
Medium/Density	2.093		
High Density	1.690		
Apartments			
• 2 or more bedrooms	1.991		
• 1 bedroom or less	1.214		

Utilizing the Region's population densities and the unit counts from the proposed Draft Plan, the design population is summarized in Table 4.2. (Note: a 50/50 split of 1 bedroom and 2+ bedrooms were assumed for the midrise block). Table 4.3 summarizes the population calculations from the NWSP Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report (Associated Engineering, December 2023 – See Appendix C), utilizing a density of 110 persons/ha for comparison.

Unit Type	Unit Count Persons per Unit (ppu)		Total Persons	
Outlet to Future First Avenue Sewer from Primont Lands				
Single-Family	0	2.929	0	
Medium/Density	19	2.093	40	
High Density	0	1.690	0.0	
Apartments				
• 2 or more bedrooms	211	1.991	420	
• 1 bedroom or less	211	1.214	256	
		Sub -Total:	716	
Outlet to Quaker Road Trunk Sewer at Street 'A' from Primont Lands				
Single-Family	78	2.929	229	
Medium/Density	222	2.093	465	
High Density	0	1.690	0.0	
Apartments				
• 2 or more bedrooms	0	1.991	0	
• 1 bedroom or less	0	1.214	0	
		Sub -Total:	694	
	Total I	Design Population:	1410	

Table 4.2: Populations Densities for Primont Lands

Table 4.3: Population Calculations from the NWSP Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report

Parameter	Northwest Welland Secondary Plan	
Developable Area	14.6 ha	
Population Density	110 persons/ha	
Design Population	1606 persons	

5.0 SANITARY SERVICING

5.1 Design Criteria

The City of Welland Municipal Standards state that sanitary flows shall be calculated as per the latest revision of the Region of Niagara Master Servicing Plan. Based on the 2023 Master Servicing Plan update, design flows for the new development were based on a per capita daily flow of 255 l/person/day, and an infiltration rate of 0.286 L/ha; the Harmon formula was used to calculate the peaking factor.

5.2 Sanitary Demands

Refer to Table 5.1 for the sanitary design flow; the design flows from the <u>Northwest Welland Secondary Plan</u> <u>Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report</u> (Associated Engineering, December 2023 – See Appendix C) are also summarized for reference.

Table 5.1: Subject Lands Sanitary Catchment Parameters					
Parameter	Subject Lands (Total)	Outlet to Quaker Road Trunk Sewer at Street 'A'	Outlet to Future First Avenue Sanitary	Northwest Welland Secondary Plan	
Developable Area	15.5 ha	12.9ha	2.6 ha	14.6 ha	
Population Density	n/a	n/a	n/a	110 persons/Ha	
Design Population	1410 persons	694	716	1606 persons	
Peaking Factor (Harmon Formula)	3.70	3.90	3.89	3.66	
Per Capita Design Flow	255 L/c/d	255 L/c/d	255 L/c/d	275 L/c/d	
Dry Weather Design Flow	4.2 L/s	2.0 L/s	2.1 L/s	5.1 L/s	
Peak Dry Weather Flow	15.4 L/s	8.0 L/s	8.2 L/s	18.7 L/s	
Infiltration Rate	0.286 L/s/ha	0.286 L/s/ha	0.286 L/s/ha	0.286 L/s/ha	
Infiltration Flow	4.4 L/s	3.7 L/s	0.7 L/s	4.2 L/s	
Total Design Flow (Q)	19.8 L/s	11.7 L/s	8.9 L/s	22.9 L/s	

Note: Total Design Flow (Q) for the Street "A" outlet and First Ave outlet can not be added together to calculate the Subject Lands Total Design Flow (Q) based on the Peaking Factor.

5.3 Service Design and System Layout

Under the proposed design concept, servicing for the Subject Lands will be via two new connections to the Quaker Road sanitary trunk sewer. In addition to the Subject Lands, the Region Master Servicing Plan Update (MSPU) identified a new 600-mm-diameter sanitary (WW-SS-002) connection along Quaker Road at the intersection with Rice Road, extending north to Line Avenue. This new connection will redirect approximately 100 L/s of flow from the Town of Pelham (northwest of Line Avenue) to the Quaker Road trunk sewer, ultimately discharging to the Towpath SPS. An analysis was completed as part of the <u>Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report</u> to determine the available capacity within the trunk sewer to service the NWSP Area as well as the additional flows from The Town of Pelham via the Rice Road connection. This analysis found a significant available capacity of a minimum of 130 L/s, which is sufficient to service the subject lands. This included the new Line Avenue connection.

The sanitary servicing concept for the Subject Lands is in general conformance with the <u>Northwest Welland</u> <u>Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report</u>, including extending a 300-mm-diameter sanitary sewer from the connection to the Quaker Road trunk sewer at the intersection with Street 'A', north along Street 'A' to the intersection of Streets 'A' and 'D', continuing east on Street 'D' to the intersection of Streets 'D' and 'H'. This sanitary system will service 12.4 ha of developable area. Due to cover constraints, a second 300-mm-diameter is proposed from the connection to the Quaker Road trunk sewer, extending north on First Avenue to the intersection of First Avenue and Street 'D', with the sewer continuing west on Street 'D' to the eastern limits of Block 29. The drainage area of this outlet to the Quaker Road trunk sewer would be approximately 2.2 ha. A network of smaller 200-mm-diameter sewers will service the local roads.

It is noted that a review of the as-recorded plan and profile drawings provided by the Region and the sanitary sewer design sheets included in the <u>Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design</u> <u>Report</u> indicated some inconsistencies in the pipe slopes. As such, the most current information found in the Report was used for the capacity analysis.

5.4 Sanitary System Constraints

The Region's 2023 MSP update included a review of the operational status of the Region's sanitary infrastructure in the sewershed, and noted the following deficiencies and concerns with potential impacts to the development of the Subject Lands:

- The Towpath SPS does not have capacity for wet weather flows under the existing conditions.
- The Foss Road SPS has sufficient capacity to service existing demands, but additional capacity will be required to service the proposed growth in the catchment area.
- The existing 600-mm-diameter Towpath forcemain will need to be commissioned to address growth-related capacity at the Towpath SPS.
- Replacement of the existing 200-mm-diameter Foss Road forcemain with a 250-mm-diameter forcemain will increase capacity and provide operational security.
- The existing Welland WWTP is projected to reach 80% of the operational capacity, triggering the review process, but projected flows are not expected to exceed the 2051 horizon.

Based on the details provided in the Region's MSP update, upgrades to the Towpath SPS (Master Plan ID: WW-SPS-037) to increase capacity from 118 L/s to 600 L/s (Master Plan ID: WW-FM-022) has a "Year in Service" estimate of 2022-2026, with the commissioning of the 600-mm-diameter Towpath forcemain undertaken between 2023-2036. The "Year in Service" estimate for the Foss Road forcemain replacement(Master Plan ID: WW-FM-003), increasing the forcemain from the existing 200 mm diameter to 250 mm diameter, is anticipated between 2027 and 2031. The current MSP also identifies the "Year in Service" for the Foss Road SPS upgrades (Master Plan ID: WW-SPS-011), increasing the station capacity from 25 L/s to 52 L/s, is also between 2027 and 2031.

As previously noted, the Welland WWTP will reach the 80% capacity threshold within the 2036-2041 time horizon, triggering the need for a study of the plant operations in preparation for the design and construction of the required upgrades to increase capacity once the WWTP operating level reaches 90% of its total capacity, which is anticipated after the 2051 horizon.

5.4.1 <u>Thorold Lands</u>

As previously noted, the proponent owns land located north of the Subject Lands in the Port Robinson West Secondary Area in the City of Thorold. These lands are not currently serviced and, based on the City of Thorold's Official Plan, the Secondary Plan will require the extension of sewer facilities to the area in accordance with the Region's servicing strategy to ensure all new development occurs on the basis of full urban water and sanitary sewer facilities. GM BluePlan has been awarded the task of assessing the City of Thorold sanitary systems, rectifying current shortcomings, forecasting impending necessities, and introducing critical enhancements. Based on a desktop review, the nearest regional trunk sewer is located approximately 1.7 km east of the site.

For the basis of discussion, the Thorold Lands are further subdivided based on status as follows:

- East Development Drainage Area TL1, representing the lands within the current City of Thorold Urban Boundary, located immediately north of the Subject lands, east of Block 29, abutting the Thorold and Welland municipal boundary, having an area of 4.2 ha.
- West Development Drainage Area TL2, representing the developable land located in the western portion of the Block and west of the existing wetland which are located outside the urban boundary, having an area of 5.0 ha.

Drainage areas LT1 and LT2 cannot be connected internally with services due to environmental constraints. A connection outlet will need to be provided at both Cataract Road and Merritt Road.

For this analysis, a population density of 60 persons/ha is based on a ratio of 25% townhouse and multiunit development and 75% single-family residential. This exceeds the minimum gross density population of 50 persons and jobs combined per hectare outlined in the Port Robison West Secondary Plan.

Estimated design flows for the Thorold Lands are summarized in Table 5.3. based on the outlet to the Quaker Road trunk sewer. Given the layout of the available developable lands, it is anticipated that the flows from Drainage Area LT1 will be conveyed to the new connection to the Quaker Road Trunk Sewer at First Avenue via the new sewer on First Avenue, with the flows from Drainage Area LT2 conveyed to the Quaker Road trunk sewer via Street 'A'.

Parameter	Drainage Area TL1	Drainage Area TL2	
Developable Area	4.2 Ha	5.0 Ha	
Population Density	50 persons/Ha	70 persons/Ha	
Design Population	219 persons	342 persons	
Peaking Factor (Harmon Formula)	4.13	4.05	
Per Capita Design Flow	255 L/c/d	255 L/c/d	
Dry Weather Design Flow	0.6 L/s	1.0 L/s	
Peak Dry Weather Flow	2.7 L/s	4.1 L/s	
Infiltration Rate	0.286 L/s/Ha	0.286 L/s/Ha	
Infiltration Flow	1.2 L/s	1.4 L/s	
Total Design Flow (Q)	3.9 L/s	5.5 L/s	

Table 5.3: Thorold Lands Sanitary Catchment Parameters

Below is a summary of the work required to provide a sanitary outlet.

Option 1 - Extend Existing Servicing within the City of Thorold

- Extend the existing regional 675-mm-diameter trunk sewer from the terminus on Hansler Road, north through the unopened Hansler Road right-of-way to Merritt Road (360 m),
- Construct a sanitary sewer from the intersection of Merritt Road and Hansler Road, west to the intersection of Merritt Road and Cataract Road (1675 m),
- Construct a sanitary sewer, south from the intersection of Merrit Road and Cataract Road to the limits of the East Development Area (LT1) (525 m), and
- Extend the sanitary sewer west from the intersection of Merritt Road and Cataract Road to the limits of the West Development Area (LT2) (650 m).
- The total length of the required external sanitary sewer = 3,210 m.

If a Sanitary sewer is extended from the terminus on Hansler, it would ultimately drain through the Towpath SPS. A preliminary investigation has been performed to confirm there is appropriate cover to extend the sanitary stub of Hansler Road. The design was completed at a minimum slope of 0.1% to maximize cover. This extension would be achievable. It is noted that all the frontage of Merritt Road is either NPCA-regulated land or existing single-family residences. There will be little-to-no additional sanitary connections along the 1.7 km length.

Option 2 – Extend Sanitary Servicing from the Subject Site to the City of Thorold Boundary

- Extend a sanitary sewer 50 m north from the sanitary sewer on Street 'D' in the proposed development, north through an easement to the southern limits of the Thorold Lands to service the West Thorold Lands and a portion of the South Thorold Lands.
- Extend a sanitary sewer north of the municipal boundary on First Avenue to Cataract Road (100 m) to service the eastern portion of the South Thorold Lands in the City of Thorold.
- The total length of required sanitary sewer to extend service from Welland = 150 m.
- \circ Increased flow to the Quaker Road trunk sewer = 9.4 L/s.

With Option 2, the developable areas will be serviced via the Quaker Road trunk sewer and, as such, a review of the available capacity was completed and confirmed. Capacity within the trunk sewers including the additional catchment areas will all operate within the Regional criteria (sewers flowing at less than 85% of capacity under wet-weather conditions). Flows from the Drainage Area TL2 were included in the collector road drainage area out to the Quaker Road trunk sewer. Flows from Drainage Area TL1 were added to the flows from the east block of Welland which are conveyed down First Avenue to the Quaker Road trunk sewer via the 300-mm-diameter sanitary sewer. Flows have been totaled and shown in Table 5.4 below.

Based on the anticipated development schedule for the Primont Lands and the capacity within the Quaker Road trunk sewer, Option 2 is recommended to service the Thorold Lands. Option 2 eliminates the need for extensive external sanitary sewers and offers more efficient use of existing services over a faster time horizon. It is noted that the flows from both properties were to be conveyed to the Towpath SPS, the increased flows resulting from Option 2 will not increase the capacity deficit at the Towpath SPS.

Parameter	Outlet at Quaker Road and Street 'A'	Outlet at Quaker Road and First Avenue
Drainage Area	17.9 ha	6.8 ha
Design Population	1036 persons	935 persons
Peaking Factor	3.79	3.82
Per Capita Design Flow	255 L/s	255 L/s
Dry Weather Design Flow	3.1 L/s	2.8 L/s
Peak Dry Weather Flow	11.6 L/s	10.5 L/s
Infiltration Rate	0.286 L/s/ha	0.286 L/s/ha
Infiltration Flow	5.1 L/s	1.9L/s
Total Design Flow (Q)	16.7 L/s	12.4 L/s

Table 5.4: Draft Plan (South) Block Sanitary Catchment Parameters with External Catchments from Thorold Lands

6.0 WATER SERVICING

6.1 Design Criteria

The City of Welland requires that watermain distribution systems be able to convey the larger of the maximum daily demand and fire flow or the peak hourly demand. Additionally, the preferred system operating pressure is between 350 kPa to 550 kPA (50 to 80 psi). The infrastructure standards recommend that the maximum working pressure shall not exceed 690 kPa (100 psi). Pressure-reducing valves are

required where localized areas exceed 690 kPa. The minimum working pressure shall not fall below 275 kPa (40 psi) under normal operation conditions nor fall below 140 kPa (20 psi) under fire flow conditions. Fire flows should be considered in accordance with the Fire Underwriter Survey (FUS) 2020.

6.2 Domestic Demand

Calculations of the water demand for the proposed development have been performed using the City of Welland Municipal Standards for watermains. In accordance with the City standards, the average residential demand for the proposed development was estimated using a demand of 320 L/c/day. A peaking factor of 1.5 was used to convert the average daily demand into a maximum day demand. Similarly, a peaking factor of 2.81 was used to convert the average daily demand into the peak hourly demand.

Table 6.1 below summarizes the domestic water demand for the proposed development.

Design Population ^A	1410 persons
Average Daily Demand	320 L/c/d
Total Average Daily Flow	5.2 L/s
Peaking Factors	
Maximum Day Factor (MDF)	1.5
Peak Hour Factor (PHF)	2.81
Peak Water Demand	
Maximum Day Domestic Demand	7.8 L/s
Peak Hourly Domestic Demand	14.7 L/s

Table 6.1: Domestic Water Demands

^A Population (P) within Welland as indicated on the Draft Plan concept.

6.3 Fire Flow Demand

In addition to the daily domestic demand for the proposed development, fire flow demands were calculated to assess the adequacy of the proposed watermain system. The City of Welland specifies that all fire flow requirements shall be determined in accordance with the current issue of <u>Water Supply for Public Fire</u> <u>Protection</u> published by the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) and the Ontario Building Code.

The fire flow demands for the development were assessed based on parameters established as per FUS. Based on current modeling from the Northwest Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing report there is approximately 125 L/s (Figure A-3 of Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report found in Appendix C) of Available fire flow during maximum daily demand. Changes in the pumping procedure at the water treatment plant will increase the available fire flow during maximum daily demand to approximately 140-145 L/s (Figure A-6 of Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report). With the use of non-combustible exterior finishes and 2-hour-rated firewalls every three units the site would require fire flow demands of 110 L/s to 117 L/s. See Appendix F for preliminary FUS calculations. This will be the anticipated construction method for the first phase of the site at Quaker Road until new infrastructure can be installed.

6.4 Service Design and Modelling

It is the intent to service the Subject Lands as per the scheme outlined in the <u>Northwest Welland Secondary</u> <u>Plan Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report</u>; extending a 300 mm diameter watermain along the proposed collector road from a connection on Quaker Road, north and east through the site to First Avenue. A future watermain will be required on First Avenue to complete the looped system on streets A and D and provide adequate flow.

A network of smaller watermains will service the local roads. As per the Watermain Infrastructure Standards, the internal water distribution networks shall be sized to convey the combination of the Maximum Day

Demand (MDD) and the Fire Demand, within the operating pressure and velocity ranges as specified by the City of Welland.

Watermain modeling using the City-wide model will need to be completed on a phase-by-phase basis depending on which municipal upgrades have been completed or are required to be completed to support development. Upgrades to the municipal system will have a large effect on the future available fire flow during MDD based on modeling from the <u>Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report</u>. It is anticipated to increase the flow rate 100 L/s in the area of subject lands, this increase will reduce the requirements for non-combustible exterior finishes and 2-hour-rated firewalls on townhouses.

6.5 Water Distribution System Constraints

The subject lands are located within the Welland Northwest Secondary Plan. Upgrades to the City's water distribution system have been discussed in the <u>Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing</u> <u>Conceptual Design Report</u>. They included changes in the pumping procedure at the water treatment plant and upgrades to the existing watermains along with additional new looping watermains. These upgrades are summarized below;

- Upgrade the existing 150-mm-diameter main on Rice Road to a minimum of 250 mm diameter.
- A new 300-mm-diameter interconnection (Loop-A) is required to connect the existing 750 mm regional trunk main on Clare Avenue to the existing 300 mm main on Quaker Road.
- A 300-mm-diameter main (Loop-B) branching off Quaker Road, running up the future collector road and then south down First Avenue to Quaker Road. This is required to supply the northern area of the development.
- A north and south connection line to complete loops off Quaker Road.

See Figure 6.1 highlighting areas where upgrades and new watermain are required.



Figure 6.1: (NWSP) Watermain Upgrades⁵

⁵ Figure taken from Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report, March 6, 2024

6.5.1 <u>Thorold Lands</u>

As previously noted, the proponent owns land located north of the Subject Lands in the Port Robinson West Secondary Area in the City of Thorold. These lands are not currently serviced and, based on the City of Thorold Official Plan, the Secondary Plan will require the extension of public water to the area in accordance with the Region's servicing strategy to ensure all new development occurs on the basis of full urban water and sanitary sewer facilities. GM BluePlan has been awarded the task of assessing the City of Thorold water systems as noted previously. The City of Thorold city-wide water service Draft Master Plan identifies the need to extend the Regional watermain at Hansler Road as an ultimate buildout requirement.

Estimated design flows for the Thorold Lands are summarized in Table 6.2. Given the layout of the available developable lands, the Thorold Lands have been subdivided to correspond with the sanitary drainage area plans as follows:

- East Development Area TL1, representing the lands within the current City of Thorold Urban Boundary, located immediately north of the Subject lands, east of Block 29, abutting the Thorold and Welland municipal boundary, having an area of 4.2 ha.
- West Development Area TL2, representing the developable land located west of Block and west of the existing wetland which are located outside the urban boundary, having an area of 5.0 ha.

Parameter	Area TL1	Area TL2			
Design Population	219 persons	342 persons			
Average Day Per Capita Design Flow	320 L/c/d	320 L/c/d			
Maximum Day per Capita Design Flow	480 L/c/d	480 L/c/d			
Peak Hour per Capita Design Flow	899.2 L/c/d	899.2 L/c/d			
Minimum Fire Flow (Multi-Unit Blocks)	133 L/s	133 L/s			
Average Day Demand	0.81 L/s	1.27 L/s			
Maximum Daily Demand (Q _{MDD})	1.2 L/s	1.9 L/s			
Q _{MDD} + Fire Flow	134.2 L/s	134.9 L/s			
Peak Hour Demand (Q _{PEAK.})	2.3 L/s	3.6 L/s			

Table 6.2: North Block Water Demands

As previously noted, the Thorold Lands are currently unserviced by a watermain, with the nearest regional watermain located approximately 1.7 km east of the site. Based on a review of the existing conditions and available servicing, two servicing scenarios are proposed as follows:

• Option 1 – Extend the Existing Watermain within the City of Thorold

- Extend the existing watermain from the terminus on Hanlser Road, north through the unopened Hanlser Road right-of-way to Merritt Road (360 m), (Master Plan ID: W-M-037)
- Continue the watermain from the intersection of Merritt Road and Hansler Road, west to the intersection of Merritt Road and Cataract Road (1675 m), (Master Plan ID: W-M-038)
- Construct a watermain, south from the intersection of Merrit Road and Cataract Road to the limits of the East Development Area (525 m), and (Master Plan ID: W-M-040)
- Extend the watermain west from the intersection of Merritt Road and Cataract Road to the limits of the West Development Area (650 m).
- \circ The total length of the required external watermain = 3,210 m.

- Option 2 Extend Watermain from the Subject Lands Collector Road to the City of Thorold Boundary
 - Extend a watermain 50 m north from the watermain on the proposed collector road in the Subject Lands, north through an easement to the south limits of the West Development Area.
 - o Extend a watermain north on First Avenue to Cataract Road in the City of Thorold (100 m).
 - The total length of the required watermain to extend service from Welland = 150 m

Based on the anticipated development schedule for the Subject Lands, Option 2 is recommended to service the Thorold Lands. Option 2 eliminates the need for extensive external watermain installation and presents potential opportunities to loop the proposed watermain to improve the operating characteristics of the system and offer more efficient use of existing services.

7.0 STORM SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

The subject lands will be developed into a residential development consisting of single-detached homes, townhouses, and multi-unit blocks, along with related parks and rights-of-way. Based on the current grading and servicing design that was undertaken, it was determined that five stormwater management facilities would be required to service the entire Primont development (North and South Blocks). This report will focus specifically on the SWM requirements and design for the three proposed SWM facilities within the Subject Lands located in the City of Welland. A proposed storm sewer system will collect and convey the 5-year storm from the subject lands and external areas and the proposed road network will convey major system flows to the proposed SWM facilities. The SWM facilities will outlet to the Towpath Drain.

7.1 Stormwater Management Criteria

The proposed SWM features incorporated into the development will be subject to the following criteria:

- Quantity Control Control post-development peak flow discharge to less than or equal to predevelopment discharge.
- Quality Control In the Aquafor Beech Welland NWSP Stormwater Management Plan (February 2020) an Enhanced (80% total suspended solids (TSS) removal) level of water quality was implemented. Subsequent watershed characterization reporting (Wood, 2022) indicates that the Welland Canal North Watershed is predominantly warmwater fish habitat, which would be more indicative of a Normal (70% TSS removal) level of protection. For the purposes of this report, an Enhanced level of protection was maintained.
- Erosion Control Provide extended detention drawdown over a 24- to 48-hour period for the 28 mm (4-hour duration) Chicago Storm event. The required 28 mm volume was determined by modeling the typical 25 mm event and then increasing the volume by 12% (28mm / 25mm = 1.12).

7.2 Pre-Development Conditions

The subject lands are located within the Welland Canal North Watershed which drains east via a number of local tributaries to the Welland Canal. The entirety of the Primont lands is bisected by a drainage divide that roughly coincides with the Welland/Thorold municipal boundary (See Figure 7.1 for pre-development drainage plan). The South Block drains to the Towpath Drain, while the North Block drains to Singers Drain. Under pre-development conditions, the agricultural and open space lands drain via sheet flow to the Towpath Drain. A small portion of the future Primont development at the northwestern corner will be located within Singers Drain subcatchment. The pre-development conditions were discretized into seven catchment areas as indicated in Table 7.1 and depicted in Figure 7.1. The drainage boundaries are based on natural drainage divides or are consistent with future internal drainage boundaries. The pre-development

conditions were analyzed with the SWMHYMO hydrologic modeling program using a City of Welland 4hour Chicago Storm distribution. A Curve Number of 74 was used with an initial abstraction of 8.924 mm, consistent with the modeling prepared by Upper Canada Consultants as part of the Northwest Welland Stormwater Management Implementation Plan (August 2022). Hydrologic modeling schematics, parameters, and SWMHYMO input/output files are included in Appendix D.

Catchment ID	Description	Area (ha)	Precent Impervious
901	Primont lands south of Towpath Drain	3.06	0
902	Mountainview lands, west of Primont, south of Towpath Drain	3.50	0
602	Rear-yards of existing lots fronting Quaker Road	1.00	30
903	Primont lands north of Towpath Drain	9.49	0
904	External lands, west of Primont, north of Towpath Drain	3.47	0
905	Primont lands on east side adjacent First Ave.	2.55	0
906	Primont lands draining to Singers Drain	0.36	0
Total		23.43	1.3

Table 7 1: Pre-Development Catchment Areas

The pre-development peak flows for each of the outlet locations as well as the total for the subject site are shown in Table 7.2. These flows will be used to compare with the post-development results.

Storm Event	Lands South of Towpath Drain (901+902+602)	Primont Lands North of Towpath Drain (903 only)	Primont Lands at Northeast (905)	Total to Towpath Drain (All Catchments)	Primont Lands to Singers Drain (906)
25 mm	0.047	0.030	0.011	0.081	0.002
2-year	0.088	0.096	0.036	0.258	0.007
5-year	0.125	0.139	0.052	0.371	0.011
10-year	0.158	0.176	0.067	0.471	0.014
25-year	0.210	0.237	0.090	0.631	0.019
50-year	0.260	0.294	0.112	0.783	0.024
100-year	0.306	0.347	0.133	0.925	0.028

Table 7.2: Dro Dovelopment Deals Flows (m³/s)

7.3 **Post-Development Conditions**

The Primont lands will be developed into a residential development consisting of single-detached homes, townhouses, and multi-unit blocks, along with related parks and rights-of-way. The Subject Lands will be serviced by 3 stormwater management facilities. In addition to the Primont lands, external areas west of the development that include the future Mountainview subdivision, existing residential areas, and other open space lands will also be serviced via the Primont development. The post-development conditions were discretized into 13 catchment areas as indicated in Table 7.3 and depicted in Figure 7.2. Hydrologic modeling schematics, parameters, and SWMHYMO input/output files for the post-development condition are included in Appendix D.

Under future, post-development conditions, it is anticipated that external Catchments 701 and 702 will provide their own stormwater management controls when they develop that will outlet directly to Towpath Drain. Under post-development conditions, significant filling would be required to drain and service the property through the Primont lands. As such, the post-development flows from Stormwater Management Facility (SWMF) #2 and the uncontrolled Primont flows for this area of the development were compared to existing conditions of Catchment 903 which only encompasses the Primont lands.

Catchment ID	Description	Outlet	Area (ha)	Precent Impervious
101	Primont development	SWMF #1	2.67	70
102	Primont lands draining uncontrolled	Towpath via Quaker	0.39	65
601	Future Mountainview development	SWMF #1	3.13	70
602	Rear-yards of existing lots fronting Quaker Road	SWMF #1	1.00	30
603	Future Mountainview development	Towpath Drain	0.37	40
201	Primont development	SWMF #2	8.16	65
202	Primont development	SWMF #2	3.47	40
203	Primont development	Towpath Drain	0.19	40
204	Primont development	Towpath Drain	0.66	40
701	External lands west of Primont	SWMF #2	2.43	0
702	External lands west of Primont	Towpath Drain	1.93	0
301	Primont development	SWMF #3	2.55	75
205	Primont lands draining to Singers Drain	Singers Drain	0.44	40
Total			24.04	52

7.4 Water Quantity Control

Development of the existing agricultural and open space lands will increase impervious coverage and produce an increase in stormwater discharge. To mitigate the impacts of increase peak flows, quantity control measures will be implemented within the three SWMFs.

The basic operating levels and control devices for the SWMFs are provided in Tables 7.4, 7.5 and 7.6. Detailed stage-storage-discharge worksheets are provided in Appendix D. The current designs include additional storage capacity to account for volume lost for berms and access roads that will be incorporated into the detailed design. SWMF's #1 and #2 are proposed to be MECP wet pond facilities complete with 1.0-m-deep permanent pools and 1.75 m of active storage depth (1.45 m to the overflow weir). The outlet pipes and overflow weirs for both of these facilities will discharge to the reconstructed portion of the Towpath Drain adjacent to the ponds.

SWMF #3 is proposed to be an MECP continuous flow dry pond with an active storage depth of 2.75 m (2.45 m to the overflow weir). The outlet pipe from the SWM facility will discharge to a proposed storm sewer system on First Avenue that will convey flows south to an outlet at the Towpath Drain. The overflow weir will discharge to the naturalized area south of the pond.

All SWM facilities will have maximum 5:1 interior side slopes and will include maintenance accesses and forebays (if applicable) as part of the final design.

Table 7.4: SWMF #1 Operating Levels (Wet Pond)						
Stage	Elevation (m)	Active Volume (m³)	Cumulative Volume (m³)			
Bottom of Pond	181.65	0	0			
Permanent Pool	182.65	0	2008			
Extended Detention (28mm)	183.05	1073	3081			
Weir Sill	184.10	4746	6754			
Top of Pond	184.40	6045	8053			
Outlet Controls:	One – 100-mm-diameter low-flow orifice (quality) at elev. 182.65 m					
	One - 375-mm-diameter orifice (quantity) at elev. 183.05 m					
	8-m-long weir at elev. 184.10 m					

Table 7.5: SWMF #2 Operating Levels (Wet Pond)						
Stage	Elevation (m)	Active Volume (m³)	Cumulative Volume (m³)			
Bottom of Pond	181.65	0	0			
Permanent Pool	182.65	0	3127			
Extended Detention (28mm)	183.05	1616	4743			
Weir Sill	184.10	6990	10117			
Top of Pond	184.40	8852	11979			
Outlet Controls:	One – 125-mm-diameter low-flow orifice (quality) at elev. 182.65 m					
	One - 300-mm-diameter orifice (quantity) at elev. 183.05 m					
	8-m-long weir at elev. 184.10 m					

Table 7.6: SWMF #3 Operating Levels (Dry Pond)					
Stage	Elevation (m)	Cumulative Volume (m³)			
Bottom of Pond	180.70	0			
Extended Detention (MECP)	181.50	747			
Weir Sill	183.20	4158			
Top of Pond	183.50	5237			
Outlet Controls:	One – 75-mm-diameter low-flow orifice (quality) at elev. 180.70 m				
	One - 200-mm-diameter orifice (quantity) at elev. 181.50 m				
	8-m-long weir at elev. 183.20 m				

Tables 7.7, 7.8 and 7.9 summarize the performance of SWMF's #1, #2 and #3 and show that there is sufficient volume to contain the 100-year storm event, and the 2-year to 100-year, post-development flows from the SWMF's are less than pre-development.

Table 7.7: SWMF #1 Discharge (m ³ /s) and Volume (m ³) Summary							
Storm Event	Inflow to SWMF	Discharge from SWMF	Storage Required	Ponding Elev. (m)	Total Post- Development Discharge Towpath Drain (Controlled + Uncontrolled)	Pre- Development Lands South of Towpath Drain (901+902+602) ^A	
25 mm	0.570	0.011	901	182.98	0.057	0.047	
2-year	0.869	0.029	1501	183.19	0.087	0.088	
5-year	1.065	0.046	1746	183.27	0.107	0.125	
10-year	1.232	0.062	1936	183.33	0.126	0.158	
25-year	1.508	0.103	1272	183.11	0.158	0.210	
50-year	1.715	0.137	2376	183.46	0.187	0.260	
100-year	1.942	0.159	2589	183.53	0.222	0.306	

^A See Table 7.2

Table 7.8: SWMF #2 Discharge (m ³ /s) and Volume (m ³) Summary							
Storm Event	Inflow to SWMF	Discharge from SWMF	Storage Required	Ponding Elev. (m)	Total Post- Development Discharge Towpath Drain (Controlled + Uncontrolled)	Primont Lands North of Towpath Drain (903 only) ^A	
25 mm	0.656	0.014	1104	182.93	0.043	0.030	
2-year	1.020	0.026	2000	183.13	0.084	0.096	
5-year	1.270	0.042	2436	183.23	0.112	0.139	
10-year	1.490	0.058	2779	183.30	0.134	0.176	
25-year	1.824	0.092	3244	183.40	0.176	0.237	
50-year	2.074	0.119	3629	183.48	0.207	0.294	
100-year	2.374	0.134	4017	183.56	0.242	0.347	

^A See Table 7.2

Table 7.9: SWMF #3 Discharge (m³/s) and Volume (m³) Summary

Storm Event	Inflow to SWMF	Discharge from SWMF	Storage Required	Ponding Elev. (m)	Pre-Development (905) ^A
25 mm	0.275	0.007	388	181.15	0.011
2-year	0.399	0.010	661	181.40	0.036
5-year	0.477	0.013	796	181.52	0.052
10-year	0.545	0.019	882	181.59	0.067
25-year	0.655	0.027	1003	181.69	0.090
50-year	0.732	0.037	1099	181.77	0.112
100-year	0.814	0.046	1182	181.83	0.133

^A See Table 7.2

Table 7.10 compares the post- and pre-development flows to Towpath Drain from the overall areas under consideration (Primont and external lands). The results show a reduction in peak flow for the 2-year to 100year storms under post-development conditions. The 25 mm storm event shows a marginal increase. This is due to the uncontrolled backyard areas around the perimeter of the proposed development that will drain by sheet flow into the adjacent Towpath Drain or wetland (i.e., Catchments 204, 603, and 702) as well as Catchment 102 that drains uncontrolled. These flows alone exceed the 25 mm, pre-development peak flow and are not reduced with additional controls on the SWM facility. Since these flows are sheet drainage distributed around the development, the exceedance will not exacerbate instream erosion.

Table 7.10: Comparison of Post and Pre-Development Flows to Towpath Drain (m ³ /s)					
Storm Event	Post-Development (controlled + uncontrolled)	Pre-Development ^A	Change in Peak Flow		
25 mm	0.105	0.081	+0.024		
2-year	0.178	0.258	-0.080		
5-year	0.227	0.371	-0.144		
10-year	0.268	0.471	-0.203		
25-year	0.342	0.631	-0.289		
50-year	0.404	0.783	-0.379		
100-year	0.475	0.925	-0.450		

^A See Table 7.2

Table 7.11 compares the post and pre-development flows for runoff to Singers Drain from the Primont development area (Catchments 205 and 906, respectively). The results show an increase in postdevelopment flow, but it is not considered significant. Under post-development conditions, the area represents backyards that will sheet flow clean runoff from the lots into a large, naturalized area. The increase in flow is negligible relative to the size of the drainage area serviced by Singers Drain.

Storm Event	Post-Development (205)	Pre-Development ^A (906)	Change in Peak Flow
25 mm	0.019	0.002	+0.017
2-year	0.036	0.007	+0.029
5-year	0.049	0.011	+0.011
10-year	0.058	0.014	+0.044
25-year	0.077	0.019	+0.058
50-year	0.090	0.024	+0.066
100-year	0.103	0.028	+0.075

Table 7.11: Comparison of Post and Pre-Development Flows to Singers Drain (m³/s)

^A See Table 7.2

.

7.5 Water Quality and Erosion Control

Stormwater quality control will be provided by the proposed SWMFs servicing each of the catchment areas. The wet pond facilities (SWMF #1 and #2) will have a permanent pool sized based on MECP "Enhanced" (80% TSS removal) water quality protection. The extended detention component will be based on providing a low flow orifice that will achieve 24 to 48 hours of drawdown time for the MECP extended detention volume (40 m³/ha) or the 28 mm storm event, whichever is greater. For the proposed wet pond facilities, the 28 mm storm events.

Due to small drainage area, Catchment 301 at the northeastern corner of the Primont lands will be serviced by a dry pond facility (SWMF #3) in conjunction with an oil/grit separator (OGS) unit to provide a treatment train. An MECP dry pond (continuous flow) can provide a Basic (60% TSS removal) level of water quality protection. The extended detention component will be based on providing a low flow orifice that will achieve 24 to 48 hours of drawdown time for the entire MECP unit volume or the 28 mm storm event, which ever is greater. For the proposed dry pond, the entire MECP unit volume governs. In order to achieve the minimum Enhanced (80% TSS removal) water quality protection, additional measures will be required to supplement the dry pond. A HydroStorm HS-6 OGS unit, sized based on an ETV particle size distribution, provides 57% TSS removal. The net TSS removal for the OGS unit and dry pond operating in series is 82%. Treatment train calculations for Catchment 301 are provided in Appendix D.

Catchment 102 will drain uncontrolled to the Quaker Road ditch and then to the Towpath Drain. Approximately 830 m^2 of proposed asphalt within Catchment 102 will not have specific quality control other than what is provided within the ditches.

Table 7.12 summarizes the water quality control characteristics for each of the SWM facilities. In addition, SWMF's #2 and #3 will include sediment forebays at the inlets. Additional detailed information and calculations are provided in Appendix D.

	Stormwater Management Facility					
Parameter	SWMF #1	SWMF #2	SW	'MF #3		
Drainage Area to SWM Facility (ha) and % Impervious	6.80 @ 64.1%	10.71 @ 50.1%	2.55 @ 75%			
Type of SWM Facility	Wet Pond	Wet Pond	Dry Pond and Oil/Grit Unit	Oil/Grit Unit HydroStorm HS-6		
Level of Water Quality Protection	Enhanced (80% TSS)	Enhanced (80% TSS)	Enhanced (treatment train) Dry Pond – 60% Oil/Grit Unit – 57% Net 82%			
Total MECP Water Quality Unit Volume (m³/ha)	211	179	217			
MECP Required Permanent Pool Unit Volume (m³/ha)	171	139	n/a			
MECP Required Extended Detention Unit Volume (m ³ /ha)	40	40	217			
Req'd MECP Permanent Pool Storage Volume (m ³)	1165	1484	n/a			
Provided Permanent Pool Storage Volume (m³)	2008	3127	n/a			

Table 7.12: Water Quality Control Summary

	Stormwater Management Facility			
Parameter	SWMF #1	SWMF #2	SWMF #3	
Req'd MECP Extended Detention Volume (m ³) ^A	272	428	553 ^B	
Req'd 28mm Storm Event Storage Volume (m³) ^C	1009	1138	431	
Provided Extended Detention Volume (m ³)	1073	1616	673	
Water Quality Orifice #1 Diameter (mm)	100	125	75	
Water Quality Drawdown (hrs)	45	43	35	

^A Required MECP based on 40 m³/ha

^B For SWMF #3 (dry pond), the entire MECP volume was used as extended detention, which governs over the 28mm event volume

^C 28mm event volume based on 25mm SWMHYMO results multiplied by 1.12. 28mm event governs for extended detention for SWMF #1 and #2 compared to MECP extended detention volume.

8.0 GRADING

The proposed development will have two vehicular access points, from both Quaker Road and First Avenue, with two future connections at the west end of Street B and Street D. The internal roadway will consist of a 21.0 collector right-of-way which will be designed as a two-lane roadway with two integrated bike lanes. The remainder of the roads will be standard 18.0 m rights-of-way, which will be designed as a two-lane, standard local roadway. Copies of the 21.0 m and 18.0m right-of-way cross-sections are attached in Appendix G.

The grading strategy for the proposed development will ensure a minimum cover over the proposed municipal infrastructure of 0.8 m and a minimum separation of 0.5 m from the seasonal high groundwater to the underside of building footings. The grading will respect the existing grades along the property lines. External drainage for minor events will be collected in the storm sewers. Where a suitable overland flow route cannot be achieved for major storms, the structures and underground storm system will be designed to convey the additional flows. The proposed lot grading will direct runoff onto the municipal rights-of-way, rear lot swales, and ultimately into the proposed storm sewers. Dwellings backing onto environmental areas will convey clean runoff from a portion of the roof and rear yard to the wetland and the channel.

Efforts have been made to minimize the height of the site and ultimately limit the amount of fill required for the development. These efforts include split drainage lots for internal units and walk-out grading for external units. Utilizing additional internal risers will keep the undersides of footings above any groundwater without the use of fill. Adding a second overland flow route and storm connection to SWM Pond 2, along with incorporating a sawtooth road design will all help reduce the amount of fill required. The strategies above help limit the required import of structural fill to 250,000 cubic meters of soil.

Due to the lack of grade change, the proposed channel and wetland which bisects the site, three catchment areas will need to be created to effectively manage the stormwater runoff. The southwestern block will direct stormwater northeast toward a future SWM Facility 1 and channel outlet. The large central block will drain southwest to SWM Facility 2 with outlets to the channel. The remainder of the site in the northeastern corner will be directed southeast to the SWM Facility 3. SWM facility 3 will outlet to a future storm sewer in the First Avenue right-of-way and be conveyed south outleting into the channel on the east side of First Avenue which then flows to the east.

9.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

A detailed Erosion and Sediment Control Plan will be provided with the submission of the final design drawings for development applications and will be based on the preliminary erosion and sediment control measures recommended herein.

- Erect heavy-duty silt fences before grading or construction adjacent to the woodlot and wetland areas, existing watercourse outlets, and existing road rights-of-way that are down-gradient along the perimeter of the property to protect adjacent and downstream areas from the migration of sediment in any overland flow (in consultation with the environmental Consultant).
- Erect additional (tiered) silt fence along major down-gradient slope areas.
- Provide sediment control basins sized to accommodate 250 m³/ha of sediment storage at key locations during grading or construction operations in addition to the proposed SWM facility location. These facilities shall be relocated as operations proceed to always mitigate sediment transfer.
- Provide erosion control berms/swales in appropriate/critical areas to divert flows to temporary storage locations (sedimentation basins).
- Provide a stabilized construction entrance feature ('mud mat') to minimize the offsite transport of sediment from construction vehicles.
- Install temporary rock check dams in swales, where appropriate, to help attenuate flows and encourage sediment deposition.
- Install erosion control matting on all steep (greater than 3:1) slopes.
- Stabilize all disturbed areas according to OPSS 572.
- During construction, all catchbasins are to be sealed until roads are paved to prevent sediment deposition in the catchbasin sumps and conveyance of silt to the SWM facilities.
- An Erosion Control Implementation Schedule will be included with the Detailed Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan prepared in conjunction with the pre-grading application and/or final engineering design.

To ensure the effectiveness of the various erosion and sediment control measures, an appropriate inspection and maintenance program is necessary. The inspection activities during construction may include:

- Inspections of the erosion and sediment controls bi-weekly and after each significant rainfall event (greater than 25 mm).
- Inspections should include all silt fence installations, sediment traps, basins, outlets, and vegetation.
- Submission of bi-weekly monitoring reports to the City of Welland and the NPCA during active construction periods.

All erosion and sediment control measures will be removed at the end of construction.

10.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the analysis presented in this report it is concluded that:

- The proposed Draft Plan concept provides linkages for all forms of active transportation within the greater community.
- The proposed Draft Plan concept was developed to respect the natural heritage features located within the subject lands.
- Municipal servicing and roadworks can be designed and constructed in accordance with the City of Welland and MECP standards.
- The subject lands can be serviced with a sanitary sewer network outletting to the existing Quaker Road trunk sewer meeting the design criteria set forth by the City of Welland and the Region of Niagara. Upgrades to the Region's sanitary infrastructure are required to ensure capacity for the demands generated by the development of the Subject Lands.
- Construction of a storm sewer and headwall to outlet SWM pond 3 south in the First Avenue rightof-way to the eastern side of the channel.
- Sanitary Servicing of Thorold Lands can be provided by extending the proposed network from the Subject Lands via future easement from Street 'D' and extending the sanitary sewer on First Avenue from the intersection of Street 'D' and First Avenue, north to Cataract Road in the City of Thorold.
- The construction of two wet ponds and one dry pond SWMFs will provide attenuation of postdevelopment flows to pre-development levels. The wet ponds will provide an Enhanced level of water quality protection while the water quality protection provided by the dry pond will be supplemented by an oil-grit unit. All the proposed SWM facilities will incorporate extended detention/erosion control.

The project will include the extension of the local municipal watermain network with connections at Quaker Road and the future intersection of First Avenue and the proposed collector road to service land within the Subject Lands. Additional water modeling will be required to confirm adequate capacity within the existing and proposed distribution network and ensure the network will operate within the City of Welland's operating criteria. Water modeling will be completed by the City of Welland at the detailed design stage.

- The Thorold Lands can be serviced with watermain via connections to the water distribution system proposed for the Subject Lands. Additional water modeling will be required to confirm adequate capacity within the existing and proposed distribution network.
- Roads are designed and can be constructed as per the City of Welland Engineering Guidelines.
- Existing utilities are present in the area (hydro, telecommunications, and natural gas) and can adequately service the Subject Lands.
- The grading of the lands will ensure cover over the proposed municipal infrastructure, the appropriate separation between the seasonal high groundwater and the underside of footings, and the elevation established along the proposed drainage channel in the southwestern quadrant of the site while respecting the existing boundary grades, and the setbacks or property lines while minimizing the importation of fill.

Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Report 436 Quaker Road, Welland.

• Erosion control measures will be required and will be reviewed and designed during the detailed design stage.

10.1 Recommendations

- Therefore, it is recommended, that the preliminary servicing concept presented here be used to support the Draft Plan submissions and that this design be used as the starting point to refine the detailed design for the proposed development.
- This report be circulated to the various approval agencies in support of the Draft Plan of the subject lands.

All of which are respectfully submitted,

WALTERFEDY

Eric Salembier Senior Civil Designer, Civil

esalembier@walterfedy.com 289.799.3547, Ext. 294



John Oreskovic, P.Eng. Water Resources Engineer, Civil

joreskovic@walterfedy.com 289.799.3547, Ext. 364



SCALE:	N.T.S	DATE:	2024-04-05	
DRAWN BY:	ES	PROJECT NO .:	2022-0091-10	
CHECKED BY	: JO	FILE:	2022-0091-10 SDAP (F	re
SHEET NO .:				



REPRODUCTION OR DISTRIBUTION FOR PURPOSES OTHER THAN AUTHORIZED BY WALTERFEDY IS FORBIDDEN. CONTRACTORS SHALL VERIFY AND BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON THE JOB AND REPORT ANY VARIATIONS FROM THE DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS SHOWN ON DRAWINGS TO WALTERFEDY. DO NOT SCALE THIS DRAWING.

COPYRIGHT © 2024 WalterFedy

Eric

W	'AL1	TER	FED	Y		
HAMILT(20 Hughs T: 289.79	ON OFFICE son Street South 99.3547 Toll	, Suite 1000, Har Free : 800.685.13	milton, Ontario, L 378 walterfe o	8N 2A1 Iy.com		
SCALE:	N.T.S	DATE:	2024-04-05			
DRAWN BY:	ES	PROJECT NO .:	2022-0091-10)		
CHECKED BY	: JO	FILE:	2022-0091-10) SDAP		
SHEET NO .:						
FIG 7.2						

APPENDIX A

A.T McLaren Limited Draft Plan of Subdivision



DRAFT PLAN OF SUBDIVISION PRIMONT (THOROLD / WELLAND) INC. PART OF TOWNSHIP LOTS 174 AND 228, GEOGRAPHIC TOWNSHIP OF THOROLD, IN THE CITY OF WELLAND, REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF NIAGARA SCALE 1:1000 METRIC MERRITT ROAD MERRITT ROAD ROSEWOOD CRESCENT SUBJECT LANDS QUAKER ROAD QUAKER ROAD KEY MAP - NOT TO SCALE INFORMATION REQUIRED UNDER SECTION 51 (17) OF THE PLANNING ACT, R.S.O. 1990. c.P.13 AS AMENDED FEBRUARY 21, 2024 (a) - AS SHOWN (b) - AS SHOWN (c) - AS SHOWN (d) - AS LISTED BELOW (e) - AS SHOWN (f) - AS SHOWN (g) - AS SHOWN (h) - MUNICIPAL WATER (i) - CLAY LOAM (j) - AS SHOWN (k) - MUNICIPAL SANITARY AND STORM SEWERS (I) - AS SHOWN SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE BOUNDARIES OF THE LANDS TO BE SUBDIVIDED ON THIS PLAN AND THEIR RELATIONSHIP TO THE ADJACENT LANDS ARE ACCURATELY AND CORRECTLY SHOWN. SIGNED ROB A. McLAREN, O.L.S A.T.McLAREN LIMITED DATE OWNER'S CERTIFICATE I HEREBY CONSENT TO THE FILING OF THIS PLAN SIGNED DATE LAND USE SCHEDULE LOTS/BLOCKS UNITS LAND USE AREA LOW DENSITY BLOCKS 2-5, 10-14, 16, 19, 20-24, 26, 29, & 33 245-275± 6.187± Ha RESIDENTIAL (FREEHOLD) LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL BLOCK 1 44± 1.197± Ha. (CONDOMINIUM) REBILIENPENSITY 422± 1.109± Ha BLOCK 30 BLOCK 17 PARK LAND 0.749± Ha. BLOCKS 6, 15, 25 OPEN SPACE 0.101± Ha. STORM WATER MANAGEMENT BLOCKS 7, 18, & 31 2.307± Ha. ENVIRONMENTAL BLOCKS 27 & 28 13.833± Ha. AREA 0.723± Ha. BLOCKS 8 & 9 CHANNEL ROADS, &T&FFANDBBOEKS, 52, F, RESERVES 3.937± Ha. 33, 34, 35 & 36 & WIDENINGS 711-741± 30.143± Ha. TOTAL ADDED WETLAND/WOODLANDJULY 11, 2024KMUPDATED STORMWATER BLOCKSJUNE 13, 2024KMORIGINAL DRAWINGMARCH 15,2024KM No. DESCRIPTION DATE REVISIONS

METRIC NOTE: DISTANCES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE IN METRES AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO FEET BY DIVIDING BY 0.3048

69 JOHN STREET SOUTH, SUITE 230 HAMILTON, ONTARIO, L8N 2B9 PHONE (905) 527–8559 FAX (905) 527–0032 Drawn Checked Crew Chief Scale KM RBM Crew Chief 1:1000 Dwg.No. 36920–DP

A.T. McLaren Limited

LEGAL AND ENGINEERING SURVEYS
APPENDIX B

Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet



P:\2022\0091\10\03-RPRT\06 2024.02.20 FSR & SWM Report\Appendix\Drawings\2022-0091-10_SAN DR AREA; Layout1; None; Eric Salemt

FIGURE 1 TAKEN FROM NORTHWEST WELLAND SECONDARY PLAN MUNICIPAL SERVICING CONCEPTUAL DESIGN REPORT, MARCH 22

HAMILT 20 Hugh: T: 289.79	CN OFFICE Son Street South 09.3547 Tol	, Suite 1000, Har Free: 800.685.13	FED	Y 8N 2A1 y.com	
SCALE:	NTS	DATE:	2024-03-22		
DRAWN BY:	ES	PROJECT NO .:	2022-0091-10		
CHECKED BY	': JO	FILE:	2022-0091-10	_SAN DF	AREA
SHEET NO .:					
	FIC	guri	E 1		

Project: Project No:	Primont Lar 2022-0091-	nds - Draft Plan Serv 10	vicing with External	Lands in Th	orold	Di Min. Velocity	esign Data	ata <u>SANITARY SEWER DESIGN CALCULATIONS</u>															
Date: Designed By:	2024-07-19 E.S.	Checked By:	J.O.			Max. Velocity Manning's 'n'	3.0 0.013	m/s	Peaking Factor	Residential Har	mon		Desig	gn Flows	Cum Add								
			D ' D	_	1	Infiltration	0.286	l/s/ha	Avg. Daily Flow	255	L/c/d				Peak Flow				1	D			
	Area	From	To	Length	Area	Reside Units	Density	Population	Area	Population	Peak Factor	DW Flow	Peak DW Flow	Add. Peak Flow from the Model	from the Model	Area	Infiltration	Total Flow	Diameter	Desigi Slope	n Data Q _{FULL}	V _{FULL}	% Full
	Number			(m)	(ha)	1	(ppu)	(people)	(ha)	(people)		(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(ha)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(mm)	(%)	(L/s)	(m/s)	Flow
Rice Rd (N of Quaker) Rice Rd	A1	NW1	NW2	200	6.0			532	6.0	532	3.96	1.6	6.2	0.0	0.0	6.0	1.7	7.9	200	0.64	26.24	0.84	30.2%
(N of Quaker to Quaker)	A2, B1	NW2	NW3	197	10.6			868	16.6	1400	3.70	4.1	15.3	0.0	0.0	16.6	4.7	20.0	250	0.47	40.77	0.83	49.2%
Quaker Rd (W of Rice)	12	NW4	NW5	112	3.4			330	3.4	330	4.06	1.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	1.0	4.9	200	0.89	30.94	0.98	15.9%
NWSP (W of Rice, S of Quaker)	H1, I1	NW6	NW7	210	13.8			938	13.8	938	3.82	2.8	10.6	0.0	0.0	13.8	3.9	14.5	200	0.48	22.72	0.72	63.9%
NWSP (W of Rice, S of Quaker)	A4	NW7	NW3	389	7.0			454	20.8	1392	3.70	4.1	15.2	0.0	0.0	20.8	5.9	21.2	250	0.39	37.14	0.76	57.0%
Quaker Road (W of Rice)	-	NW5	NW3	370	-				24.2	1722	3.64	5.1	18.5	0.0	0.0	24.2	6.9	25.4	250	1.00	59.47	1.21	42.7%
Rice Road, S of Quaker to Quaker	К1	NW8	NW3	387	5.7			1229	5.7	1229	3.74	3.6	13.6	0.0	0.0	5.7	1.6	15.2	200	1.14	35.02	1.11	43.4%
Quaker Road (Rice to W of First)	-	NW3	NW9	287	-			-	46.5	4351	3.30	12.8	42.4	0.0	0.0	46.5	13.3	55.7	375	0.30	96.03	0.87	58.0%
Quaker Road Subject Lands	C1,	NW9	NW10		12.9			694															
Quaker Road Primont Lands in Thorold	TL2	NW9	NW10		5.0			342															
Quaker Road (Rice to W of First)	L1	NW9	NW10 416547MH01	261	13.0			1400	77.4	6787	3.12	20.0	62.5	0.0	0.0	77.4	22.1	84.6	450	0.20	127.50	0.80	66.4%
Quaker Road (Rice to W of First)	M1	NW10	(RMH1)	69	7.1			661	84.5	7448	3.08	22.0	67.7	0.0	0.0	84.5	24.2	91.9	450	0.20	127.50	0.80	72.1%
Flows from Hurricane SPS/Rice Road (North) - Flow Only			406497MH01											125.0	125.0			125.0					
Flows from West of Quaker and			40640704004											110.0	110.0			110.0					
Rice Quaker Road (Region Trunk E of Rice)		406497MH01	406497MH01 416457MH01 (RMH1)	618										0.0	244.0			244.0	750	0.22	522.17	1.18	46.7%
Quaker Road (W of First Ave)		416457MH01	416457MH01																				
Quaker Road (W of First Ave)		(RMH1)	(RMH2)	207					84.5	7448	3.08	22.0	67.7	0.0	244.0	84.5	24.2	268.2	750	0.16	445.31	1.01	60.2%
Subject lands First Avenue (N of Quaker)	C2	NW11	NW12	393	2.6			716															
Primont Lands in Thorold	LT1	NW11	NW12	393	4.2			219	10.0	2461	2 51	7.2	25.5	0.0	0.0	10.0		21.0	275	0.35	07.67	0.70	25.2%
First Avenue (N of Quaker)	D1, F2		416487MH01	393	12.2			1520	19.0	2401	3.51	7.3	25.5	0.0	0.0	19.0	5.4	31.0	375	0.25	87.07	0.79	35.3%
	E1	NW12	(RMH2)	80	4.8			1123	23.8	3584	3.38	10.6	35.7	0.0	0.0	23.8	6.8	42.5	375	0.20	78.41	0.71	54.2%
Quaker Road (First to W of Niagara)	-	416487MH01 (RMH2)	416487MH01 (RMH3)	521	-			-	108.3	11032	2.91	32.6	94.8	3.0	247.0	108.3	31.0	372.8	750	0.23	533.91	1.21	69.8%
NWSP (W of Cataract, N of Quaker)	F1, G1	NW13	416487MH01 (RMH3)	50	10.9			980	10.9	980	3.81	2.9	11.0	0.0	0.0	10.9	3.1	14.1	200	0.44	21.76	0.69	64.9%
NWSP (W of Cataract, N of Quaker) Towpath (to SPS)	-	NW14 426407MH03	426407MH03 426407MH01	1320 1002	-			-	119.2 119.2	12012 12012	2.88 2.88	35.5 35.5	101.9 101.9	23.0	270.0 320.0	119.2 119.2	34.1 34.1	406.0 456.0	750 900	0.40	704.10 724.12	1.59 1.14	57.7% 63.0%

APPENDIX C

Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report



REPORT

City of Welland

Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report

DECEMBER 2023





Platinum member

Q:\2023-5773-00_doc_prod\FSR Update\Oct 2023 Updated Report\Revised Dec 2023\rpt_northwest_servicing_update.docx

CONFIDENTIALITY AND © COPYRIGHT FOR THIS REPORT

This document is for the sole use of the addressee and Associated Engineering (Ont.) Ltd. The document contains proprietary and confidential information that shall not be reproduced in any manner or disclosed to or discussed with any other parties without the express written permission of Associated Engineering (Ont.) Ltd. Information in this document is to be considered the intellectual property of Associated Engineering (Ont.) Ltd. in accordance with Canadian copyright law.

This report was prepared by Associated Engineering (Ont.) Ltd. for the account of City of Welland. The material in it reflects Associated Engineering (Ont.) Ltd.'s best judgement, in the light of the information available to it, at the time of preparation. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. Associated Engineering (Ont.) Ltd. accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.

REVISIONS PAGE

Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing Conceptual Design Report							
Client:			Engineer:				
Upper Can	ada Consultants	Associated Engineering (Ont.) Ltd.			ont.) Ltd.		
Revision/ Issue	Date	Description		Prepared by/ Reviewed by	Client Review		
1	2023-11-22	Municipal Servicing Report	_v1	AL & BB/ RC &MG			
	Click or tap to enter a date.						
	Click or tap to enter a date.						
	Click or tap to enter a date.						
	Click or tap to enter a date.						
	Click or tap to enter a date.						

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTIO	NC		PAGE NO.	
Table o	of Conte	ents	i	
List of	Tables			
List of	List of Figures			
1	1 Introduction			
	1 1	Study Area	1	
	1.2	Proposed Secondary Plan	2	
2	Backo	round information	- 3	
-	2.1	Sources	3	
	2.2	Data Gaps	4	
3	Water		4	
0	3.1	Design Criteria	5	
	3.2	Existing System Conditions	6	
	3.3	Proposed Development	10	
4	Sanita	rv	13	
	4.1	Design Criteria	14	
	4.2	Existing System Capacity	14	
	4.3	Proposed System Requirements	15	
5	Storm		17	
	5.1	Design Criteria	18	
	5.2	Existing System Capacity	18	
	5.3	Proposed System Requirements	19	
6	Prelim	inary Costing	20	
7	Conclu	usions	21	
Appen	dix A - V	Vater		
Appen	dix B - S	Sanitary		
Appen	dix C - S	storm		
Appen	dix D - (Cost Estimate Detail		

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1: NWSP Population and Unit Numbers	2
Table 2-1: Water, Sanitary and Storm Data Sources	3
Table 2-2: Data Gaps	4
Table 3-1: New NWSP Demands	6
Table 3-2: Existing and Future WTP Pump Settings – City's InfoWater Model	7
Table 3-3: Identified Previous NWSP Demands from the City's Model	8
Table 3-4: Available and Required Water Storage	12
Table 5-1: Required Outlet Size	20
Table 6-1: Preliminary Cost Estimate for Municipal Servicing	20

LIST OF FIGURES

PAGE NO.

Figure 1-1: Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Study Area	1
Figure 1-2: NWSP Proposed Population and Unit Plan	2
Figure 3-1: Existing Configuration of Watermains in Study Area	5
Figure 3-2: Shoalt's Head – Existing and Future MDD Scenarios	9
Figure 3-3: Bemis Tank Head – Existing and Future MDD Scenarios	10
Figure 3-4: Proposed Junctions and Pipes	11
Figure 4-1: Schematic of Existing Sanitary System in NWSP Study Area	13
Figure 4-2: Proposed Sanitary System and Drainage Areas – Option 1	15
Figure 4-3: Proposed Sanitary System and Drainage Areas – Option 2	16
Figure 5-1: Schematic of Existing Stormwater Drainage Path	18
Figure 5-2: Proposed Storm System and Drainage Areas	19

1 INTRODUCTION

The City of Welland identified the development of the Northwest Secondary Plan as a priority to provide for detailed land use planning policies for a mix of uses, including policies that address infrastructure requirements, and natural and cultural heritage considerations. The Northwest Welland Secondary Plan (NWSP) will guide future growth and development within the study area. This report (previously issued May 2021) reviews background information and provides capacity analysis for existing water, sanitary, and storm sewer servicing in the study area. In addition, an initial assessment was completed for proposed conceptual water, sanitary, and storm servicing. These analyses were used to develop general recommendations for municipal water, sanitary, and storm servicing requirements in the Secondary Area.

1.1 Study Area

The study area (Figure 1-1) includes the land within the urban area boundary of Welland that is bounded by Clare Avenue to the west, Niagara Street to the east, land on the south side of Quaker Road to a depth of approximately 500m to the south and 500m to the north and comprises approximately 190ha. Quaker Road bisects through the Study Area and is identified as an arterial road and all other streets are considered local roads.



Figure 1-1: Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Study Area

Existing land uses are primarily residential, institutional, agricultural, and open space. Currently, municipal services for water, sanitary and storm exist in parts of the NWSP area, which will be leveraged to accommodate the NWSP area.

1.2 Proposed Secondary Plan

Figure 1-2 shows the proposed NWSP layout provided by Upper Canada Consultants (September 2023). Based on the proposed layout, population and unit numbers for each development block were also provided by Upper Canada Consultants. Projected units and populations are summarized in Table 1-1.



Figure 1-2: NWSP Proposed Population and Unit Plan

Block Number	Area (ha)	Units	Population (+/-)
А	13.25	386	1,081
В	3.36	114	319
С	18.15	800	2,240
D	4.05	360	1,008
Е	4.77	401	1,123
F	17.71	403	1,128
G	0.80	96	269

Table 1-1: NWSP Population and Unit Numbers

Block Number	Area (ha)	Units	Population (+/-)
Н	8.40	226	633
I	8.79	227	636
J	7.04	162	454
К	5.73	439	1,229
L	13.02	500	1,400
Μ	7.05	236	661

2 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2.1 Sources

Table 2-1 provides a list of sources used to aid in completing the analysis of water, wastewater, and stormwater servicing for the NWSP area.

System	Description	File Type(s)	Author(s)
All	City of Welland Northwest Area Planning and Servicing Study Municipal Class EA	PDF	Earth Tech
All	1m Elevation Contours	SHP	City of Welland
All	City of Welland GIS Data	GIS	City of Welland
All	City of Welland Official Plan	PDF	Dillon Consulting
All	Key Directions Report for the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Area	PDF	SGL
All	City of Welland Municipal Standards, 2013	PDF	City of Welland
Water/Wastewater	2016 Water and Wastewater Master Servicing Plan Update Hydraulic Model for City of Welland, May 2017	PDF	GM Blue Plan
Water	Welland Water Model (part of the Niagara Region Water Model for the 2017 Niagara Region Master Servicing Plan), 2017	InfoWater	Niagara Region
*Water	City of Welland All Pipe Water Model	InfoWater	City of Welland
Water	Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems, 2008	PDF	MECP
Water	City of Welland Fire Flow Requirements – By Building Zone	PDF	AE

Table 2-1: Water, Sanitary and Storm Data Sources

System	Description	File Type(s)	Author(s)
Wastewater	Welland All Pipe Wastewater Model	InfoSWMM	City of Welland/ Niagara Region
*Wastewater	City of Welland Pollution Prevention Control Plan Update & Wastewater Master Servicing Plan, 2020	PDF	GM Blue Plan
*Wastewater	Niagara Region Water and Wastewater Master Servicing Plan Update, 2021	PDF	GM Blue Plan
*Storm	Northwest Welland Stormwater Management Implementation Plan, 2022	PDF	Upper Canada Consultants

*additional/updated data sources since May 2021 Report

2.2 Data Gaps

Data gaps are presented in Table 2-2, which summarizes missing, relevant information that would provide a clearer picture of the existing and future needs of the systems in future steps of this process (i.e. confirmation of criteria to be used in future design of systems).

System	Data Gaps	Justification
All	Detailed topographic survey	To confirm elevations for servicing

3 WATER

As the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan (NWSP) has been further developed since the previous study (issued in May 2021), the water service requirements and the hydraulic analysis for the NWSP area have been revisited to reflect these changes. The new site layout information is provided in Figure 1-2 and Table 1-1 of this report which indicate the change/increase in the population and unit numbers. In general, the existing configuration and operation of the water network surrounding the NWSP area has not changed since the issue of the original report which is described below.

Water servicing in the Niagara Region is a two-tiered approach; Niagara Region has jurisdiction over the drinking water supply for homes and businesses throughout the Region and is responsible for treatment, storage, pumping, and trunk watermains. The City of Welland is responsible for the local distribution system.

Currently, the area surrounding the proposed development is pipe fed from the Welland Water Treatment Plant (WTP) to the Shoalt's Drive Reservoir and surrounding area. During periods where the WTP is offline, the area is predominately supplied by gravity from the Shoalt's Reservoir. The Welland system also has an elevated storage tank (Bemis) located in the southern portion of the distribution system.

The existing system configuration within the study area, including existing pipe diameters, is shown in Figure 3-1. Within this area there is a small existing development east of Line Avenue and north of Quaker Road. This area, which was built in 2002, consists of 150mm PVC watermain connecting to both the 750mm CPP on Line Avenue to the west and the 300mm CI on Quaker Road to the south. In addition, there is a 150mm existing main on Rice Road (north of Quaker

Road) which appeared to serve few properties. There are also existing properties along Quaker Road, which are serviced off the 300mm main.



Figure 3-1: Existing Configuration of Watermains in Study Area

3.1 Design Criteria

The design criteria used for the analysis of the existing water system, includes:

- Target normal operating pressures:
 - Preferred system pressure between 350 kPa to 550 kPa (50 to 80 psi)
 - Minimum system pressure to be greater than 275 kPa (40 psi)
 - Maximum system pressure to be less than 700 kPa (100 psi)
- Fire flow requirements during MDD with 140 kPa (20 psi) residual system pressure:
 - Parks: 67 L/s
 - Low Density Residential (Single Family Residential): 67 L/s
 - Medium Density Residential (Townhomes): 133 L/s
 - Multi-Use: 133 L/s
- Per capita demand: 320 L/cap-day
- Peaking factors as per the City of Welland Model, as follows:
 - Maximum Day Demand peaking factor: 1.5
 - Peak Hour Demand peaking factor: 1.87 (2.81 x Average Day Demand)

- ADD and MDD demand patterns as per City of Welland Model
- C-Factor for new pipes: 140

3.1.1 NWSP Demands

Table 3-1 summarizes the new demands assigned within the model for the NWSP area. These demands were calculated based on the newly proposed populations/units previously identified in Table 1-1 and design criteria noted in Section 3.1.

Junction ID	ADD (L/s)	MDD (L/s)	PHD (L/s)
814	1.18	1.77	3.32
951	5.19	7.78	14.57
1700	1.00	1.50	2.80
3952	2.35	3.52	6.59
8338	2.00	3.00	5.63
8622	4.55	6.83	12.79
8623	2.77	4.15	7.77
J_FUT_47	3.73	5.60	10.49
J_NWSP_1	2.36	3.53	6.62
J_NWSP_3	1.68	2.52	4.73
J_NWSP_6	2.00	3.00	5.63
J_NWSP_8	2.77	4.15	7.77
J_NWSP_9	2.77	4.15	7.77
J_NWSP_10	4.16	6.24	11.69
J_NWSP_13	4.18	6.27	11.74
J_NWSP_16	2.45	3.67	6.88
TOTAL	45.12	67.69	126.80

Table 3-1: New NWSP Demands

3.2 Existing System Conditions

The City of Welland (City) provided Associated Engineering (Associated) with their most up-to-date InfoWater model (WELLAND_WATER_2023, dated October 23, 2023) for this analysis. The City's model includes both existing and future Average Day Demand (ADD) and Maximum Day Demand (MDD) extended period simulation scenarios. Model data sets suggest that the existing demand scenarios in the model were last reviewed and updated in 2022.

The earlier study reviewed and commented on the Niagara Region & City of Welland InfoWater models for their future development growth, giving an insight into the future development areas of the region. It has been assumed that this information still applies despite the time passed since that report.

No quality control checks were conducted on the City's model; it was assumed that the model is sufficiently calibrated for the purpose of this analysis and is indicative of the current system.

Figures for this section can be found in Appendix A. Table 3-2 shows the existing and current future pumping schemes from the City's model (on/off settings) at the WTP for both ADD and MDD scenarios. No changes were made to these settings for the development analysis.

Pump	Existing ADD	Existing MDD	Future ADD	Future MDD
Low Flow Pump #1	On at 0:00 Off at 6:00	Off at 0:00	Off at 0:00 On at 11:00	Off at 0:00 On at 20:00 Off at 22:00
Low Flow Pump #2	Off at 0:00	Off at 0:00	Off at 0:00 On at 20:00	Off at 0:00
High Flow Pump #1	Off at 0:00 On at 13:00	On at 0:00 Off at 7:00	On at 0:00	On at 0:00 Off at 2:00 On at 5:00
High Flow Pump #2	Off at 0:00	Off at 0:00 On at 12:00	On at 0:00 Off at 3:00 On at 6:00 Off at 20:00	On at 0:00 Off at 2:00 On at 5:00

Table 3-2: Existing and Future WTP Pump Settings – City's InfoWater Model

3.2.1 Current Hydraulic Conditions

A hydraulic analysis of the existing system was completed to provide a baseline level of service to compare to the future development scenarios.

Figures A-1 and A-2 show the minimum pressure during existing ADD and MDD in the study limits and surrounding area. At certain locations within the study area, pressures are lower than the required minimum pressure of 275 kPa (40 psi). These low-pressure nodes are in proximity to the Shoalt's reservoir and occur during peak periods; simulation time 11am to 12 noon for ADD and 10am to 11am for MDD. The observed minimum pressures in this portion of the study area for ADD and MDD are 233 kPa and 211 kPa, respectively, and are thought to be due to high ground elevations (maximum of 193m) and fluctuations of the Shoalt's Drive Reservoir head (between 217.0m and 219.0m). As to be expected during higher demands, more low-pressure nodes were observed in the surrounding study area during MDD scenario than ADD. There were also few low-pressure nodes observed in the other future growth areas of the system.

Figure A-3 shows the available fire flow during MDD at a residual pressure of 140 kPa (20 psi). Certain portions of the study area, specifically Rice Road north of Quaker Road, and the dead ends on Montgomery Road, Topham Boulevard, and Crerar Avenue have available fire flows less than 67 L/s (as low as 13L/s). The low availability of fire flows is due to both the high ground elevation and the size of the watermains supplying these hydrants. There are also other nearby areas with less than 67 L/s of available fire flow for similar reasons.

3.2.2 Future Conditions without NWSP Development

In the existing model from the City, it was observed that the future model scenario included NWSP infrastructure and demands based on the previous study. A total of 48.7 L/s for future ADD and 73.1 L/s for future MDD was allocated in the NWSP region at the model junctions summarized in Table 3-3 below.

Junction ID	Future ADD (L/s)	Future MDD (L/s)
3952	1.00	1.07
567	3.15	4.72
812	2.52	3.77
815	3.86	5.79
818	4.01	6.02
8622	1.18	1.77
8623	5.35	8.03
J-FUT-47	10.10	15.16
J-FUT-48	2.14	3.21
J-FUT-49	5.58	8.37
J-FUT-50	6.08	9.12
J-FUT-51	4.03	6.05
Total	49.00	73.08

Table 3-3: Identified Previous NWSP Demands from the City's Model

To prevent "doubling up" on NWSP demands, the previously proposed infrastructure for NWSP has been removed from the future analysis.

Figures A-4 and A-5 show the minimum pressure during future ADD and MDD, without the NWSP development. As these figures show, a significant improvement in pressures was noted in the surrounding study area when compared to the existing scenarios, with only a small number of low-pressure nodes noted. This is due to the change in the pumping procedure at the WTP for the future scenario. The existing and future pump operations at the WTP have been summarized in Table 3-2 above.

Figures 3-2 and 3-3 below show the hydraulic grade (HG) for Shoalt's and Bemis tanks for the existing and future MDD Scenarios. The pumping operating procedure at the WTP for the existing scenario shuts down the pumps midmorning, coinciding with periods of higher system demand. During this mid-morning WTP shutdown, both the Shoalt's Drive Reservoir and the Bemis Elevated Tank levels are drawn down; this draw down is sharp and reaches its lowest hydraulic grade level (HG) around noon. However, with the current future pumping scheme at WTP, the HG at Shoalt's and Bemis shows a sustained hydraulic head after 6 am showing improved pressures in the surrounding study area.

The future pumping schemes in the model for ADD and MDD scenarios showed improved pressures surrounding the study area which appeared to resolve most of the low-pressure nodes that were highlighted in existing scenarios. A few low-pressure nodes still persisted surrounding the study area particularly nodes close to the Shoalt's reservoir.

An attempt was made to assess the future system by changing the current future pumping scheme for MDD scenario by altering the pumping hours at pump H-1 (On at 0:00 and Off at 2:00) which showed improved pressures in the reservoir area but not completely eliminated. As modification of pumping schemes is outside of the scope of this analysis, this would need to be confirmed by the City when adjusting the overall system configuration and settings.

Figure A-6 shows the available fire flow during future MDD prior to the proposed development. Parts of the surrounding study area on the south and east sides showed sufficient fire flows as required for multi-family residential housing (133 L/s) however, the nodes at Shoalt's reservoir area have less than the design standard of 67L/s as needed for the single-family housing.



Figure 3-2: Shoalt's Head – Existing and Future MDD Scenarios



Figure 3-3: Bemis Tank Head – Existing and Future MDD Scenarios

3.3 Proposed Development

Several pipes and junctions were added to the City of Welland InfoWater model to represent future servicing of the NWSP area. The hydraulic analysis was conducted by adding the proposed NWSP infrastructure to the "Future ADD and MDD Scenario" and the associated development demands were imposed on the newly added junctions as shown in Figure 3-4 below.

The proposed pipe routing is laid based on new NWSP site layout as shown in Figure 1-2 in Section 1.0 of this report. As the existing 300mm main on Quaker Road acts as a main supply line for this study area, the proposed mains for NWSP were mainly branched and looped out from this main to service the proposed development. Note that only significant pipes that will connect the NWSP site were included in the model. There will be additional future piping required along local roads upon finalization of the site layout.

Junction elevations for the newly added nodes in the study area were assigned based on the City of Welland 1 m contours. Pipe sizing shown was established as part of the hydraulic analysis.



Figure 3-4: Proposed Junctions and Pipes

3.3.1 Storage Requirements Review

A review of the City of Welland's overall storage capacity and existing and future storage requirements was conducted to determine the impact of the NWSP area on future storage needs. As per the MECP Design Guidelines for Drinking Water Systems, storage requirements for a water distribution system are as follows:

- Equalization Storage (A) = 25% of Maximum Day Demand
- Fire Storage (B) = 378 L/s for 6 hours (Based on MECP Equivalent Population Fire Flow Requirement)
- Emergency Storage (C) = 25% of A +B

Table 3-4 summarizes the total available storage identified in the Region Master Plan (as used in the previous report) and the calculated existing and future storage needs for the system based on the City of Welland model demands. As shown, there is sufficient storage in the Welland system to allow for the addition of the NWSP area. The total additional storage required for the addition of the NWSP area is 1.8 ML.

Description	Storage (ML)
Total Available Storage	37.0
Existing Required Storage	19.7
Future Required Storage without NWSP (a)	26.4
Future Required Storage with NWSP (b)	28.2
Required Additional Storage for NWSP (b-a)	1.8

Table 3-4: Available and Required Water Storage

3.3.2 Hydraulic Analysis

As discussed in Section 3.1.1 and 3.3, the ADD and MDD demands for the proposed NWSP development have been added to the Futures ADD and MDD scenarios. The hydraulic analysis then was carried out with NWSP future demands to identify the impact of this proposed development on the future system and to confirm the required pipe size to support the future NWSP development.

Figures A-7 and A-8 show the minimum pressure during ADD and MDD EPS, and Figure A-9 shows the available fire flow, with the NWSP area serviced with the proposed watermain sizes identified.

As these figures show, the addition of NWSP area to the future system does not significantly impact the surrounding system pressures, instead the proposed servicing has shown improved pressures over Future ADD and MDD when no NWSP development was added. However, as with the other modelled scenarios, there are low pressure nodes near Shoalt's Drive Reservoir area, however no exacerbation of low pressures was noted when the NWSP development was added.

To supply suitable fire flow to the NWSP areas that will be serviced off Rice Road, the existing 150 mm diameter watermain on Rice Road needs to be upgraded to 250 mm diameter. Additionally, to supply south-west part of the proposed NWSP development, a new 300 mm diameter interconnection (Loop-A) is required to connect the existing 750mm regional trunk main on Clare Avenue to the existing 300mm main on Quaker Road. A new 300mm diameter main (Loop -B) branching off from Quaker Road and looping back to supply north of the NWSP development will also be required.

Figure A-9 shows that most of the NWSP study area meets fire flow requirements of 67 L/s for single family housing and 133 L/s for medium density housing. A detailed site layout for NWSP currently is not available and it is assumed that the proposed development will have a mix of single family and multi family housing.

Overall, with the proposed NWSP development the system shows improved operating pressures except in the lowpressure areas previously identified. Improved fire flows were also noted around the NWSP study area with the proposed pipe servicing, both within and outside the development boundaries.

4 SANITARY

Sanitary servicing in Niagara Region is based on a two-tiered approach. The Region is responsible for the wastewater treatment plants, trunk sewers, pumping stations and forcemains. The City of Welland is responsible for the local gravity sewer system.

The sanitary sewage from the NWSP area will ultimately be treated at the Welland Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP). This WWTP services the City of Welland, Town of Pelham, and the Port Robinson area of the City of Thorold.

The existing sanitary services in the NWSP area includes a regional main down Rice Road, local main in the Montgomery subdivision, and local and regional (trunk) sanitary sewer along Quaker Road. Primary sanitary sewage flows south down Rice Road, and then east down Quaker Road to Towpath Road. Sanitary sewage then flows northeast along Towpath Road to Towpath Sewage Pumping Station (SPS). Towpath SPS receives gravity flow from the regional trunk sanitary sewer along Quaker Road and flows from Hurricane Road SPS (Rice Road). Sewage from Towpath SPS is pumped through a forcemain across the Welland River to a gravity system, which ultimately flows to the Welland WWTP. A schematic of the existing sanitary servicing within the NWSP study area is provided in Figure 4-1.



Figure 4-1: Schematic of Existing Sanitary System in NWSP Study Area

4.1 Design Criteria

Existing and future peak flows conveyed by the trunk sewer on Quaker Road to the Towpath SPS were assumed to be equivalent to the flows represented in the City's all-pipe InfoSWMM model.

Additional flows contributed to the Quaker Road trunk sewer, and ultimately the Towpath SPS, by the NWSP area were calculated using the following design criteria:

- Extraneous flows = 0.286 L/s/ha
- Roughness coefficient = 0.013
- Residential per capita flow rate (for sewage generation) = 275 L/cap/day
- Peaking factor = Calculated based on Harmon formula with values between 2.0 and 4.0

4.2 Existing System Capacity

4.2.1 Trunk Sewer

The available capacity of the existing trunk sewer along Quaker Road from Rice Road to the Towpath SPS was reviewed using the City's all pipe InfoSWMM model.

Currently Line Avenue is the break point in the collection system, with areas west of Line Avenue flowing west and then south, contributing to the Welland WWTP drainage area. However, the Region Master Servicing Plan Update (MSPU) identified a new 600mm diameter connection (WW-SS-002) along Quaker Road from Line Avenue to Rice Road, which would redirect approximately 100L/s of flows from Pelham (north-west of Line Avenue) to the Quaker Road trunk sewer, and ultimately the Towpath SPS. Given this change in flows through the Quaker Road trunk sewer, the available capacity of this sewer was reviewed with this new connection. This completed available capacity assessment, based on the InfoSWMM model outputs, is attached in Appendix B. In general, the Quaker Road trunk sewer has significant available capacity – with future available capacity ranging from 130L/s to 3,224L/s with the new Line Avenue connection.

4.2.2 Towpath SPS and Forcemain

The Region MSPU identified that Towpath SPS has existing and future deficiencies based on existing and design peak wet weather flows. As such, the Region MSPU identified a capital project to upgrade the Towpath SPS during the timeframe of 2022 – 2026 from 118L/s to 600L/s (WW-SPS-037).

The Region MSPU also indicates that the existing Towpath SPS forcemain has current capacity; however, will have a projected capacity deficit for 2051 growth. There is already a constructed 600mm diameter forcemain that can be commissioned in line with Towpath upgrades, as identified in the Region MSPU capital projects during the timeframe of 2032-2036 (WW-FM-022).

4.2.3 Welland WWTP

The Region MSPU identified that the existing Welland WWTP has surplus capacity available to treat existing and future flows at the plant, with the plant reaching 80% capacity around the 2041 time horizon.

4.3 Proposed System Requirements

4.3.1 NWSP Sanitary Drainage Areas and Proposed Collection System

As requested, two sanitary servicing options were prepared and reviewed for feasibility for the NWSP area, including: 1) development blocks on the east and west side of First Avenue are connected to a new city trunk located on First Avenue and 2) development blocks on the east and west side of First Avenue are connected through the development blocks to a new city trunk located on Quaker Road.

Figure 4-2 and Figure 4-3 (also provided in Appendix B as Figure B-1 and B-2, respectively) show the approximate location of future city trunk sanitary gravity sewers within the NWSP area and the location where the city trunks will connect to the existing Region trunk sewer on Quaker Road for each servicing option. Figure 4-2 and Figure 4-3 also show identifying numbers for the individual NWSP drainage areas, which are referenced in the sewer design sheets provided in Appendix B.

The design sheets for the proposed sanitary sewers have been prepared with the new Line Avenue connection included. Note that the inverts and pipe lengths assigned to the existing trunk sewer in the proposed design sheets are from the City's InfoSWMM model. Existing peak flows into the trunk sewer, input at existing manhole locations in the design sheets, are also as per the City's InfoSWMM model. All inverts and pipe lengths of the proposed city trunk sewers have been assigned based on preliminary modeling and the existing ground contours of the area. Note that, it is assumed that any other sanitary sewer required on future local roads servicing the NWSP area, will be 200 mm diameter.



Figure 4-2: Proposed Sanitary System and Drainage Areas – Option 1



Figure 4-3: Proposed Sanitary System and Drainage Areas – Option 2

For servicing Option 2, the proposed trunk sewer within the quadrant east of First Avenue and north of Quaker Road (from NW12 to NW13) must cross the proposed Towpath Drain. For this preliminary assessment, using the existing ground contours and referencing the Towpath Drain Re-Alignment drawing package (Upper Canada Consultants, 2022) it appears that the proposed trunk sewer will be in direct conflict with the proposed box culvert and new creek bottom, making this servicing option not achievable. Further review and confirmation, based on proposed development details, will be required to determine viability of this servicing option moving forward.

As shown in the appended design sheets, the NWSP drainage area contributes overall an additional 143.3L/s of peak flow to the Quaker Road trunk sewer. Based on the capacity review of the existing trunk sewer on Quaker Road (provided in Appendix B), there are two (2) pipe segments that have an available capacity below 143L/s. The first pipe segment (19001376) is located between Rice Road and RMH1 (as shown on Figures 4-2 and 4-3 above). Since this segment will not receive sanitary flow from the NWSP area, this segment is not a concern. The second pipe segment (19001405) is located further downstream on Towpath Road between Grisdale Road and the Towpath Road SPS. Model analysis indicates this segment has 130L/s of available capacity with the Line Avenue trunk sewer connection. Further review and confirmation of available capacity within this segment should be completed prior to full build out of the NWSP area.

Although the phasing of future development within the NWSP area is not currently known, the proposed layout of this area and the associated sanitary design is such that the individual quadrants (defined as: areas west of Rice Road and north of Quaker Road (catchment area A); areas west of Rice Road and south of Quaker Road (catchment areas A); areas west of Rice Road and south of Quaker Road (catchment areas A); areas east of Rice Road (catchment areas K, L, M); areas east of Rice Road and north of Quaker Road (catchment areas B, C1); areas east of First Avenue and north of Quaker Road (catchment areas D, E, F,

G); and areas west of First Avenue (catchment area C2)) can mostly be developed independently of each other. Several exceptions to this include:

- the proposed city trunk sewer on Quaker Road (from NW3 to RMH1) must be constructed prior to any of the development west of Rice Road (catchment areas A, H, I J), catchment area B and catchment area K occurring;
- a portion of the proposed city trunk sewer on Quaker Road (from NW9 to RMH1) must be constructed prior to any development occurring within catchment areas C1 (and C2 for servicing Option 2), L, and M.
- for servicing Option 1, the proposed city trunk sewer on First Avenue (from NW11 to RMH2) must be constructed prior to development within catchment areas C2, D, and E.

The remainder of the city trunk sewers within each development quadrant should be constructed as development occurs in that quadrant starting from the downstream end.

Alternatively, to eliminate duplication of trunk infrastructure along Quaker Road and Rice Road, additional connections can be considered directly to the regional trunk main in order to eliminate the need for a 'local' trunk system. This approach would also eliminate most of the phasing exceptions noted above, as the local trunk would not need to be constructed.

4.3.2 Towpath SPS and Forcemain

The Welland NWSP area will contribute an additional 143.3L/s of peak flow to the Towpath SPS. As previously noted, the Region MSPU identified a planned upgrade to this SPS. The SPS upgrades will be required to address existing and future capacity and will be required to be completed before significant development can occur within the NWSP area.

The Towpath SPS forcemain has sufficient existing and future capacity to accommodate flows from the Welland NWSP area, provided the constructed 600mm diameter forcemain is commissioned prior to 2051 flows and build-out.

4.3.3 Welland WWTP and Downstream System

As previously noted, the Welland WWTP currently has a capacity surplus, and the NWSP area can be added. The Region MSPU did indicate the plant will reach 80% capacity around 2041. The post-2051 flows are expected to exceed the plant capacity; however, the plant can accommodate flows to 2051.

Additionally, the trunk sewer that the Towpath SPS forcemain discharges to has available capacity between the discharge point and the WWTP to accept an increase in flow. The design of the future Towpath SPS upgrade should confirm the capacity of the downstream trunk sewer when determining SPS outflow rates.

5 STORM

The existing NWSP area topography is quite flat and drains in a west to east direction. The land use is mainly pasture/ agricultural land interspersed with country residential homes. The plan area is significantly developed all around the boundary as well as within the plan area itself. The west side of the study area is already developed with country residential homes. There are two (2) major drainage channels that flow through the site – Towpath Drain within the northern portion of the development area and a tributary to Welland Recreational Canal within the southern portion of the development area. These two (2) channels are identified by the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA) as requiring approval for any development draining to the channels. The existing stormwater drainage paths are shown in Figure 5-1.



Figure 5-1: Schematic of Existing Stormwater Drainage Path

5.1 Design Criteria

The overall stormwater management plan for the NWSP area was initially developed by Aquafor Beech (2020) and updated and refined by Upper Canada Consultants (2022). The focus of this report is the identification of gravity sewer servicing requirements. The following design criteria were used in identifying these servicing requirements:

- Pipes were sized using the rational method with the City of Welland's 5-Year IDF curve values (a = 830, b = 0.777, c = 7.3)
- Friction factor = 0.013
- Run-off coefficients (as per City of Welland's Design Standards) of:
 - Low Density Residential (i.e.: Single Family) = 0.40
 - Medium Density Residential (i.e.: Semi-Detached) = 0.50
 - High Density Residential (i.e.: Townhouses) = 0.60

5.2 Existing System Capacity

Since the proposed servicing, which is the focus of this report, will not leverage any existing gravity storm sewers in the area, no review of existing system capacity was conducted.

5.3 Proposed System Requirements

5.3.1 Proposed Stormwater Management Pond Locations

The stormwater management plan developed by Upper Canada Consultants identified approximate locations for eight (8) storm ponds, which will outlet to the Towpath Drain (channel north of Quaker Road), while one (1) storm pond will outlet to the tributary to Welland Recreational Canal (channel south of Quaker Road). The intent of the stormwater management plan is that all runoff from the proposed NWSP area will be directed to these storm pond locations through new gravity sewers installed on existing and future roads.

The approximate location of these proposed storm ponds is shown on the Ultimate Stormwater Management Plan figure from the Upper Canada Consultants Stormwater Management Implementation Plan (October 2022), which is included in Appendix C for reference. These pond locations were used to identify approximate outlet locations for the gravity sewers that will be required to service the NWSP area.

5.3.2 Proposed Gravity Sewers

Figure 5-2 (also provided in Appendix C as Figure C-2) shows the approximate location of future trunk storm gravity sewer outlets to the proposed storm ponds within the NWSP area. Figure 5-2 also shows identifying numbers for the individual NWSP drainage areas, which are referenced in the sewer design sheet found in Appendix C. Note, the design sheet was used primarily to identify outlet pipe sizing. Pipe sizes/lengths for the remainder of the future system were also approximated for preliminary costing (see Section 6), with a conservative assumption of a minimum pipe size of 450mm.



Figure 5-2: Proposed Storm System and Drainage Areas

Based on the results of the completed sewer design sheet found in Appendix C, Table 5-1 shows the identified required outlet sizes for each approximate pond location.

Table 5-1: Required Outlet Size

Outlet #	Size (mm)
SWM1	900
SWM2	900
SWM3	1050
SWM4	1200
SWM5	1350
SWM6	750
SWM7	1350
SWM8	1200
SWM9	1200

Note that pipe slopes identified in the design sheet were assigned based on the existing ground contours for the area and the required outlet elevations, with the intent of ensuring suitable cover over all proposed pipes.

6 PRELIMINARY COSTING

Preliminary costing for the conceptual water, sanitary, and stormwater servicing is provided in Table 6-1. Note – neither road works, utilities (including hydro, gas and communications servicing), nor restoration cost (asphalt) for works proposed on existing roads (Rice Road, Quaker Road, and First Avenue) are included in this estimate. A more detailed breakdown of these preliminary cost estimates can be found in Appendix D.

Item	Scope of Work	Cost
Water Distribution System	Watermain (150mm to 300mm) including services, valves, and hydrants	\$26,005,010
Sanitary Collection Servicing	Sanitary Sewer (200mm to 450mm), including laterals and structures	\$37,598,910
Storm Collection Servicing	Storm Sewer (450mm to 1350mm), including structures	\$19,136,475
Sub-total	Water/Sanitary/Storm	\$82,740,395
Engineering	10% of Capital	\$8,274,200
Contingency	15% of Capital	\$12,411,200
TOTAL		\$103,425,795

Table 6-1: Preliminary Cost Estimate for Municipal Servicing

7 CONCLUSIONS

The conclusions from the water, sanitary, and storm servicing capacity assessments are as follows:

Water:

- The addition of the NWSP development to the City's system does not negatively impact the surrounding system, and instead should improve pressures and fire flows in the system.
- The existing system has sufficient storage to support the future NWSP development.
- Proposed pipe servicing details for the development are provided in Figure 3-4. These include:
 - To supply fire flows for the development, the existing 150mm diameter main on Rice Road needs to be upgraded to a minimum of 250mm diameter.
 - To supply water and adequate fire flows to the south-west portion of the development, a new 300mm diameter interconnection (Loop-A) is required to connect the existing 750mm regional trunk main on Clare Avenue to the existing 300mm main on Quaker Road.
 - A new 300mm diameter main (Loop-B) branching off from Quaker Road will be required to supply the north area of the development.
- The proposed development does not negatively impact the existing low-pressure areas identified near Shoalt's Reservoir.

Sanitary:

- The existing trunk along Quaker Road, which conveys flows to the Towpath SPS, has sufficient capacity to accept the additional 143.3 L/s peak flow generated by the NWSP area, with the exception of pipe segment 19001405 on Towpath Road between Grisdale Road and the Towpath Road SPS. Model results indicate this segment has only 130L/s of available capacity.
- The Towpath SPS was identified in the Region MSPU as requiring an upgrade due to both growth north of the study area and the redirection of a portion of the flows from Pelham (north-west of Line Avenue) to the Towpath SPS through the Quaker Road trunk sewer. The timing of the Towpath SPS upgrade is 2022-2026 and will be required to be completed before significant development can occur within the NWSP area.
- The Towpath SPS forcemain has sufficient existing capacity; however, will have a projected capacity deficit for 2051 growth. There is already a constructed 600mm diameter forcemain that will require commissioning in line with Towpath SPS upgrades during the timeframe of 2032-2036 (WW-FM-022).
- The trunk sewer that the Towpath SPS forcemain discharges to has available capacity between the discharge point and the WWTP to accept an increase in flow.
- The WWTP has sufficient capacity to allow for the addition of the NWSP area.
- Future sanitary sewer sizing will range from 200 mm diameter to 450 mm diameter. Sizing to be confirmed during design.
- The phasing of future development within the NWSP area is not currently known; however, the proposed layout of this area is such that the individual quadrants (defined as: areas west of Rice Road and north of Quaker Road; areas west of Rice Road and south of Quaker Road; areas east of Rice Road and north of Quaker Road; areas east of Rice Road and north of Quaker Road; areas east of Rice Road and north of Quaker Road; areas east of First Avenue and north of Quaker Road; and areas west of First Avenue) can mostly be developed independently of each other, with exceptions noted below.
 - The proposed city trunk sewer on Quaker Road (from NW3 to RMH1) must be constructed prior to any of the development west of Rice Road and lands fronting the east side of Rice Road both north and south of Quaker Road.

- A portion of the proposed city trunk sewer on Quaker Road (from NW9 to RMH1) must be constructed prior to any development occurring east of Rice Road and west of First Avenue.
- For servicing Option 1, the proposed city trunk sewer on First Avenue (from NW11 to RMH2) must be constructed prior to development occurring immediately east and west of First Avenue.
- Alternatively, to eliminate duplication of trunk infrastructure along Quaker Road and Rice Road, additional connections can be considered directly to the regional trunk main in order to eliminate the need for a 'local' trunk system and most of the phasing exceptions noted above.

Storm:

• The stormwater management plan developed by Upper Canada Consultants identified approximate locations for nine (9) new storm water ponds to service the NWSP area. Gravity sewers along the existing and future roads will direct runoff to these pond locations. Outlet sizing for the ponds will range from approximately 750 mm diameter to 1350 mm diameter. Sizing to be confirmed during design.

APPENDIX A - WATER



Legend: Minimum Pressure		
(< 140 kPa	Existing Watermain	Associated Engineering Platinum member
<mark>(</mark> 140 - 275 kPa	Proposed Development	Net where se second in Plan
(275 - 350 kPa	Proposed Development	Municipal'Servicing
(350 - 550 kPa		Existing Min Pressure during ADD EPS
(550 - 700 kPa		Project No: 2023-5773
(Figure A-1



Legend: Minimum P	ressure			A BEST
(< 140 kPa		Existing Watermain	Asso	neering Platinum member
(140 - 275 kPa		Proposed Development	Northwest S Municip	e Secondary Pain Al Servicing
(275 - 350 kPa		r toposed Development	Munic	lipal Servicing
(350 - 550 kPa			Existing Min Pres	sure during MDD EPS
(550 - 700 kPa			Project No: 2023-5773	
(>700 kPa			Date: November 2023	Figure A-2



<u>Leger</u>	1d: Available Fire Flow	 Existing Watermain	Asse	
(< 37 L/s	 Existing watermain	Engl	Platinum member
(37 - 67 L/s	Proposed Development	Northwes Municipa Munici	t Secondary Plan a Servicing Ipal Servicing
(67 - 95 L/s		Existing Available	
(95 - 133 L/s			
Ϋ́			Project No: 2023-5773	Figure A-3
(>133 L/s		Date: November 2023	


Legend: Minimum Pre	ssure			MANAGED
(< 140 kPa		Existing Watermain	Asso	neering Platinum member
(140 - 275 kPa		Proposed Development	Northwest S Municipa	e Secondary Pain Secondary Plan
(275 - 350 kPa		r toposed Development	Munic	ipai Servicing
(350 - 550 kPa			Future Min Pressure dur	ring ADD EPS - Without NWSP
(550 - 700 kPa			Project No: 2023-5773	
(>700 kPa			Date: November 2023	Figure A-4



(< 140 kPa
(140 - 275 kPa

- (275 350 kPa
- (350 550 kPa
- ∑ 550 700 kPa ∑ >700 kPa

Existing V

Existing Watermain

Proposed Development





Legend: Available Fire Flow	Existing Watermain	
(< 37 L/s		Engineering Platinum member
(37 - 67 L/s	Proposed Development	NNBYTENESE SECONDAND
(67 - 95 L/s		Available Fire Flow during Future MDD - Without NWSP
(95 - 133 L/s		
× × 400 L/-		Project No: 2023-5773
(>133 L/s		Date: November 2023



Legend: Minimum Pressure			
(< 140 kPa	Existing Watermain	Asso	neering Platinum member
(140 - 275 kPa	Proposed Development	Nentherster	esecondary Plan
(275 - 350 kPa		mmmrc	ipal'Servicing
(350 - 550 kPa		Future Min Pressure du	uring ADD EPS - With NWSP
(550 - 700 kPa		Project No: 2023-5773	
(>700 kPa		Date: November 2023	Figure A-7



Lege	nd: Minimum Pressure	 		MANAGED
(< 140 kPa	 Existing Watermain	Asso	ineering Platinum member
(140 - 275 kPa	Pronosed Development	Nentherster	a Servicing
(275 - 350 kPa	Toposed Development	"Munic	Servicing
(350 - 550 kPa		Future Min Pressure d	uring MDD EPS - With NWSP
(550 - 700 kPa		Project No: 2023-5773	
(>700 kPa		Date: November 2023	Figure A-8



Legend: Available Fire Flow	Existing Watermain	
(< 37 L/s		Engineering Platinum member
(37 - 67 L/s	Proposed Development	Net the second and th
(67 - 95 L/s		
(95 - 133 L/s		Future Available Fire Flow during MDD EPS - With NWSP
		Project No: 2023-5773
(> 133 L/s		Date: November 2023 Figure A-9

APPENDIX B - SANITARY

Northwest Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing 2041 Quaker Road to Towpath SPS Trunk Sewer Available Capacity

		2041 without Line	Avenue Connection	2041 with Line Avenue Connection					
Pipe Segment ID		Deals Flow 2041 (L/a)	Available Capacity	Deals Flows 2041 (L/a)	Available Capacity				
	(L/ S)	Peak Flow 2041 (L/S)	(L/s)	Peak FIOW 2041 (L/S)	(L/s)				
19001374	608	146	462	246	362				
19001375	547	146	401	246	301				
19001376	383	147	236	247	136				
19001377	495	147	348	247	248				
19001378	446	147	299	247	199				
19001366	282	125	157	124	158				
19001367	327	126	201	125	202				
19001365	313	124	189	124	189				
19001364	370	124	246	123	247				
19001363	353	123	230	122	231				
19001379	639	147	492	247	392				
19001380	623	147	476	247	376				
19001381	540	148	392	248	292				
19001382	729	148	581	248	481				
19001383	452	148	304	248	204				
19001384	720	149	571	249	471				
19001385	747	149	598	249	498				
19001386	638	149	489	249	389				
19001387	588	149	439	249	339				
19001388	638	150	488	250	388				
19001389	816	150	666	250	566				
19001390	671	170	501	270	401				
19001391	731	170	561	270	461				
19001392	718	170	548	270	448				
19001393	731	170	561	270	461				
19001394	717	170	547	270	447				
19001395	714	170	544	270	444				
19001396	733	170	563	270	463				
19001397	844	170	674	270	574				
19001398	708	170	538	270	438				
19001399	740	170	570	270	470				
19001400	718	170	548	270	448				
19001401	718	170	548	270	448				
19001402	918	170	748	270	648				
19001403	917	170	747	270	647				
19001404	907	170	737	270	637				
19001405	401	171	230	271	130				
19001406	923	171	752	271	652				
19001407	1143	177	966	277	866				
19001408	914	177	737	277	637				
19001409	914	177	737	277	637				
19001410	912	177	735	277	635				
19001411	914	177	737	277	637				
19001412	1125	220	905	320	805				
19001413	889	220	669	320	569				
19001519	3470	220	3250	320	3150				
19001520	3544	220	3324	320	3224				





SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET Design Option - 1

Project: Welland Northwest Secondary Plan Location:

Roughness Coefficient (n) = 0.013 Residential Per Capita Flow Rate = 0.00318287 L/cap/s (275 L/cap/day) Infiltration Rate 0.286 L/s/ha

	LOCATION	1								NWSP POPULA	ATION AND FLOW D	ATA			EX TRU	NK FLOW	TOTAL (NWSP + EX)						SEWER D	DESIGN					
DESCRIPTION	DRAINAGE AREA	MAI	NHOLE	U/S D/S	LENGTH	AREA	POP	AREA	JLATIVE POP. Served	AVG. DAILY FLOW	PEAKING FACTOR (PF = 1+14/(4+P^1/2))	PEAK FLOW (NO INFIL.)	INFILT. FLOW	PEAK FLOW (W/ INFIL.)	ADDITIONAL PEAK FLOW (FROM MODEL)	CUMULATIVE PEAK FLOW) (FROM MODEL)	TOTAL PEAK FLOW	PIPE SIZE	ACTUAL SLOPE	APPROX. CRITICAL SLOPE	DESIGN SLOPE	Act. Dia.	PIPE AREA	HYD. RAD.	FULL FLOW VELOCITY	FULL FLOW CAPACITY	PERCENT FULL	CAPACITY CHECK	ACTUAL VELOCITY
STREET	D	FROM	TO		m	(ha)	(ppl)	(ha)	(ppl)	(l/s)	(dmnl)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)		(L/s)	(mm)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(mm)	(m ²)	(m)	(m/s)	(L/s)	(%)		(m/s)
Rice Road (N of Quaker)	A1	NW1	NW2	182.30 181.0	2 200	6.0	532	6.0	532	1.69	3.96	6.71	1.72	8.43	0.0	0.0	8.4	200	0.64	1.54	0.64	203.2	0.032	0.051	0.84	27.4	30.8	ОК	0.65
Rice Road (N of Quaker)	A2, B1	NW2	NW3	181.02 180.1	0 197	10.6	868	16.6	1400	4.46	3.70	16.49	4.76	21.25	0.0	0.0	21.2	250	0.47	1.43	0.47	254.0	0.051	0.064	0.84	42.5	50.0	ОК	0.74
Quaker Road (W of Rice)	12	NW4	NW5	184.80 183.8	0 112	3.4	330	3.4	330	1.05	4.00	4.21	0.98	5.18	0.0	0.0	5.2	200	0.89	1.54	0.89	203.2	0.032	0.051	1.00	32.3	16.1	ОК	0.64
		114/0	NA47	100.00 105.0										45.04															
NWSP (W of Rice, S of Quaker)	H1, I1	NVV6	NVV7	186.30 185.3	0 210	13.8	938	13.8	938	2.99	3.82	11.40	3.94	15.34	0.0	0.0	15.3	200	0.48	1.54	0.48	203.2	0.032	0.051	0.73	23.7	64.7	OK	0.69
NWSP (W OFRICE, S OFQUARER)	J1	NVV7	CVVVI	185.30 183.8	0 389	7.0	454	20.8	1392	4.43	3.70	16.41	0.90	22.30	0.0	0.0	22.4	250	0.39	1.43	0.39	254.0	0.051	0.064	0.76	38.7	57.7	UK	0.70
Quaker Road (W of Rice)	-	NW5	NW3	183.80 180.1	0 370		+	24.2	1722.6	5.48	3.64	19.93	6.93	26.87	0.0	0.0	26.9	250	1.00	1.43	1.00	254.0	0.051	0.064	1.22	62.0	43.3	OK	1.04
dualitier ridda (11 of ridd)			1000	100.00 100.1	0.0	•••••		21.2		0.10	0.01	10.00	0.00	20.01	0.0	0.0	20.0				1.00		0.001		1.22	02.0	10.0		
Rice Road (S of Quaker)	K1	NW8	NW3	184.50 180.1	0 387	5.7	1229	5.7	1229	3.91	3.74	14.63	1.64	16.27	0.0	0.0	16.3	200	1.14	1.54	1.14	203.2	0.032	0.051	1.13	36.5	44.5	ок	0.96
																						1							
Quaker Road (Rice to W of First)	-	NW3	NW9	180.10 179.2	4 287	-	-	46.6	4351.4	13.85	3.30	45.71	13.33	59.04	0.0	0.0	59.0	375	0.30	1.25	0.30	381.0	0.114	0.095	0.88	100.2	58.9	OK	0.81
Quaker Road (Rice to W of First)	C1, L1	NW9	NW10	179.24 178.7	2 261	16.6	1842	63.2	6193	19.71	3.16	62.25	18.09	80.33	0.0	0.0	80.3	450	0.20	1.17	0.20	457.2	0.164	0.114	0.81	133.0	60.4	OK	0.75
Quaker Road (Rice to W of First)	M1	NW10	416457MH01 (RMH1)	178.72 178.5	8 69	7.1	661	70.3	6854	21.82	3.12	67.97	20.10	88.07	0.0	0.0	88.1	450	0.20	1.17	0.20	457.2	0.164	0.114	0.81	133.0	66.2	OK	0.77
Flows from Hurricane SPS/Rice Road (North)	-	-	406497MH01		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	125.0	125.0	125.0	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
																													L
Flows from West of Quaker and Rice	-	-	406497MH01		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	119.0	119.0	119.0	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Quaker Road (Region Trunk E of Rice)	-	406497MH01	416457MH01 (RMH1)	179.94 178.5	8 618	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	244.0	244.0	750	0.22	0.99	0.22	762.0	0.456	0.191	1.19	544.8	44.8	ОК	1.02
Overlage Decid (M) of First to First)			44C407MU04 (DMU0)	470 50 470 5	5 007			70.0	0054	01.00	0.40	07.07	00.40	00.07	0.0	044.0	000.4	750	0.40	0.00		700.0	0.450	0.101	1 00	101.0	74.5	01/	
Quaker Road (W of First to First)	-	410457/MHU1 (RMH1)	41048/IVIHU1 (RIVIHZ)	1/8.58 1/8.2	5 207	-		70.3	6854	21.82	3.12	67.97	20.10	88.07	0.0	244.0	332.1	/50	0.16	0.99	0.16	762.0	0.456	0.191	1.02	464.6	/1.5	UK	0.99
First Ave (N of Ousker)	C2 D1 E2	NRA/11	NW/12	170.40 178.4	1 303	26.1	2002	26.1	2002	10.26	2.42	25.04	7 47	42.51	0.0	0.0	42.5	375	0.25	1.25	0.25	201.0	0.114	0.005	0.80	01.5	46.5	04	0.60
First Ave (N of Quaker)	62, D1, F2	NW/12	416497MU01 (PMU2)	179.40 170.4	5 80	20.1	1122	20.1	4246	12.02	2 20	45.66	9.93	54.40	0.0	0.0	42.J 54.5	375	0.20	1.25	0.20	291.0	0.114	0.095	0.30	91.5	40.5	OK	0.09
	LI	1999 12	41040/101101 (100112)	170.41 170.2	5 00	4.0	1123	30.9	4340	13.03	5.50	45.00	0.05	54.45	0.0	0.0	J4.J	575	0.20	1.25	0.20	301.0	0.114	0.095	0.72	01.0	00.0	OK	0.00
Quaker Road (First to W of Niagara)	-	416487MH01 (RMH2)	426427MH01 (RMH3)	178.25 177.0	7 521	-	-	101.2	11200	35.65	2.91	103.58	28.93	132.52	3.0	247.0	379.5	750	0.23	0.99	0.23	762.0	0.456	0.191	1.22	557.0	68.1	OK	1.17
							1															1							
NWSP (N of Quaker, E of First)	F1, G1	NW13	426427MH01 (RMH3)	177.29 177.0	7 50	10.9	980	10.9	980	3.12	3.81	11.87	3.13	15.00	0.0	0.0	15.0	200	0.44	1.54	0.44	203.2	0.032	0.051	0.70	22.7	66.1	ОК	0.67
							1												1			1	1		1				
Quaker Road (W of Niagara to Towpath)	-	426427MH01 (RMH3)	436437MH03	177.07 171.7	8 1320	-	-	112.1	12181	38.77	2.87	111.23	32.07	143.30	23.0	270.0	413.3	750	0.40	0.99	0.40	762.0	0.456	0.191	1.61	734.5	56.3	OK	1.47
Towpath (to SPS)	-	436540MH01	446525MH01	171.05 169.4	0 1002	-	-	112.1	12181	38.77	2.87	111.23	32.07	143.30	50.0	320.0	463.3	900	0.16	0.93	0.16	914.4	0.657	0.229	1.15	755.4	61.3	OK	1.07

Notes: 1. Residential design flows as per UCC 2. Slopes approximate; calculated based on length 3. Infiltration rate is 0.286 as per Region Master Plan Update 2021 4. Peak Factors for NWSP Flows as per Harmon's Formula 5. Population for NWSP as per UCC 6. All other peak flows as per All Pipe Model



SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET Design Option - 2

Project: Welland Northwest Secondary Plan Location:

 Roughness Coefficient (n) =
 0.013

 Residential Per Capita Flow Rate =
 0.00318287
 L/cap/s (275 L/cap/day)

 Infiltration Rate =
 0.286
 L/s/ha

	LOCATION										NWSP POPUL	ATION AND FLOW D	ATA			EX TRU	NK FLOW	TOTAL (NWSP + EX)						SEWER D	ESIGN					
DESCRIPTION	DRAINAGE AREA	MAN	HOLE	INV	ERTS	LENGTH	AREA	POP	CUM AREA	POP.	AVG. DAILY FLOW	PEAKING FACTOR (PF = 1+14/(4+P^1/2))	PEAK FLOW (NO INFIL.)	INFILT. FLOW	PEAK FLOW (W/ INFIL.)	ADDITIONAL PEAK FLOW	CUMULATIVE PEAK FLOW	TOTAL PEAK FLOW	PIPE SIZE	ACTUAL SLOPE	APPROX. CRITICAL SLOPE	DESIGN SLOPE	Act. Dia.	PIPE AREA	HYD. RAD.	FULL FLOW VELOCITY	FULL FLOW CAPACITY	PERCENT FULL	CAPACITY CHECK	ACTUAL VELOCITY
				U/S	D/S					Served						(FROM MODEL) (FROM MODEL)													
STREET	ID	FROM	то			m	(ha)	(ppl)	(ha)	(ppl)	(l/s)	(dmnl)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)		(L/s)	(mm)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(mm)	(m ²)	(m)	(m/s)	(L/s)	(%)		(m/s)
Rice Road (N of Quaker)	A1	NW1	NW2	182.30	181.02	200	6.0	532	6.0	532	1.69	3.96	6.71	1.72	8.43	0.0	0.0	8.4	200	0.64	1.54	0.64	203.2	0.032	0.051	0.84	27.4	30.8	ок	0.65
Rice Road (N of Quaker)	A2, B1	NW2	NW3	181.02	180.10	197	10.6	868	16.6	1400	4.46	3.70	16.49	4.76	21.24	0.0	0.0	21.2	250	0.47	1.43	0.47	254.0	0.051	0.064	0.84	42.5	50.0	ОК	0.74
Quaker Road (W of Rice)	12	NW4	NW5	184.80	183.80	112	3.4	330	3.4	330	1.05	4.00	4.21	0.98	5.18	0.0	0.0	5.2	200	0.89	1.54	0.89	203.2	0.032	0.051	1.00	32.3	16.1	ОК	0.64
NWSP (W of Rice, S of Quaker)	H1, I1	NW6	NW7	186.30	185.30	210	13.8	938	13.8	938	2.99	3.82	11.40	3.95	15.35	0.0	0.0	15.3	200	0.48	1.54	0.48	203.2	0.032	0.051	0.73	23.7	64.7	ОК	0.69
NWSP (W of Rice, S of Quaker)	J1	NW7	NW5	185.30	183.80	389	7.0	454	20.8	1392	4.43	3.70	16.41	5.96	22.37	0.0	0.0	22.4	250	0.39	1.43	0.39	254.0	0.051	0.064	0.76	38.7	57.7	ОК	0.70
Quaker Road (W of Rice)	-	NW5	NW3	183.80	180.10	370	-	-	24.3	1722.6	5.48	3.64	19.93	6.94	26.87	0.0	0.0	26.9	250	1.00	1.43	1.00	254.0	0.051	0.064	1.22	62.0	43.3	ОК	1.04
				101.50	100.10	007									40.07															
Rice Road (S of Quaker)	K1	NVV8	NVV3	184.50	180.10	387	5.7	1229	5.7	1229	3.91	3.74	14.63	1.64	16.27	0.0	0.0	16.3	200	1.14	1.54	1.14	203.2	0.032	0.051	1.13	36.5	44.5	OK	0.96
Quaker Road (Rice to W of First)	-	NW3	NW9	180 10	179.24	287			46.6	4351.4	13.85	3.30	45 71	13.33	59.04	0.0	0.0	59.0	375	0.30	1.25	0.30	381.0	0 114	0.095	0.88	100.2	58.9	ОК	0.81
Quaker Road (Rice to W of First)	C1. C2. L1	NW9	NW10	179.24	178.72	261	31.2	3640	77.8	7991	25.44	3.05	77.60	22.25	99.84	0.0	0.0	99.8	450	0.20	1.17	0.20	457.2	0.164	0.114	0.81	133.0	75.1	ОК	0.80
Quaker Road (Rice to W of First)	M1	NW10	416457MH01 (RMH1)	178.72	178.58	51	7.1	661	84.8	8652	27.54	3.02	83.08	24.26	107.34	0.0	0.0	107.3	450	0.27	1.17	0.27	457.2	0.164	0.114	0.94	154.6	69.5	ОК	0.91
Flows from Hurricane SPS/Rice Road (North)	-	-	406497MH01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	125.0	125.0	125.0	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Flows from West of Quaker and Rice	-	-	406497MH01					-		-	-	-	-	-	-	119.0	119.0	119.0	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Quaker Road (Region Trunk E of Rice)	-	406497MH01	416457MH01 (RMH1)	179.94	178.58	618	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	0.0	244.0	244.0	750	0.22	0.99	0.22	762.0	0.456	0.191	1.19	544.8	44.8	ОК	1.02
			1																								1			
Quaker Road (W of First to W of Niagara)	-	416457MH01 (RMH1)	426427MH01 (RMH3)	178.58	177.07	728	-	-	84.8	8652	27.54	3.02	83.08	24.26	107.34	3.0	247.0	354.3	750	0.21	0.99	0.21	762.0	0.456	0.191	1.17	532.2	66.6	OK	1.11
NWSP (N of Quaker, E of First)	D1, E1	NW11	NW12	179.99	178.32	408	4.9	1089	4.9	1089	3.47	3.78	13.09	1.40	14.49	0.0	0.0	14.5	200	0.41	1.54	0.41	203.2	0.032	0.051	0.68	21.9	66.1	ОК	0.64
NWSP (N of Quaker, E of First)	F2	NW12	NW13	178.32	177.40	306	7.4	417	12.3	1506	4.79	3.68	17.64	3.53	21.17	0.0	0.0	21.2	300	0.30	1.34	0.30	304.8	0.073	0.076	0.76	55.3	38.3	OK	0.62
NWSP (N of Quaker, E of First)	E2, F1	NW13	NVV14	177.40	177.07	117	14.2	1/53	26.5	3259	10.37	3.41	35.39	7.58	42.97	0.0	0.0	43.0	3/5	0.20	1.25	0.20	381.0	0.114	0.095	0.72	81.8	52.5		0.64
INVISE (NOI QUAREI, E OI FIISI)	G1	INVV14	420427 WITUT (RIVIN3)	177.17	177.07		0.8	269	21.3	3528	11.23	3.38	31.91	7.81	40.78	0.0	0.0	40.8	3/5	0.20	1.25	0.20	361.0	0.114	0.095	0.72	01.8	0.0	UK	0.05
Quaker Road (W of Niagara to Towpath)	-	426427MH01 (RMH3)	436437MH03	177.07	171.78	1320	-	-	112.1	12181	38.77	2.87	111.23	32.07	143.30	23.0	270.0	413.3	750	0.40	0.99	0.40	762.0	0.456	0.191	1.61	734.5	56.3	OK	1.47
Towpath (to SPS)	-	436540MH01	446525MH01	171.05	169.40	1002	-	-	112.1	12181	38.77	2.87	111.23	32.07	143.30	50.0	320.0	463.3	900	0.16	0.93	0.16	914.4	0.657	0.229	1.15	755.4	61.3	ОК	1.07
																	1										-			

Notes: 1. Residential design flows as per UCC 2. Slopes approximate; calculated based on length 3. Infiltration rate is 0.286 as per Region Master Plan Update 2021 4. Peak Factors for NWSP Flows as per Harmon's Formula 5. Population for NWSP as per UCC 6. All other peak flows as per All Pipe Model



APPENDIX C - STORM





						0		OLWEN	DEGICI		- 1				AE	Associate Engineeri	d GLOBAL ng LOCAL FO	PERSPECTIVE. DCUS.
Q=2.78AiR	Storm Event =	5.00	Years															
A = Area (ha)	а	b	с				N	orthwest	Seconda	ry Plan								
R = Runoff Coefficient	830	0.777	7.3					Municip	bal Servic	ing							JOB No.:	2023-5773
T _c = Time of Concentration	n =	0.013																
i = Avg Rainfall Intensity (mm/	′hr) = a / (T _c +c) ^b																	
DE	VELOPMENT DA	TA				DES	IGN DATA							PIPE DATA	4			
AREA	FROM	то	AREA	RUNOFF	A * R	ACCUM	TIME OF	INTENSITY	PEAK	PIPE	SLOPE	CRITICAL	DESIGN	LENGTH	FLOW	VEL	TRAVEL	%
NO			(ha)	COEFF.		A*R	CONC.	i	FLOW	DIA		SLOPE	SLOPE		FULL	FULL	TIME	FULL
				R			(min)	(mm/hr)	(l/s)	(mm)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(m)	(l/s)	(m/s)	(min)	
Pond 1																		
A1	NW1	SWM 1	5.70	0.53	3.006	3.006	12.00	83.21	695.399	900	0.20	0.93	0.20	40	809.60	1.27	0.52	85.89
Pond 2																<u> </u>		
A2	NW2	SWM2	7.33	0.52	3.775	3.775	12.00	83.21	873.297	900	0.30	0.93	0.30	40	991.55	1.56	0.43	88.07
Pond 3																		
B1. Ex.2. C1	NW3	SWM3	8.50	0.49	4,193	4,193	12.00	83.21	969.880	1050	0.30	0.89	0.30	40	1495.68	1.73	0.39	64.85
,,																		
Pond 4																		
Ex. 1, C2	NW4	SWM4	18.00	0.50	9.034	9.034	15.00	74.38	1867.971	1200	0.30	0.85	0.30	40	2135.42	1.89	0.35	87.48
Pond 5																		
H1, I1, I2, J1	NW5	SWM5	21.77	0.51	11.131	11.131	15.00	74.38	2301.570	1350	0.30	0.81	0.30	40	2923.42	2.04	0.33	78.73
Pond 6																		
L2	NW6	SWM6	3.88	0.50	1.940	1.940	12.00	83.21	448.794	750	0.30	0.99	0.30	40	609.77	1.38	0.48	73.60
	_														L			
Pond 7															ļ			
K1, Ex.3, L1, M1	NW7	SWM7	22.90	0.53	12.041	12.041	15.00	74.38	2489.732	1350	0.30	0.81	0.30	40	2923.42	2.04	0.33	85.17
Dawdo	_														L	<u> </u>		
F2 F1 C1	NIM/O	CW/M0	14.24	0.52	7.624	7.624	15.00	74.00	4570 404	1000	0.20	0.95	0.20	110	2425.42	1.00	1.00	72.02
E2, F1, G1	INVVO	SVVIVIO	14.31	0.55	1.034	1.034	15.00	/4.30	13/8.491	1200	0.30	0.00	0.30	011	2133.42	1.09	1.02	13.92
Pond 9																		
D1. E1. F2	NW9	SWM9	13.14	0.53	6.975	6.975	15.00	74.38	1442.229	1200	0.30	0.85	0.30	116	2135.42	1.89	1.02	67.54
5., 2., . 2				0.00	0.010	0.010				.200	0.00	0.00	0.00					0.104
	-					-	-	-			-	-	-	•	·			

STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET

APPENDIX D - COST ESTIMATE DETAIL

Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing

Preliminary Cost Estimate

Watermain				
Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Cost
150mm PVC DR18 Watermain	10772	m	\$455	\$4,901,260
150mm Gate Valve & Box	94	each	\$3,250	\$305,500
200 mm PVC DR18 Watermain	360	m	\$520	\$187,200
200mm Gate Valve & Box	3	each	\$4,225	\$12,675
250 mm PVC DR18 Watermain	520	m	\$620	\$322,400
250mm Gate Valve & Box	4	each	\$5,200	\$20,800
300mm PVC DR18 Watermain	2755	m	\$845	\$2,327,975
300mm Gate Valve & Box	29	each	\$7,150	\$207,350
Water Services	4350	each	\$2,600	\$11,310,000
Hydrants	97	each	\$9,750	\$945,750
Connect to Existing	9	each	\$6,500	\$58,500
Granular A	86900	t	\$35	\$3,041,500
Other General Construction	1	LS	\$2,364,100	\$2,364,100
Subtotal				\$26,005,010
Contingency (15% of subtotal)				\$3,900,800
Engineering (10% of subtotal)				\$2,600,600
Total				\$32,506,410
Rounded Total				\$32,600,000

Sanitary Sewer				
Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Cost
200mm PVC DR35	13,732	m	\$490	\$6,728,680
250mm PVC DR35	956	m	\$585	\$559,260
375mm PVC DR35	760	m	\$975	\$741,000
450mm PVC DR35	330	m	\$1,175	\$387,750
Maintenance Hole Structure	136	each	\$13,000	\$1,768,000
Sanitary Laterals	4,350	each	\$3,900	\$16,965,000
Connect to Existing Trunk	3	each	\$6,500	\$19,500
Granular A	182,300	t	\$35	\$6,380,500
Flush & CCTV (end of construction)	15,778	m	\$20	\$315,560
Flush & CCTV (end of maintenance)	15,778	m	\$20	\$315,560
Other General Construction	1	LS	\$3,418,100	\$3,418,100
Subtotal				\$37,598,910
Contingency (15% of subtotal)				\$5,639,900
Engineering (10% of subtotal)				\$3,759,900
Total				\$46,998,710
Rounded Total				\$47,000,000

Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Municipal Servicing

Preliminary Cost Estimate

Storm Sewer				
450mm PVC DR35 Ultra Rib	2204	m	\$455	\$1,002,820
525mm PVC DR35 Ultra Rib	2515	m	\$520	\$1,307,800
600mm CONC	2661	m	\$585	\$1,556,685
675mm CONC	81	m	\$815	\$66,015
750mm CONC	902	m	\$1,025	\$924,550
825mm CONC	554	m	\$1,175	\$650,950
900mm CONC	1015	m	\$1,380	\$1,400,700
1050mm CONC	941	m	\$1,775	\$1,670,275
1200mm CONC	332	m	\$2,190	\$727,080
1350mm CONC	80	m	\$2,795	\$223,600
1200mm Diameter MH	68	each	\$13,000	\$884,000
1500mm Diameter CBMH	13	each	\$18,200	\$236,600
1800mm Diameter CBMH	18	each	\$20,800	\$374,400
2400mm Diameter CBMH	2	each	\$24,700	\$49,400
Catchbasin	380	each	\$4,175	\$1,586,500
Catchbasin leads	1900	m	\$490	\$931,000
Granular A	95800	t	\$35	\$3,353,000
Flush & CCTV (end of construction)	11285	m	\$20	\$225,700
Flush & CCTV (end of maintenance)	11285	m	\$20	\$225,700
Other General Construction	1	LS	\$1,739,700	\$1,739,700
Subtotal				\$19,136,475
Contingency (15% of subtotal)				\$2,870,500
Engineering (10% of subtotal)				\$1,913,700
Total				\$23,920,675
Rounded Total				\$24,000,000

APPENDIX D

Stormwater Management Information

PRE-DEVELOPMENT



Time to Peak Calculations - Pre-Development Conditions

Time to peak (Tp) values derived from time of concentration (Tc) calculations based on the Airport Method Equation:

$$T_{c} = \frac{3.26(1.1-C)L^{0.5}}{S_{W}^{0.33}}$$
 (MTO Drainage Manual Design Chart 1.12)

 T_c = Overland flow time of concentration (min)

L = Flow travel length (m)

S = Basin slope (%)

C = Runoff coefficient

From this, Time-to-peak (Tp) = 0.67 Tc

The time to peak values used in the NASHYD command for the existing conditions hydrologic modeling are shown below.

Catchment	Area	Length	"C"	Slope	Tc	Тр		
ID	(ha)	(m)	0	(m/m)	(min)	(min)	(hrs)	
901	3.06	160	0.20	0.0030	55.22	37.00	0.62	
902	3.50	200	0.20	0.0075	45.63	30.57	0.51	
903	9.49	250	0.20	0.0040	62.77	42.06	0.70	
904	3.47	160	0.20	0.0100	37.11	24.87	0.41	
905	2.55	130	0.20	0.0075	36.78	24.65	0.41	
906	0.39	35	0.20	0.0050	21.82	14.62	0.24	

SWMHYMO HYDROLOGIC MODELING PARAMETERS

PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC MODELING PARAMETERS														
Catchment	Catchment Description	Hydrograph	Area	Perv.	Perv. la	Impervi	ous (%)	Flow Le	ngth (m)	Mann	ing "n"	Slop	e (%)	Time to Peak
Lands on sout	th side of Towpath Drain	Method	(na)	CN	(mm)	TIMP	XIMP	Perv.	Imperv.	Perv.	imperv.	Perv.	Imperv.	Ip (hrs)
901	Undeveloped Primont lands south of watercourse	NASHYD	3.06	74	8.924									0.62
902	Undevelopmed Mountainview lands west of Primont	NASHYD	3.50	74	8.924									0.51
602	Rear-yards for existing lots fronting Quaker Road	STANDHYD	1.00	74	8.924	30	30	10	30	0.250	0.015	2.0	0.5	
		Total	7.56											
Lands on nort	h side of Towpath Drain													
903	Undevelopmed Primont lands north of watercourse	NASHYD	9.49	74	8.924									0.70
904	Undeveloped lands west of Primont	NASHYD	3.47	74	8.924									0.41
905	Undeveloped Primont lands on east east side adjacent First Ave.	NASHYD	2.55	74	8.924									0.41
		Total	15.51											
Lands on nort	h side draining to Singers Drain						L.							
906	Portion of north subcatchment located of Primont lands draining north to Singers Drain	NASHYD	0.36	74	8.924									0.24
	Total D	rainage Area	23.43											

- Pervious Initial Abstraction (Perv. la) set at 5.0mm. More conservative (lower) than the typical la = 0.1 x S, where S = (25400 / CN) - 254

- Depression Storage over Impervious areas (DPSI) = 1.0 mm

PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS SWMHYMO HYDROLOGIC MODELING SCHEMATIC



(C:\...Pri-PRE.dat)

2 Metric units		START	TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[125] 25MM.STM
*# Project Name: P *# 1	RIMONT HOMES WELLAND AND THOROLD, ONTARIO	* *% ptntcu	
*# Date : M	ARCH 2024 - FSR	FINISH	
*# Company : W *# File : P *#***	ALTER FEDY RI-PRE.DAT CN=74 to match UCC, Ia = 8.924mm		
* START	TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[002] WELL4002.STM		
* READ STORM *	STORM_FILENAME "STORM.001"	*#*************************************	
~ *#***********************************	******	*#*************************************	*****
*# PRE-DEVEL	OPMENT CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC MODELING	*# POST-DEVE	COPMENT CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC MODELING
*# Pre-deve *#**********************************	lopment catchments match post-developmnet catchments	*#************************************	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
*%	L LANDS SOUTH OF WATERCOURSE ID=[1], NHYD=["901"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[3.06](ha), DWF=[0](cms), CN/C=[74], IA=[8.924](mm), N=[3], TP=[0.62]hrs, RAINFALL=[, , , ,](mm/hr), END=-1	*# CATCHMENT 201 - CALIB STANDHYD	<pre>PRIMONT LANDS ID=[1], NHYD=["201"], DT=[1](min), AREA=[2.6](ha), XIMP=[0.65], TIMP=[0.75], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], SCS curve number CN=[74], Pervious surfaces: IAper=[8.924](mm), SLPP=[2.0](%), LGP=[10](m), MMP=[0.250], SCD=[0](min), Impervious surfaces: IAimp=[1.0](mm), SLPI=[0.5](%),</pre>
*# CATCHMENT 902 - CALIB NASHYD	MOUNTAINVIEW LANDS UNDER EXISTING CONDITIONS ID=[2], NHYD=["902"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[3.50](ha), DWF=[0](cms), CN/C=[74], IA=[8.924](mm), N=[2]_mD=[0]E1]M=[2], IA=[2],	*%	LGI=[30](m), MNI=[0.015], SCI=[0](min), RAINFALL=[,,,,](mm/hr), END=-1
* %	N=[3], TP=[0.51]nrs, RAINFALL=[, , , ,](mm/hr), END=-1	CALIB STANDHYD	MOURIAINVIEW LANDS ID=[2], NHYD=["301"], DT=[1](min), AREA=[2.9](ha), XIMD=[0.60], TIMD=[0.70], DWE=[0](ama), LOSS=[2]
*# CATCHMENT 602 -	BACKYARDS OF EXISTING RESIDENTIAL FRONTING QUAKER ROAD		SCS curve number $CN=[74]$, Berrious surfaces: Taper-[8 924](mm) SLDD=[2 0](%)
CILLED CITADITE	XIMP=[0.30], TIMP=[0.30], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], SCS curve number CN=[74].		LGP=[10](m), MNP=[0.250], SCP=[0](min), Impervious surfaces: TAimp=[1.0](mm), SLPT=[0.5](%).
	Pervious surfaces: IAper=[8.924](mm), SLPP=[2.0](%), IGP=[10](m), MNP=[0.250], SCP=[0](min),		LGI=[30](m), MNI=[0.015], SCI=[0](min), RAINFALL=[, , ,](mm/hr), END=-1
	<pre>Impervious surfaces: IAimp=[1.0](mm), SLPI=[0.5](%), LGI=[30](m), MNI=[0.015], SCI=[0](min),</pre>	*% *# CATCHMENTS 401A	and 401B - EXTERNAL NEIGHBOURING LANDS EXISTIGN CONDITIONS
*&	RAINFALL=[, , , ,](mm/hr) , END=-1	CALIB STANDHYD	<pre>ID=[3], NHYD=["301"], DT=[1](min), AREA=[1.0](ha), XIMP=[0.30], TIMP=[0.30], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2],</pre>
*# TOTAL EXISTING C *# PEAK FLOWS TO BE	ONDITIONS SOUTH OF WATERCOURSE USED AS CONTROL CRITERIA FOR SWMF#1		SCS curve number CN=[74], Pervious surfaces: IAper=[8.924](mm), SLPP=[2.0](%),
ADD HYD *%	IDsum=[4], NHYD=["PRE-1"], IDs to add=[1 2 3]		LGP=[10](m), MNP=[0.250], SCP=[0](min), Impervious surfaces: IAimp=[1.0](mm), SLPI=[0.5](%),
* *#*************	**********		LGI=[30](m), MNI=[0.015], SCI=[0](min), RAINFALL=[,,,,](mm/hr), END=-1
*#************************************	**************************************	*% *# TOTAL FLOW - FUL	L AREA - TO BE ROUTED THROUGH WETPOND ROUTE RESERVOIR
CALIB NASHYD	ID=[1], NHYD=["903"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[9.49](ha), DWF=[0](cms), CN/C=[74], IA=[8.924](mm),	ADD HYD *%	IDsum=[5], NHYD=["SWMW2-W"], IDs to add=[1 2 3]
	N=[3], TP=[0.70]hrs, RAINFALL=[, , , ,](mm/hr), END=-1	*# ROUTE THROUGH WE ROUTE RESERVOIR	T POND W2 - FULL AREA - PRIMONT, MOUNTAINVIEW AND EXTERNAL IDout=[7], NHYD=["WET-W2"], IDin=[5],
*% *# CATCHMENT 904 -	EXISTING LANDS WEST OF PRIMONT		RDT=[1](min), TABLE of (OUTFLOW-STORAGE) values
CALIB NASHYD	ID=[2], NHYD=[*904"], DT=[]mln, AREA=[3.4/](ha), DWF=[0](cms), CN/C=[74], IA=[8.924](mm), N=[3], TD=[0.41]hrs, RAINFALL=[, , , ,](mm/hr), END=-1	0.0000 0 0.0111 0.0400 0.0184 0.0858	(cms) - (na-m)
*# TOTAL EXISTING C	ONDITIONS NORTH OF WATERCOURSE ON WEST SIDE OF PRIMONT LANDS	0.0234 0.1353	
ADD HYD	IDsum=[5], NHYD=["PRE-2"], IDs to add=[1 2]	0.2272 0.3058	
* *		0.2813 0.3700	
# *#**********************************		* &	IDovf=[8], NHYDovf=["OVFW2W"]
CALIB NASHYD	ID=[1], NHYD=["905"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[2.55](ha), DWE=[0](cms) CN/C=[74] IA=[8.924](cms)	*	'
	N=[3], TP=[0.41]hrs, RAINFALL=[](mm/hr), END=-]	*#*************************************	***************************************
*8 *#*************		* RUN REMAINING DES	IGN STORMS (WELLAND DESIGN STORMS 5 TO 100-YR)
** *# TOTAL EXISTING C *# LANDS TO EXISTIN	ONDITIONS DISCHARGE TO TOWPATH FROM PRIMONT AND EXTERNAL G WATERCOURSE	START	<pre>TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[005] WELL4005.STM</pre>
ADD HYD *%	IDsum=[7], NHYD=["PRETOT"], IDs to add=[4 5 1]	START	TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[010] WELL4010.STM
*# CATCHMENT 906 - CALIB NASHYD	AREA DRAINING NORTH TO SINGERS DRAIN ID=[1], NHYD=[*906*], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.39](ha), DWF=[0](cms), CN/C=[74], IA=[8.924](mm),	START	TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[025] WELL4025.STM
*8	N=[3], TP=[0.24]hrs, RAINFALL=[, , , ,](mm/hr), END=-1	START	TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[050] WELL4050.STM
ADD HYD *#*************	IDsum=[2], NHYD=["906TOT"], IDs to add=[1]	START	TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[100] WELL4100.STM
*% * RUN REMAINING DES *	IGN STORMS (WELLAND DESIGN STORMS 5 TO 100-YR)	* START	TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[125] 25MM.STM
START	<pre>TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[005] WELL4005.STM</pre>	* *8	
* START	TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[010] WELL4010.STM	FINISH	
START *	TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[025] WELL4025.STM	*# CATCHMENT 401A - CALIB STANDHYD	EXTERNAL AREA DRAINING INTO PRIMONT LANDS ID=[3], NHYD=["401A"], DT=[1](min), AREA=[0.23](ha), XIMD=[0 01] TIMP=[0.30] DME=[0](mm), LOSS=[2]
START	TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[050] WELL4050.STM		SCS curve number CN=[74], Pervious surfaces: IAper=[8.924](mm), SLPP=[2.0](%),
* START	TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[100]		LGP=[30](m), MNP=[0.250], SCP=[0](min), Impervious surfaces: IAimp=[1.0](mm), SLPI=[0.5](%),
*	WELL4100.STM		LGI=[10](m), MNI=[0.015], SCI=[0](min), RAINFALL=[, , , ,](mm/hr) , END=-1

(C:\...Pri-PRE.dat)

*%	<pre>EXTERNAL AREA DRAINING INTO MOUNTAINVIEW LANDS ID=[4], NHYD=["401A"], DT=[1](min), AREA=[0.87](ha), XIMP=[0.01], TIMP=[0.30], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], SCS curve number CN=[74], Pervious surfaces: IAper=[8.924](mm), SLPP=[2.0](%), LGP=[30](m), MNP=[0.250], SCP=[0](min), Impervious surfaces: IAimp=[1.0](mm), SLPT=[0.5](%),</pre>
*8	
*8	
* POUTE TUROUCU DRY	
POUTE PESERVOIR	TDout=[7] NHVD=["DRV_W2"] TDin=[6]
ROOTE REDERVOIR	RDT=[1](min).
	TABLE of (OUTFLOW-STORAGE) values
	(cms) - (ha-m)
0.0000 0.0000	/
0.0045 0.0126	
0.0069 0.0273	
0.0087 0.0442	
0.0102 0.0635	
0.0114 0.0854	
0.0349 0.1100	
0.1050 0.1375	
0.1475 0.1680	
0.1/92 0.2017	
0.2060 0.2389	
0.2181 0.2588	
	IDovf=[8], NHYDovf=["OVFW2D"]

Primont - Pre-Development Output

_____ SSSSS W W M м н н ч MM M O O 9 M M M O O ## 9 M M O O 9 M M O O 9 M M OOO 999 999 _____ *# CATCHMENT 901 - EXISTING PRIMONT LANDS SOUTH OF WATERCOURSE Y M M 000 S W W W MM MM H H SSSSS W W W M M M HHHHH Y Y 9 Area (ha)= Y Y Ver 4.05 3.06 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 CALIB NASHYD 99 DT= 1.00 (mm) = 8.924 WW м мн н 9999 9999 Sept 2011 # of Linear Res. (N) = 3.0001:901 Та S WW M M H H SSSSS WW M M H H 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 999 999 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .620 # 2018430 StormWater Management HYdrologic Model Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .189 **** PEAK FLOW (cms) = TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = (cms) = .034 (i) 2.533 7.569 38.974 .194 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = ******** Distributed by: J.F. Sabourin and Associates Inc. ******* (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

 Ottawa, Ontario: (613) 836-3884

 Gatineau, Quebec: (819) 243-6858

 E-Mail: swnhymo@jfsa.Com

 ******* 002:0004-----*# CATCHMENT 902 - MOUNTAINVIEW LANDS UNDER EXISTING CONDITIONS Area (ha)= 3.50 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 CALIB NASHYD HYD DT= 1.00 +++++++ Licensed user: WalterFedy ++++++++ ++++++ Kitchener SERIAL#:2018430 +++++++ (mm) = 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 02:902 Та -----U.H. Tp(hrs)= .510 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .262 **** (cms) = AK (hrs) = TMF (mm) = ++++++ PROGRAM ARRAY DIMENSIONS ++++++ Maximum value for ID numbers : 10 Max. number of rainfall points: 105408 PEAK FLOW .043 (i) ******* ******* 2.383 7.569 TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME ******* ******* ******* (mm) = (mm) = IENT = * * * * * * * * * ******** Max. number of flow points : 105408 TOTAL RAINFALL 38.974 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .194 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. * DATE: 2024-03-12 TIME: 11:16:18 RUN COUNTER: 000832 * 002:0005----CATCHMENT 602 - BACKYARDS OF EXISTING RESIDENTIAL FRONTING QUAKER ROAD Input filename: C:\USERS\JORESK~1\DESKTOP\Primont\Pri-PRE.dat
Output filename: C:\USERS\JORESK~1\DESKTOP\Primont\Pri-PRE.out Area (ha)= Area (ha)= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 30.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00 CALIB STANDHYD DT= 1.00 Summary filename: C:\USERS\JORESK~1\DESKTOP\Primont\Pri-PRE.sum User comments: 03:602 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= .30 .70 8.92 2: Dep. Storage Average Slope Length (mm) = (%) = (m) = .50 30.00 2 00 10.00 Mannings n = .015 .250 001:0001------77 19 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 12 82 over (min) Storage Coeff. (min)= Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= Project Name: PRIMONT HOMES WELLAND AND THOROLD, ONTARIO 2.00 1.85 (ii) 9.00 8.83 (ii) JOB NUMBER : 2022-0091-10 *# *# 2.00 .59 9.00 Date Revised : MARCH 2024 - FSR .13 PEAK FLOW (cms) = TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = *TOTALS* .06 1.67 7.97 97 .072 (iii) 1.667 .02 1.80 1.67 37.97 7.57 16.691 38.97 38.97 38.974 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = ** END OF RUN : 1 .428 .19 ***** (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (i) IN PROLEDRING SELECTED FOR PERFICIENT INSERT.
 CN*= 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
 (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. | START | Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ Rainfall dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ 002:0006-## TOTAL EXISTING CONDITIONS SOUTH OF WATERCOURSE TZERO = .00 hrs on 0 METOUT= 2 (output = METRIC) NRUN = 002 0 *# PEAK FLOWS TO BE USED AS CONTROL CRITERIA FOR SWMF#1) | ID: NHYD ADD HYD (PRE-1 AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF NKON = 002 NSTORM= 1 # 1=WELL4002.STM (ha) 3.06 (cms) .034 (hrs) 2.53 (mm) 7.57 (cms) (ha) ID1 01:901 3.06 +ID2 02:902 3.50 .000 043 2 38 7 57 000 .072 1.67 1.6.69 .000 *#* *# Project Name: PRIMONT HOMES .088 2.35 8.78 .000 WELLAND AND THORDED WELLAND AND THOROLD, ONTARIO JOB NUMBER : 2022-0091-10 Date : MARCH 2024 - FSR Revised : *# *# *# *# NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. Date Revised 002:0007-----# Company : WALTER FEDY
Company : WALTER FEDY
File : PRI-PRE.DAT CN=74 to match UCC, Ia = 8.924mm
*********** *# CATCHMENT 903 - EXISTING PRIMONT LANDS NORTH OF WATERCOURSE (WEST SIDE) 002:0002-----Area (ha)= 9.49 Ia (mm)= 8.924 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 CALTE NASHYD 01:903 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= U.H. Tp(hrs)= Filename: CITY OF WELLAND - 2-YR CHICAGO STORM - 4 Comments: CITY OF WELLAND - 2-YR CHICAGO STORM - 4 READ STORM .700 Ptotal= 38.97 mm .518 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= TIME TIME RAIN RAIN TIME RAIN | TIME RAIN PEAK FLOW (cms) = TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .096 (i) hrs mm/hr hrs 1.17 mm/hr hrs 2.17 mm/hr hrs 3.17 mm/hr 2.650 1.17 6.918 1.33 10.551 1.50 23.484 2.463 .17 9.580 7.286 3.458 33 2.735 2.33 3.33 3.149 7.569 3.084 2.50 5.909 3.50 2.896 38.974 .50 .194 1.67 77.186 1.83 27.322 2.67 .67 3.551 4.992 4.336 3.67 2.683 .83 4.208 2.83 3.83 2.503 5.207 2.00 14.150 3.843 1.00 3.00 4.00 2.347 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 002:0003-----002:0008-02:0008-----04 - EXISTING LANDS WEST OF PRIMONT ***** *#*** Area (ha)= Ia (mm)= *# *# *# 02:904 DT= 1.00 3.47 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC MODELING 8.924 Pre-development catchments match post-developmnet catchments U.H. Tp(hrs)= .410

NSTORM= 1 # 1=WELL4005.STM Unit Hvd Opeak (cms)= .323 005:0002------
 PEAK FLOW
 (cms) =
 .049

 TIME TO PEAK
 (hrs) =
 2.233

 RUNOFF VOLUME
 (mm) =
 7.569

 TOTAL RAINFALL
 (mm) =
 38.974

 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT =
 .194
 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .049 (i) 2.233 7.569 *#* JOB NUMBER : 2022-0091-10 Date : MARCH 2024 - FSR Revised : WALTER FEDY File : PDF FSF *# Project Name: PRIMONT HOMES .194 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. *# *# Company : WALTER FEDY File : PRI-PRE.DAT CN=74 to match UCC, Ia = 8.924mm 002:0009--TOTAL EXISTING CONDITIONS NORTH OF WATERCOURSE ON WEST SIDE OF PRIMONT LANDS 005:0002-----) | ID: NHYD ADD HYD (PRE-2 AREA OPEAK DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) ID1 01:903 9.49 3.47 .096 7.57 .000 2.65 READ STORM Filename: CITY OF WELLAND - 5-YR CHICAGO STORM - 4 Comments: CITY OF WELLAND - 5-YR CHICAGO STORM - 4 45.88 mm +ID2 02:904 2.23 Ptotal= SUM 05:PRE-2 12.96 .137 2.48 7.57 .000 RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs 2.17 mm/hr hrs mm/hr 1.17 1.33 1.50 8.198 12.350 27.081 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. .17 3.010 11.245 3.17 4.186 3.333 3.746 4.294 2.33 8.621 3.823 002:0010-----90.598 .67 1.67 2.67 5.976 3.67 3.272 83 5.063 1.83 31.458 2.83 5.213 3.83 3.057 2.00 16.443 1.00 6.224 3.00 4.637 4.00 2.872 *********** *# CATCHMENT 905 - EXISTING PRIMONT LANDS NORTH OF WATERCOURSE (EAST SIDE) Area (ha)= 2.55 Curve Number Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear R U.H. Tp(hrs)= .410 CALTE NASHYD HYD | DT= 1.00 | (CN) = 74 00****** 01:905 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 J1.905 D1- 1.00 | PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC MODELING *# *# -----Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= . 238 *# Pre-development catchments match post-development catchments
 PEAK FLOW
 (cms) =
 .036 (i)

 TIME TO PEAK
 (hrs) =
 2.233

 RUNOFF VOLUME
 (mm) =
 7.569

 TOTAL RAINFALL
 (mm) =
 38.974

 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT
 .194
 *# CATCHMENT 901 - EXISTING PRIMONT LANDS SOUTH OF WATERCOURSE Area (ha)= 3.06 Ia (mm)= 8.924 TD(hrs)= .620 ------> | CALIB NASHYD Curve Number (CN) = 74.0001:901 DT= 1.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= 189 PEAK FLOW (cms) = TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .048 (i) 2.500 *# TOTAL EXISTING CONDITIONS DISCHARGE TO TOWPATH FROM PRIMONT AND EXTERNAL 10.820 45.876 *# LANDS TO EXISTING WATERCOURSE PRETOT) | ID: NHYD .236 ADD HYD (PRETOT AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) 7.56 (cms) (hrs) 2.35 (mm) 8.78 (cms) (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. TD1 04:PRE-1 .088 .000 +ID2 05:PRE-2 : +ID3 01:905 7.57 12.96 2.48 005:0004-2.55 2.23 .036 .000 *# CATCHMENT 902 - MOUNTAINVIEW LANDS UNDER EXISTING CONDITIONS .258 SUM 07:PRETOT 23.07 2.40 7.96 .000 Area (ha)= 3.50 Ia (mm)= 8.924 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .510 02:902 DT= 1.00 Curve Number (CN) = 74.00# of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .262 002:0012------PEAK FLOW (cms) = TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = *# CATCHMENT 906 - AREA DRAINING NORTH TO SINGERS DRAIN .063 (i) 2.350
 CALIB NASHYD
 Area (ha)=
 .39
 Curve Number

 01:906
 DT=
 1.00
 Ia (mm)=
 8.924
 # of Linear Re

 ------- U.H. Tp(hrs)=
 .240
 (CN) = 74.0010.820 45.876 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 .236 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .062 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
 PEAK FLOW
 (cms) =
 .007

 TIME TO PEAK
 (hrs) =
 1.983

 RUNOFF VOLUME
 (mm) =
 7.568

 TOTAL RAINFALL
 (mm) =
 38.974

 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT
 .194
 .007 (i) 005:0005-*# CATCHMENT 602 - BACKYARDS OF EXISTING RESIDENTIAL FRONTING QUAKER ROAD CALLE STANDHYD | 03:602 DT= 1.00 | Area (ha)= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 30.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = Dep. Storage (mm) = Average Slope (%) = .30 1.00 .70 8.92 002:0013----r) | id: Nhyd .50 30.00 2.00 ADD HYD (906TOT AREA OPEAK TPEAK RV DWF Length (m)= 10.00 Mannings n
 //ib/ Milb
 AREA
 VFL

 (ha)
 (cm

 TD1 01:906
 .39
 .0

 SUM 02:906TOT
 3.47
 .0
 (cms) .007 .015 (hrs) 1.98 (mm) 7.57 (cms) .250 -----Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 90.60 21.53 over (min) Storage Coeff. (min)= Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .049 2.23 2.00 1.73 (ii) 7.57 .000 7.00 7.41 (ii) NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. 2.00 7.00 .61 .16 *TOTALS* .08 .03 .094 (iii) 1.667 21.037 PEAK FLOW (cms)= TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 1.67 44.88 1.75 RUN REMAINING DESIGN STORMS (WELLAND DESIGN STORMS 5 TO 100-YR) 45.88 45.876 45.88 ** END OF RUN : 4 .98 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .459 24 ***** (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. | START | Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ ----- Rainfall dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ 005:0006-----TOTAL EXISTING CONDITIONS SOUTH OF WATERCOURSE TZERO = .00 hrs on 0 METOUT= 2 (output = METRIC) NRUN = 005 *# PEAK FLOWS TO BE USED AS CONTROL CRITERIA FOR SWMF#1 ADD HYD (PRE-1) | ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF

(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) ID1 01:901 3.06 .048 2.50 10.82 .000 +ID2 02:902 3.50 .063 2.35 10.82 .000 +ID3 03:602 1.00 .094 1.67 21.04 .000	TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.967 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 10.819 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 45.876 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .236
SUM 04:PRE-1 7.56 .125 2.33 12.17 .000	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	005:0013
005:0007	ADD HYD (906TOT) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
*	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) ID1 01:906 .39 .011 1.97 10.82 .000
<pre>## CATCHMENT 903 - EXISTING PRIMONT LANDS NORTH OF WATERCOURSE (WEST SIDE)</pre>	SUM 02:906TOT 3.47 .071 2.22 10.82 .000
CALIE NASHYD Area (ha)= 9.49 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 01:903 DT=1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)=3.00 U.H. Tp(lrrs)= .700	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .518	UU5:UU14
PEAK FLOW (cms) = .139 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 2.617 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 10.820	•
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 45.876 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .236	* ** END OF RUN : 9
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	
005:0008	
CALIB NASHYD Area (ha)= 3.47 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 02:904 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .323 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .071 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.217 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 10.820 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 45.876 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .236	<pre>START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\</pre>
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	*# WELLAND AND THOROLD, ONTARIO *# JOB NUMBER : 2022-0091-10
	*# Date : MARCH 2024 - FSR *# Revised : *# Company : WALTER FERM
*# POLAL EXISTING CONDITIONS NORTH OF WATERCOURSE ON WEST SIDE OF PRIMONI LANDS *# PEAK FLOWS TO BE USED AS CONTROL CRITERIA FOR SWMF#2	<pre># Company · WALLER FEDI *# File : PRI-PRE.DAT CN=74 to match UCC, Ia = 8.924mm ##**********************************</pre>
ADD HYD (PRE-2) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)	* '
IDI 01:903 9.49 .139 2.62 10.82 .000 +ID2 02:904 3.47 .071 2.22 10.82 .000 	010:0002
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN
005:0010	hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr .17 3.524 1.17 9.273 2.17 12.580 3.17 4.849
* ##**********************************	.33 3.889 1.33 13.772 2.33 9.734 3.33 4.441 .50 4.355 1.50 29.639 2.50 8.005 3.50 4.104 .67 4.970 1.67 101.288 2.67 6.837 3.67 3.820 .83 5.827 1.83 34.358 2.83 5.993 3.83 3.578
CALIB NASHYD Area (ha) = 2.55 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 01:905 DT=1.00 Ia (mm) = 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs) = .410	1.00 7.111 2.00 18.178 3.00 5.353 4.00 3.368
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .238	* *#**********************************
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .052 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.217 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 10.820	<pre>*# PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC MODELING *# ====================================</pre>
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 45.876 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .236	* # CATCHMENT 901 - EXISTING PRIMONT LANDS SOUTH OF WATERCOURSE
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	CALIB NASHYD Area (ha)= 3.06 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 01:901 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
005:0011	Unit Hyd Opeak (cms)= .189
AT LANDS TO EXISTING WATERCOURSE	PEAK FLOW $(\text{Cms}) = .062 (1)$ TIME TO PEAK $(\text{hrs}) = 2.483$ RINOFF VOLUME $(\text{mm}) = 13.737$
IDD InD (India) (India)	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 51.474 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .267
+ID3 01:905 2.55 .052 2.22 10.82 .000	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	010:0004
005:0012	$\begin{bmatrix} CALIB NASHYD \\ 0.02000 \\ DT \\ 0.02000 \\ DT \\ 0.0200 \\ $
*# CATCHMENT 906 - AREA DRAINING NORTH TO SINGERS DRAIN	U.H. Tp(hrs)= .510
CALIB NASHYD Area (ha)= .39 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 01:906 DT=1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)=3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .240	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .262 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .080 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.333
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .062	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 13.737 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 51.474
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .011 (i)	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .267

----- U.H. Tp(hrs)= (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. .410 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= . 238 PEAK FLOW .067 (i) (cms)= *# CATCHMENT 602 - BACKYARDS OF EXISTING RESIDENTIAL FRONTING OUAKER ROAD TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.200 Area (ha)= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 30.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00 Surf RUNOFF VOLUME (mm TOTAL RAINFALL (mm RUNOFF COEFFICIENT (mm) = (mm) = 13.737 CALIB STANDHYD 03:602 .267 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) (ha) =.30 1.00 .70 8.92 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. (mm) = (%) = Dep. Storage Average Slope _____ .50 2.00 Length (m)= 30.00 10.00 010:0011------Mannings n .015 .250 *# TOTAL EXISTING CONDITIONS Disc *# LANDS TO EXISTING WATERCOURSE TOTAL EXISTING CONDITIONS DISCHARGE TO TOWPATH FROM PRIMONT AND EXTERNAL Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 101.29 27.91 over (min) Storage Coeff. (min)= Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 2.00 1.66 (ii) 7.00 6.77 (ii)) | ID: NHYD ADD HYD (PRETOT AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (hrs) 2.33 2.43 2.00 7.00 (ha) 7.56 (cms) .158 (mm) (cms) ID1 04:PRE-1 15.20 +ID2 05:PRE-2 12.96 *TOTALS* .252 13.74 13.74 .000 .08 .111 (iii) 1.667 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .04 +ID3 01:905 2.55 .067 2.20 1 .000 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.67 1.75 50.47 51.47 13.74 24.758 SUM 07:PRETOT 23.07 .471 2.35 14.22 .000 51.474 .98 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. .27 .481 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (i) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. *# CATCHMENT 906 - AREA DRAINING NORTH TO SINGERS DRAIN Area (ha)= .39 Ia (mm)= 8.924 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .240 01:906 DT= 1.00 CALIB NASHYD Curve Number (CN)=74.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 010:0006-----*# TOTAL EXISTING CONDITIONS SOUTH OF WATERCOURSE *# PEAK FLOWS TO BE USED AS CONTROL CRITERIA FOR SWMF#1 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .062) | ID: NHYD PEAK FLOW (cms) = TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = ADD HYD (PRE-1 .014 (i) AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF) | 12 IDI 01:901 - 22:902 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) 1.950 (Hrs) (mm) 2.48 13.74 2.33 13.74 1.67 24.76 3.06 .062 .000 13.737 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = +ID2 02:902 +ID3 03:602 51.474 1.00 .111 .000 .267 SUM 04:PRE-1 7.56 .158 2 33 15 20 000 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY 010:0013-----NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. _____) | ID: NHYD ADD HYD (906TOT AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF 010:0007-----------
 ID:
 ID:
 IAEA
 QFAR
 IFFAR
 K.V.
 Dwr

 --- (ha)
 (cms)
 (hrs)
 (mm)
 (cms)

 ID1
 01:906
 .39
 .014
 1.95
 13.74
 .000
 *#***** ID1 01:906 2.20 13.74 *# CATCHMENT 903 - EXISTING PRIMONT LANDS NORTH OF WATERCOURSE (WEST SIDE) SUM 02:906TOT 3.47 .091 .000 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .518 "RUN REMAINING DESIGN STORMS (WELLAND DESIGN STORMS 5 TO 100-YR)
 PEAK FLOW
 (cms) =
 .176

 TIME TO PEAK
 (hrs) =
 2.600

 RUNOFF VOLUME
 (mm) =
 13.737

 TOTAL RAINFALL
 (mm) =
 51.474
 .176 (i) 010:0002------RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .267 010:0002-----(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. ** END OF RUN : 24 ****** 010:0008-----*# CATCHMENT 904 - EXISTING LANDS WEST OF PRIMONT
 CLLIB NASHYD
 Area
 (ha)=
 3.47
 Curve Number

 02:904
 DT=
 1.00
 Ia
 (mm)=
 8.924
 # of Linear Re

 ----- U.H. Tp(hrs)=
 .410
 (CN) = 74.00# of Linear Res. (N) = 3.00| START | Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK~1\DESKTOP\Primont\ Rainfall dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK~1\DESKTOP\Primont\ Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .323
 PEAK FLOW
 (cms) =
 .091

 TIME TO PEAK
 (hrs) =
 2.200

 RUNOFF VOLUME
 (mm) =
 13.737

 TOTAL RAINFALL
 (mm) =
 51.474
 TZERO = .00 hrs on 0 METOUT= 2 (output = METRIC) NRUN = 025 .091 (i) NSTORM= 1
1=WELL4025.STM RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .267 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Project Name: PRIMONT HOMES WELLAND AND THOROLD ONTARIO 010:0009------WELLAND AND JOB NUMBER : 2022-0091-10 Date : MARCH 2024 - FSR Revised : TOTAL EXISTING CONDITIONS NORTH OF WATERCOURSE ON WEST SIDE OF PRIMONT LANDS PEAK FLOWS TO BE USED AS CONTROL CRITERIA FOR SWMF#2 *# *# *# 2) | ID: NHYD ADD HYD (PRE-2 AREA OPEAK TPEAK DWF R.V. (ha) 9.49 3.47 (cms) .176 .091 (hrs) 2.60 2.20 (mm) 13.74 13.74 (cms) .000 .000 ID1 01:903 +ID2 02:904 _____ _____ .252 12.96 SUM 05:PRE-2 2.43 13.74 .000 025:0002-----NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. Filename: CITY OF WELLAND - 25-YR CHICAGO STORM -Comments: CITY OF WELLAND - 25-YR CHICAGO STORM -READ STORM Ptotal= 59.72 mm 010:0010-----TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr 1.17 10.803 1.33 15.732 1.50 32.988 1.67 118.514 mm/hr 4.295 4.721 5.260 hrs mm/hr 10.803 hrs 2.17 mm/hr hrs 3.17 mm/hr .17 14.436 5.829 *# CATCHMENT 905 - EXISTING PRIMONT LANDS NORTH OF WATERCOURSE (EAST SIDE) 2.33 2.50 11.313 9.395 3.33 3.50 5.360 Area (ha)= 2.55 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 2.67 CALIB NASHYD 3.67 .67 5.968 8.087 4.640 01:905 DT= 1.00 .83 6.945 1.83 38.130 2.83 7.134 3.83 4.357

1.00 8.394 2.00 20.514 3.00 6.406 4.00 4.112	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
025:0003	025:0008
*	*# CATCHMENT 904 - EXISTING LANDS WEST OF PRIMONT
*# PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC MODELING	CALIB NASHYD Area (ha) = 3.47 Curve Number (CN)=74.00
<pre>*# ====================================</pre>	02:904 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .410
*#*************************************	Unit Hvd Opeak (cms)= 323
*# CATCHMENT 901 - EXISTING PRIMONT LANDS SOUTH OF WATERCOURSE	
CALIE NASHYD Area (ha)= 3.06 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 01:901 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .620 .620 .620	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .123 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.167 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 18.423 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 59.717 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .309
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .189	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY
PEAK FLOW (cms) = .083 (i)	
IIME IO FEAR (IFS) = 2.407 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 18.423 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 59.717 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 3.09	025:0009- *# TOTAL EXISTING CONDITIONS NORTH OF WATERCOURSE ON WEST SIDE OF PRIMONT LANDS *# PEAK FLOWS TO BE USED AS CONTROL CRITERIA FOR SMMF#2
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	ADD HYD (PRE-2) ID: NHYD AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)
025:0004	+ID2 02:904 3.47 .123 2.17 18.42 .000
*# CATCHMENT 902 - MOUNTAINVIEW LANDS UNDER EXISTING CONDITIONS	SUM 05:PRE-2 12.96 .339 2.42 18.42 .000
CALIE NASHYD Area (ha)= 3.50 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 02:902 DT=1.00 Ia (mu)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .510	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .262	025:0010
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .107 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.317 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 18.423 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 59.717	*# ***********************************
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .309 (i) peak flow does not include baseflow if Any.	CALIE NASHYD Area (ha)= 2.55 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 01:905 DT=1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)=3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .410
	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .238
025:0005 *# CATCHMENT 602 - BACKYARDS OF EXISTING RESIDENTIAL FRONTING OUAKER ROAD	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .090 (i)
CALLE STANDHYD Area (ha)= 1.00 03:602 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 30.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00	TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 2.167 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 18.423 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 59.717 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .309
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	(i) DEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLIDE RASEFLOW IF ANY
Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92	(1) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANT.
Average Slope (%)= .50 2.00 Length (m)= 30.00 10.00	025:0011
Mannings n = .015 .250	*#************************************
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 118.51 40.27	*# LANDS TO EXISTING WATERCOURSE
over (min) 2.00 6.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.55 (ii) 5.97 (ii)	ADD HYD (PRETOT) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 2.00 6.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .65 .19	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) TD1 04:PRE-1 7.56 .210 2.33 20.02 .000
TOTALS	+ID2 05:PRE-2 12.96 .339 2.42 18.42 .000
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.73 1.667	+1D3 01:905 2.55 .090 2.17 18.42 .000
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 58.72 18.42 30.511 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 59.72 59.72 59.717	SUM 07:PRETOT 23.07 .631 2.33 18.95 .000
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .31 .511	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	
$CN^* = 74.0$ Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL	025:0012
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	*# CATCHMENT 906 - AREA DRAINING NORTH TO SINGERS DRAIN
	CALIE NASHYD Area (ha)= .39 Curve Number (N)=74.00 01:906 DT=1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .240
*# PEAK FLOWS TO BE USED AS CONTROL CRITERIA FOR SWMF#1	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms) = .062
ADD HYD (PRE-1) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .019 (i)
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) TDI 01:901 3.06 083 2.47 18.42 000	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.933 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 18.422
+ID2 02:902 3.50 .107 2.32 18.42 .000	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 59.717
+1D3 03:602 1.00 .143 1.67 30.51 .000	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .308
SUM 04:PRE-1 7.56 .210 2.33 20.02 .000	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	025:0013
025:0007	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)
*# CATCHMENT 903 - EXISTING PRIMONT LANDS NORTH OF WATERCOURSE (WEST SIDE)	SUM 02:906TOT 3.47 .123 2.17 18.42 .000
CALIE NASHYD Area (ha)= 9.49 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 01:903 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .700 .700 .700	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .518	025:0014
PEAK FLOW (cms) = $.237$ (i)	* RUN REMAINING DESIGN STORMS (WELLAND DESIGN STORMS 5 TO 100-YR) *
TIME TO PEAK (DTS)= 2.583 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 18.423 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 59.717 DUNCE CORPERTING	025:0002*
RUNOFF CUEFFICIENI = .503	025:0002

*	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .11 .07 .167 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.72 1.667
025:0002*	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 65.95 22.86 35.790 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 66.95 66.95 66.952
** END OF RUN : 49	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .34 .535
***************************************	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
CTART Duringt din . C.\ HOEDO\ TOPEOU 1\ DECUTOD\ Dwimant\	050.0006
START Project dir.: C:\USEKS\JOKESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ Rainfall dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ TZERO = .00 hrs on 0 METOIT= 2 (utruit = METEIC)	SUJUUU0- *# TOTAL EXISTING CONDITIONS SOUTH OF WATERCOURSE *# PEAK FLOWS TO BE USED AS CONTROL CRITERIA FOR SWMF#1
NRUN = 050 NSTORM= 1	ADD HYD (PRE-1) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
# 1=WELL4050.STM	ID1 01:901 3.06 .103 2.45 22.86 .000 +ID2 02:902 3.50 .133 2.30 22.86 .000
050:0002	+ID3 03:602 1.00 .167 1.67 35.79 .000
*# WELLAND AND THOROLD, ONTARIO *# JOB NUMBER : 2022-0091-10 *# Date : MARCH 2024 - FSR	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
<pre>*# Revised : *# Company : WALTER FEDY *# File : PRI-PRE.DAT CN=74 to match UCC, Ia = 8.924mm</pre>	050:0007
* 	*# ***********************************
READ STORM Filename: CITY OF WELLAND - 50-YR CHICAGO STORM -	CALIB NASHYD Area (ha)= 9.49 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 01:903 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .700
Ptotal= 66.95 mm Comments: CITY OF WELLAND - 50-YR CHICAGO STORM -	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .518
TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .294 (i)
.17 4.962 1.17 12.287 2.17 16.332 3.17 6.702 .33 5.446 1.33 17.768 2.33 12.858 3.33 6.171 .50 6.057 1.50 36.743 2.50 10.713 3.50 5.729	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.557 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 22.864 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 66.952
.67 6.859 1.67 130.180 2.67 9.247 3.67 5.355 .83 7.961 1.83 42.379 2.83 8.175 3.83 5.033	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .342
1.00 9.591 2.00 23.055 3.00 7.353 4.00 4.754	(1) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
*	050:0008 *# CATCHMENT 904 - EXISTING LANDS WEST OF PRIMONT
*#************************************	 CALIB NASHYD Area (ha)= 3.47 Curve Number (CN)=74.00
<pre>*# ====================================</pre>	02:904 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .410
Т# * * # салсчименит 901 _ рутсттис ортионт танос сонти ор матерсоносс	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms) = .323
CALIE NASHYD Area (ha)= 3.06 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 01:901 DT=1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .620 .620 .620	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .152 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 2.167 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 22.884 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 66.952
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .189	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .341
PEAK FLOW $(cms) = .103$ (i)	(1) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
INME IO FEAR (ILES) = 2.430 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 22.864 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 66.952 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .341	050:0009- *# TOTAL EXISTING CONDITIONS NORTH OF WATERCOURSE ON WEST SIDE OF PRIMONT LANDS *# PEAK FLOWS TO BE USED AS CONTROL CRITERIA FOR SMMP#2
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	ADD HYD (PRE-2) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) ID1 01:903 9.49 .294 2.57 22.86 .000
050:0004	+ID2 02:904 3.47 .152 2.17 22.86 .000
CALIB NASHYD Area (ha)= 3.50 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 02:902 DT=1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tb(hrs)= .510	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .262	050:0010
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .133 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.300 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 22.864	*#************************************
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 66.952 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .341	CALIB NASHYD Area (ha)= 2.55 Curve Number (CN)=74.00
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	01:905 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .410
050:0005	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .238
-# CALLB STANDHYD Area (ha)= 1.00 CALLB STANDHYD Area (ha)= 30.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00	PEAR FLOW (CHB) = .112 (1) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 2.167 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 22.864 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 66.952
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .341
Surface Area (ha)= .30 .70 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92	(1) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Average Slope (%)= .50 2.00 Length (m)= 30.00 10.00 Mannings n = .015 .250	050:0011
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 130.18 49.36	*# TOTAL EXISTING CONDITIONS DISCHARGE TO TOWPATH FROM PRIMONT AND EXTERNAL *# LANDS TO EXISTING WATERCOURSE
over (min) 1.00 6.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.50 (ii) 5.57 (ii) Unit Hud Trock (min)= 1.00 6.00	ADD HYD (PRETOT) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .83 .20 *TOTALS*	IDI 04:PRE-1 7.56 .260 2.33 24.57 .000 +ID2 05:PRE-2 12.96 .420 2.40 22.86 .000

+ID3 01:905 2.55 .112 2.17 22.86 .000	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .189								
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .121 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 2.450								
	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 26.916 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.207 DUNGER COMPRESSION								
*# CATCHMENT 906 - AREA DRAINING NORTH TO SINGERS DRAIN	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.								
CALIB NASHYD Area (ha)= .39 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 01:906 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .240 .240	100:0004								
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .062	CALIB NASHYD Area (ha) = 3.50 Curve Number (CN) = 74.00 02:902 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm) = 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00								
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .024 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.933 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 22.863	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .262								
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 66.952 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .341	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .158 (i)								
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.300 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 26.916 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 73.207 RUNOEF CORFUCTION								
050:0013	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.								
International (Social Processing) International (Social ProcesiProcesing) International (Social Proces	100:0005								
SUM 02:906TOT 3.47 .152 2.17 22.86 .000	*# CATCHMENT 602 - BACKYARDS OF EXISTING RESIDENTIAL FRONTING QUAKER ROAD								
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	03:602 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%) = 30.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 30.00								
050:0014	Surface Area (ha)= .30 .70 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92								
* RUN REMAINING DESIGN STORMS (WELLAND DESIGN STORMS 5 TO 100-YR) *	Average Slope (%)= .50 2.00 Length (m)= 30.00 10.00 Mannings n = .015 .250								
*	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 142.99 60.67								
*	over (min) 1.00 5.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.44 (ii) 5.19 (ii) Unit Hvd. Toeak (min)= 1.00 5.00								
050:0002	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .85 .22 *TOTALS*								
*	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .12 .09 .197 (111) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.70 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 72.21 26.92 40.504								
** END OF RUN : 99	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.21 73.21 73.207 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .37 .553								
*****	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:								
	(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.								
START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ Rainfall dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\	CLY = /4.0 IA = Dep. Storage (ADOVE) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 								
<pre>START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ TZERO = .00 hrs on 0 METOUT= 2 (output = METRIC) NUMBERS = .00 NETRIC</pre>	CLY = 74.0 IA = Dep. Storage (ADOVE) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 100:0006								
<pre> START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ Rainfall dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ TZERO = .00 hrs on 0 METOUT 2 (output = METRIC) NRUN = 100 NSTORM= 1 # 1=WELL4100.STM</pre>	C.C.* -/4.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (ABOVE) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.								
<pre>START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\</pre>	C.C.* - /4.0 IA = Dep. Storage (ADOVE) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.								
<pre> START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ TZERO = .00 hrs on 0 METOUT= 2 (output = METRIC) NRUN = 100 NSTORM= 1 # 1=WELL4100.STM # 1=WELL4100.STM # 1=WELL4100.STM # 1=WELL4100.STM # 1=WELL4100.STM # 1=WELL4100.STM # 1=WELL4100.STM</pre>	C. C.** = /4.0 1A = Dep. Storage (ADOVE) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.								
<pre>START</pre>	(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER ON EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 100:0006								
<pre>START</pre>	CON* = 74.0 IH = Dep. Storage (ADOVE) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 100:0006								
<pre>START</pre>	(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 								
<pre>START</pre>	<pre>(i1) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. </pre>								
<pre> START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ Rainfall dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ TZERO = .00 hrs on 0 METODT= 2 (output = METRIC) NRUN = 100 NSTORM= 1</pre>	<pre>(i) TIME STAP (D) 18 = Dep. Storage (ADOVE) (ii) TIME STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY</pre>								
START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ TZERO = .00 hrs on 0 METOTT= 2 (output = METRIC) NRTN = 100 NSTORM= 1 # 1=WELL4100.STM 100:0002	<pre>(11) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. </pre>								
START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ TZERO = .00 hrs on 0 NETOTT= 2 (output = METRIC) NRTOTT= 2 (output = METRIC) NRNORM= 1 # 1=WELL4100.STM # 1=WELL4100.STM 100:0002 # WELLAND AND THORES *# WELLAND AND THORES(), ONTARIO *# WELLAND AND THORES(), ONTARIO *# Company : WALTER FEDY *# Company : WALTER FEDY *# File : FRI-FRE.DAT CN=74 to match UCC, Ia = 8.924mm *# Company : WALTER FEDY *# File : CITY OF WELLAND - 100-YR CHICAGO STORM - Comments: CITY OF WELLAND - 100-YR CHICAGO STORM - Comments: CITY OF WELLAND - 100-YR CHICAGO STORM - Comments: CITY OF WELLAND - 100-YR CHICAGO STORM - Comments: CITY OF WELLAND - 100-YR CHICAGO STORM - Comments: CITY OF WELLAND - 100-YR CHICAGO STORM - Comments: CITY OF WELLAND - 100-YR CHICAGO STORM - Comments: CITY OF WELLAND - 100-YR CHICAGO STORM - Comments: CITY OF WELLAND - 100-YR CHICAGO STORM - COMMENT TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr .17 5.494 1.17 13.439 2.17 1.7 7.393 .33 6.023 1.33 19.325 2.33 14.054 3.33 6.815	<pre>(i) TIME STP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. </pre>								
START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\	<pre>(</pre>								
START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ TZERO = .00 hrs on 0 METOUT= 2 (output = METRIC) NRTNOT = 10 NETOUT= 2 (output = METRIC) NRTORM = 1 # 1=WELL4100.STM ***********************************	<pre>(i) THE STP (D) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. </pre>								
START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\	<pre>(i) THE STP (D) 18 = Dep. Storage (ADOVe) (ii) THE STP (D) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY</pre>								
<pre> START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\</pre>	<pre>(i) TIME STPP (DT) SHOLD BE SWALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. </pre>								
<pre> START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ TZERO = .00 hrs on 0 METOUT 2 (output = METRIC) NRUN = 100 NSTORM = 1 # 1=WELL4100.STM # 1=WELL4100.STM # 1=WELLAND AND THOROLD, ONTARIO # Jetu = MARCH 2024 - FSR WELLAND AND THOROLD, ONTARIO # Date : MARCH 2024 - FSR # Revised : # Company : WALTER FEDY # The company : WALTER FEDY # Reb STORM File : CITY OF WELLAND - 100-YR CHICAGO STORM - TOO:0002</pre>	<pre>(i1) TIME STPP (DT) SHOLL BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (i1i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. </pre>								
<pre> START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ TZERO = .00 hrs on 0 MTOUT 2 (output = METRIC) NRUN = 100 NSTORM 1 # 1=WELL4100.STM 100:0002</pre>	<pre>(1) THE STORAGE COEFICIENT. (ii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. (iii) PEAK FLOW TO BUSE AS CONTROL CRITERIA FOR SWMFH1 </pre>								

WalterFedy

RUNOFF COEFFICI	IENT = .368				
(i) PEAK FLOW D	OOES NOT INCLUDE BASER	FLOW IF ANY.			
100:0009 *# TOTAL EXISTING CC *# PEAK FLOWS TO BE	NDITIONS NORTH OF WAY USED AS CONTROL CRITE	FERCOURSE ON WES FRIA FOR SWMF#2	T SIDE OF PRI	MONT LANDS	START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ Rainfall dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ TZERO = .00 hrs on 0 METOUT= 2 (output = METRIC) NRUN = 125
ADD HYD (PRE-2) ID: NHYD	AREA QPEAK	TPEAK R.	V. DWF	NSTORM= 1 # 1=25MM.STM
	ID1 01:903 +ID2 02:904 	(na) (cms) 9.49 .347 3.47 .181	(firs) (m 2.55 26. 2.15 26.	m) (Cms) 92 .000 92 .000 ======	- 125:0002
NOTE . DEAK ELONG	DO NOT INCLUDE PACE	IZ.90 .490	2.40 20.	52 .000	*# JOB NUMBER : 2022-0091-10 *# Date : MDPCH 2024 - FSP
NOIE: PEAK FLOWS	DO NOI INCLUDE BASER	-LOWS IF ANI.			*# Revised : *# Company : WALTER FEDY
100:0010					*# File : PRI-PRE.DAT CN=74 to match UCC, Ia = 8.924mm
*#************************************	**************************************	**************************************	**************************************	*********** *********** SIDE)	* ["]
CALIB NASHYD 01:905 DT= 1.00	Area (ha)= Ia (mm)= U.H. Tp(hrs)=	2.55 Curve N 8.924 # of Li .410	<pre>fumber (CN) = near Res.(N) =</pre>	74.00 3.00	READ STORM Filename: 25mm 4-hr CHICAGO STORM Ptotal= 25.04 mm Comments: 25mm 4-hr CHICAGO STORM
Unit Hyd Qpeak	(cms)= .238				TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN Dre mm/br
PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFICI	(cms)= .133 (i) (hrs)= 2.150 (mm)= 26.916 (mm)= 73.207 XENT = .368				$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
(i) PEAK FLOW D	OOES NOT INCLUDE BASER	FLOW IF ANY.			1.00 5.109 2.00 6.495 5.00 2.299 4.00 1.407
100:0011					125:0003
*#************************************	NDITIONS DISCHARGE TO WATERCOURSE	**************************************	**************** RIMONT AND EX	********* TERNAL	*# ***********************************
ADD HYD (PRETOT) ID: NHYD	AREA QPEAK	TPEAK R.	V. DWF	*# Pre-development catchments match post-developmnet catchments *#***********************************
	ID1 04:PRE-1	(ha) (cms) 7.56 .306	(hrs) (m 2.33 28.	m) (cms) 71 .000	* *# CATCHMENT 901 - EXISTING PRIMONT LANDS SOUTH OF WATERCOURSE
	+ID2 05:PRE-2 +ID3 01:905	2.55 .133	2.40 26. 2.15 26.	92 .000 92 .000	CALIB NASHYD Area (ha) = 3.06 Curve Number (CN)=74.00
	SUM 07:PRETOT	23.07 .925	2.33 27.	51 .000	01:901 DT= 1.00 1a (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .620
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS	DO NOT INCLUDE BASER	FLOWS IF ANY.			Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .189
100:0012					PEAK FLOW (cms)= .010 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.617
*#************************************	AREA DRAINING NORTH TO	**************************************	*****	********	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 2.465 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 25.041
CALIB NASHYD 01:906 DT= 1.00	Area (ha)= Area (ha)= Ia (mm)= U.H. Tp(hrs)=	.39 Curve N 8.924 # of Li	Number (CN)= near Res.(N)=	74.00 3.00	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .098 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Unit Hyd Qpeak	(cms)= .062				125:0004
PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME	(cms)= .028 (i) (hrs)= 1.917 (mm)= 26.916				*# CATCHMENT 902 - MOUNTAINVIEW LANDS UNDER EXISTING CONDITIONS
TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFICI	(mm) = 73.207 IENT = .368				U.H. Tp(hrs)= .510
(i) PEAK FLOW D	DOES NOT INCLUDE BASER	FLOW IF ANY.			PEAK FLOW (cms)= .013 (i)
100:0013					TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 2.450 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 2.465
ADD HYD (906TOT) ID: NHYD	AREA OPEAK	TPEAK R.	V. DWF	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 25.041 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .098
·	ID1 01:906	(ha) (cms) .39 .028	(hrs) (m 1.92 26.	m) (cms) 92 .000	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
	SUM 02:906TOT	3.47 .181	2.15 26.	====== 92 .000	
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS	5 DO NOT INCLUDE BASEF	FLOWS IF ANY.			125:0005- +# CATCHMENT 602 - BACKYARDS OF EXISTING RESIDENTIAL FRONTING QUAKER ROAD
100:0014 *#*****************		 ************************	**********		03:602 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 30.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00
* RUN REMAINING DESI	GN STORMS (WELLAND DE	ESIGN STORMS 5 1	0 100-YR)		IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
100:0002					Dep. Storage $(mm) = 1.00$ 8.92 Average $Slope (k) = 50$ 2.00
*					Length $(m) = 30.00$ 10.00 Manings n = 015 250
100:0002					Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 55.56 3.47
100:0002					over (min) 2.00 14.00 Storage Coeff (min)= 2.11 (ii) 13.89 (ii)
*					Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 2.00 14.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .54 .08
100:0002					PEAK FLOW (cms)= .05 .00 .046 (ji)
100:0002					TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 2.00 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 24.04 2.47 8.938
* ** END OF RIN : 12	24				TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 25.04 25.04 25.041 RUNDEF COEFFICIENT = .96 10 357
**************************************		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*****	*****	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
					 (i) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD FOR TRAVIOUS BOSSES (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.

(C:\...Pri-PRE.out)

(iii) PEAK FLO	W DOES NOT INCLUDE BAS	EFLOW IF AN	Y.			CALIB NASHYD	 Area 0 Ia	(ha)= (mm)=	.39 8.924	Curve Nu # of Lin	nber (CN)=74.0	0
125:0006 *# TOTAL EXISTING C *# PEAK FLOWS TO BE	ONDITIONS SOUTH OF WAT USED AS CONTROL CRITE	ERCOURSE				Unit Hyd Opeak	U.H. (cms)=	Tp(hrs)=	.240			(,	
ADD HYD (PRE-1) ID: NHYD	AREA Q	PEAK TPEA	K R.V.	DWF	PEAK FLOW	(cms)=	.002 (i)					
·	ID1 01:901	(ha) (3.06	cms) (hrs .010 2.6) (mm) 2 2.47	(cms) .000	TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME	(hrs)= (mm)=	2.033 2.464					
	+ID2 02:902 +ID3 03:602	3.50 1.00	.013 2.4 .046 1.6	5 2.47 7 8.94	.000	TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFIC	(mm) = IENT =	25.041 .098					
	SUM 04:PRE-1	7.56	.047 1.6	7 3.32	.000	(i) PEAK FLOW	DOES NOT 1	NCLUDE BASE	FLOW IF	ANY.			
NOTE: PEAK FLOW	S DO NOT INCLUDE BASEF	LOWS IF ANY				125:0013							
125:0007						ADD HYD (906TOT) ID:	NHYD	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.	DWF
*#************************************	**************************************	************ *************************	************ *************************	********* ********** (WEST SID	******** *****************************		ID1 01:9 ======= SUM 02:9	906 ====================================	(11a) .39 ======= 3.47	.002 ======= .015	2.03 2.30	2.46 ====== 2.47	.000 ====== .000
CALIB NASHYD 01:903 DT= 1.0	 Area (ha)= 0 Ia (mm)= U H Tp(brs)=	9.49 Cur 8.924 # 0	ve Number f Linear Re	(CN)=74. s.(N)= 3.	00000	NOTE: PEAK FLOW	S DO NOT I	NCLUDE BASE	FLOWS IF	ANY.			
Unit Hyd Qpeak	(cms)= .518	.,				125:0014	********	********	 * * * * * * * * *	*******	*******	*******	******
PEAK FLOW	(cms)= .030 (i)					* RUN REMAINING DES	IGN STORMS	G (WELLAND D	ESIGN ST	ORMS 5 TO	100-YR)		
TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL	(hrs) = 2.733 (mm) = 2.465 (mm) = 25.041					125:0002							
RUNOFF COEFFIC	IENT = .098	NOW TE ANY				125:0002							
(I) FEAR FLOW	WOI INCLODE BASEF	10W 1F AN1.				125:0002							
125:0008 *# CATCHMENT 904 - 1	EXISTING LANDS WEST OF	PRIMONT				*							
CALIB NASHYD 02:904 DT= 1.0	Area (ha)= 0 Ia (mm)=	3.47 Cur 8.924 # 0	ve Number f Linear Re	(CN)=74. s.(N)= 3.	00000	*							
Unit Hyd Qpeak	(cms)= .323	.410				*							
PEAK FLOW	(cms)= .015 (i)					125:0002*							
TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME	(hrs) = 2.300 (mm) = 2.465					FINISH							
RUNOFF COEFFIC	(mm) = 25.041 IENT = .098					WARNINGS / ERR	ORS / NOTE	S	* * * * * * * * *	******	* * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * *	******
(i) PEAK FLOW	DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEF	LOW IF ANY.				Simulation ended	on 2024-0)3-12 at	11:16:2	0			
125:0009	ONDITIONS NORTH OF WAT	ERCOURSE ON	WEST SIDE	OF PRIMON	IT LANDS								
ADD HYD (PRE-2) ID: NHYD	AREA Q	PEAK TPEA	K R.V.	DWF								
	ID1 01:903	(ha) (9.49	cms) (hrs .030 2.7) (mm) 3 2.47	(cms) .000								
	+ID2 02:904	3.47	.015 2.3	0 2.47	.000								
NOTE . DEAK ELOW	SUM 05:PRE-2	12.96	.043 2.5	7 2.47	.000								
NOTE: PEAK FLOW		LOWS IF ANI											
125:0010													
*#************************************	**************************************	**************************************	************ ************** ATERCOURSE	********* ********** (EAST SID	******** *****************************								
CALIB NASHYD	 Area (ha)=	2.55 Cur	ve Number	(CN) = 74.	00								
DI= 1.0	U.H. Tp(hrs)=	.410 .410	i Linear ke	S.(N)= 3.	00								
Unit Hyd Qpeak	(cms) = .238												
PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK	(cms)= .011 (i) (hrs)= 2.300												
RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL	(mm) = 2.465 (mm) = 25.041												
RUNOFF COEFFIC	IENT = .098												
(I) PEAK FLOW	NOI INCLUDE BASEF	LOW IF ANI.											
125:0011	*****	*******	*********	*******	*******								
*# TOTAL EXISTING CO *# LANDS TO EXISTING	ONDITIONS DISCHARGE TO G WATERCOURSE	TOWPATH FR	OM PRIMONT	AND EXTER	NAL								
ADD HYD (PRETOT) ID: NHYD	AREA Q	PEAK TPEA	K R.V.	DWF								
	ID1 04:PRE-1 +ID2 05:PRE-2	7.56	.047 1.6 043 2 5	, () 7 3.32 7 2.47	.000								
	+ID3 01:905	2.55	.011 2.3	0 2.47	.000								
	SUM 07:PRETOT	23.07	.081 2.4	8 2.75	.000								
NOTE: PEAK FLOW	S DO NOT INCLUDE BASEF	LOWS IF ANY											
125:0012		**************************************	 **************************	 *********	******								

POST-DEVELOPMENT
SWMHYMO HYDROLOGIC MODELING PARAMETERS

POST-DEVE	LOPMENT CONDITIONS HYDR		ELING P	ARAMETE	ERS									
Catchment ID	Catchment Description	Hydrograph Method	Area (ha)	Perv. CN	Perv. la (mm)	Impervi TIMP	ous (%) XIMP	Flow Le Perv.	ength (m) Imperv.	Mann Perv.	ing "n" Imperv.	Slop Perv.	e (%) Imperv.	Time to Peak Tp (hrs)
Lands on sou	th side of Towpath Drain - Drainag	ge to SWMF #1	or Directl	y to Drain					•		·			
101	Proposed Primont development south of watercourse draining to SWMF #1	STANDHYD	2.67	74	8.924	70	60	10	30	0.250	0.015	2.0	0.5	
102	Primot development draining uncontrolled to Quaker Rd	STANDHYD	0.39	74	8.924	65	60	10	70	0.250	0.015	2.0	2.5	
601	Future Mountainview development draining thru Primont to SWMF #1	STANDHYD	3.13	74	8.924	70	55	10	30	0.250	0.015	2.0	0.5	
602	Rear-yards for existing lots fronting Quaker Road draining to SWMF #1	STANDHYD	1.00	74	8.924	30	30	10	30	0.250	0.015	2.0	0.5	
603	Mountainview development draining uncontrolled to Towpath Drain	STANDHYD	0.37	74	8.924	40	25	20	10	0.250	0.015	2.0	0.5	
		Total	7.56											
Lands on nor	th side of Towpath Drain - Drainag	e to SWMF #2	or SWMF	#3 or Direc	tly to Drai	n	-				-		_	
201	Proposed Primont development north of watercourse draining to SWMF #2	STANDHYD	8.16	74	8.924	65	50	10	30	0.250	0.015	2.0	2.0	
202	Proposed Primont rear-yard areas draining to SWMF #2	STANDHYD	0.12	74	8.924	40	25	7	7	0.250	0.015	2.0	2.0	
203	Proposed Primont rear-yard areas draining to Towpath Drain	STANDHYD	0.19	74	8.924	40	25	7	7	0.250	0.015	2.0	2.0	
204	Primont ucontrolled rear-yard areas draining to Towpath Drain	STANDHYD	0.66	74	8.924	40	25	7	7	0.250	0.015	2.0	2.0	
701	External lands draining to Primont and SWMF #2	NASHYD	2.43	74	8.924									0.41
702	External lands draining to Towpath Drain	NASHYD	1.93	74	8.924									0.41
301	Proposed Primont development on east east side adjacent First Ave. draing to SWMF #3	STANDHYD	2.55	74	8.924	75	70	10	30	0.250	0.015	2.0	0.5	0.41
		Total	16.04											
Lands on nor	th side draining to Singers Drain													
205	Primont rear-yard areas draining to Singers Drain	STANDHYD	0.44	74	8.924	40	25	7	7	0.250	0.015	2.0	2.0	
	Total Drainage Area													

- Pervious Initial Abstraction (Perv. Ia) set at 5.0mm. More conservative (lower) than the typical Ia = 0.1 x S , where S = (25400 / CN) - 254 - Depression Storage over Impervious areas (DPSI) = 1.0 mm

POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS SWMHYMO HYDROLOGIC MODELING SCHEMATIC



STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WATER QUALITY CALCULATIONS

SWMF#1 - WETPOND - FULL BUILDOUT (PRIMONT + MOUNTAINVIEW + EXTERNAL)

Areas Contributing to SWM Pond for WQ Eve	nt
---	----

Sub-Catchment ID	Area (ha)	Percent Impervious (%)
101 - Primont Lands	2.67	70.0
601 - External (Mountainview)	3.13	70.0
602 - External (Quaker Rd.)	1.00	30.0
TOTAL	6.80	64.1

WM Pond	
Required protection level:	Enhanced
Contributing drainage area:	6.80 ha
Impervious level:	64.1 %
Total required water quality storage volume per hectare:	211 m³/ha
Required permanent pool volume per hectare:	171 m ³ /ha
Required extended detention storage volume per hectare:	40 m³/ha
Required permanent pool volume:	1,165 m ³
Provided permanent pool volume:	2,008 m ³
Required MOE extended detention storage volume:	272 m ³
Provided extended detention volume during water quality event:	272 m ³
25mm Storm - Required storage volume:	901 m ³
28mm Storm - Required storage volume:	1009 m ³ (25mm Vol x 1.12
28mm Storm - Provided volume:	1,073 m ³
Total pond storage volume during water quality event:	3,081 m ³

MECP SWM Design Manual Table 3.2								
Protection	SWMP Type	Storag Ir	Storage Volume (m ³ /ha) for Impervious Level					
		35%	55%	70%	85%			
E.L.	Infiltration	25	30	35	40			
Ennancea	Wetlands	80	105	120	140			
S S removal)	Hybrid Wet Pond/Wetland	110	150	175	195			
e.e. removal)	Wet Pond	140	190	225	250			
Name	Infiltration	20	20	25	30			
Normal	Wetlands	60	70	80	90			
S S removal)	Hybrid Wet Pond/Wetland	75	90	105	120			
e.e. removal)	Wet Pond	90	110	130	150			
	Infiltration	20	20	20	20			
Basic	Wetlands	60	60	60	60			
(60% long-term	Hybrid Wet Pond/Wetland	60	70	75	80			
S.S. removal)	Wet Pond	60	75	85	95			
	Dry Pond (Continuous Flow)	90	150	200	240			

Primont Welland, Ontario

SWMF#1 - Wet Pond - Stage-Storage-Discharge

Outlet Device No. 1 (Quality & Erosion)		Outlet Device No. 2 (Quantity)	Outlet Device	Outlet No. 4 (Q	
Type: Diameter (mm) Area (m ²) Invert Elev. (m) C/L Elev. (m)	Circular Orifice 100 0.00785 182.65 182.70	Type: Diameter (mm) Area (m ²) Invert Elev. (m) C/L Elev. (m)	Circular Orifice 375 0.11045 183.05 183.24	Type: N/A Diameter (mm) Area (m ²) Invert Elev. (m) C/L Elev. (m)	Circular Orifice 0 0.00000 0.00 0.00	Type: Sill Elevation (n Length (m) Discharge (Q) =
Disch. Coeff. (C _d)	0.6	Disch. Coeff. (C _d)	0.6	Disch. Coeff. (C _d)	0.6	
Discharge (Q) = Number of Orifices:	C _d A (2 g H) ^{0.5} 1	Discharge (Q) = $C_d A (2gH)$ Number of Orifices:	^{0.5}	Discharge (Q) = Number of Orifices: Spill into structure at elev.	C _d A (2 g H) ^{0.5} 1 (m) 0.00	

		SWM Pond Volumes			Outlet No. 1 Outlet No. 2		Outlet No. 3		Outlet No. 4					
	Elevation	Area m ²	Incremental Volume m ³	Cumulative Volume m ³	Active Storage Volume m ³	H	Discharge m ³ /s	H	Discharge m ³ /s	H	Discharge m ³ /s	H	Discharge m ³ /s	Total Discharge m ³ /s
Bottom of Pond Top Permanent Pool	181.65 182.65	1544 2473	0 2008	0 2008	0	0.000	0.000							0.0000
	182.75 182.85 182.95	2576 2681 2787	252 263 273	2201 2524 2797	252 515 789	0.100 0.200 0.300	0.0027 0.0076 0.0101							0.0027 0.0076 0.0101
Ext. Det 28mm	183.05 183.15 183.25 183.35	2895 3005 3117 3230	284 295 306 317	3081 3376 3682 4000	1073 1368 1674 1991	0.400 0.500 0.600 0.700	0.0121 0.0137 0.0152 0.0166	0.000 0.100 0.200 0.300	0.0000 0.0067 0.0251 0.0505					0.0121 0.0204 0.0403 0.0671
	183.45 183.55 183.65	3345 3461 3579	329 340 352	4328 4669 5021	2320 2660 3012	0.800 0.900 1.000	0.0179 0.0191 0.0202	0.400 0.500 0.600	0.1136 0.1467 0.1736					0.1315 0.1658 0.1938
	183.75 183.85 183.95 184.05	3699 3820 3943	364 376 388	5385 5760 6149 6540	3376 3752 4140 4541	1.100 1.200 1.300	0.0212 0.0222 0.0232	0.700 0.800 0.900	0.1969 0.2177 0.2366 0.2542					0.2181 0.2399 0.2598
Weir Sill	184.05 184.10 184.20 184.30	4008 4138 4265 4394	205 420 433	6754 7175 7607	4746 5166 5599	1.400 1.450 1.550 1.650	0.0241 0.0245 0.0254 0.0263	1.000 1.050 1.150 1.250	0.2542 0.2625 0.2784 0.2935			0.000 0.100 0.200	0.0000 0.4225 1.1950	0.2783 0.2871 0.7264 1.5147
Top of Pond	184.40	4524	446	8053	6045	1.750	0.0271	1.350	0.3078			0.300	2.1953	2.5302

WALTERFEDY

(uantity)

m)

=

Broad crested overflow weir 184.10 8.0 1.67 L H ^{1.5}

SWMF#1 - Drawdown Calculations

Pond drawdown time can be estimated using Equation 4.10 (MOEE SWMP Planning & Design Manual)

$$t = \frac{2A_{p}}{CA_{o}(2g)^{0.5}} (h_{1}^{0.5} - h_{2}^{0.5})$$

t = drawdown time in seconds Ap = surface area of the pond (m ²)	= =	to be calculate 2684	d (Avg. Surface Area between h ₁ & h ₂)
C = discharge coefficient	=	0.60	
D = diameter of controlling orifice (m)	=	0.100	
Ao = cross-sectional area of orifice (m^2)	=	0.00785	
g = acceleration due to gravity (m/s2)	=	9.81	
Orifice invert elevation (m)	=	182.600	(Permanent Pool Elev.)
Orifice centreline elevation (m)	=	182.650	
Extended detention elevation (m)	=	183.050	(Runoff volume for 28 mm event.)
h_1 = starting water level above orifice (m)	=	0.4000	
h_2 = ending water level above orifice (m)	=	0.00	

t = 162649 seconds =

45.2 hours

SWMF#1 - Forebay Sizing

Based on MOEE SWMP Planning & Design Manual

Length Based on Settling Velocity			
$L = \sqrt{\frac{r Q_{p}}{v_{s}}}$ $L = 10.49 \text{ m}$	r : 1 = length to width ratio Q _p = peak SWM outflow during quality storm (25mm storm) v _s = settling velocity for 0.15 mm particles (m/s)	r = Q _p = v _s =	3 0.011 0.0003
Dispersion Length			
$L_{D} = \frac{8Q}{dv_{f}}$	Q = Inlet pipe to SWMF - 5-yr Inflow per SWMHYMO (m ³ /s) d = depth of perm pool in forebay (m) v _f = desired vel in forebay (m/s)	Q = d = v _f =	1.065 1 0.5
L _D = 17.04 m			
Check Scour Velocity			
	b = bottom width (avg) of forebay (m)	b =	7
v = Q/A	$Q = inlet flow (m^3/s)$	Q =	1.065
v = 0.11 m/s	A = cross-sectional area (m2)	A =	10
	Target velocity = 0.15 m/s	V _{targ} =	0.15
Therefore, Velocity Target Satisfied	- ·	<u> </u>	

Required Forebay Length =

17.04 m

WALTERFEDY

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WATER QUALITY CALCULATIONS

SWMF#2 - WETPOND - PRIMONT LANDS + EXTERNAL ON WEST SIDE

Areas Contributing to SWM Po	nd for WQ Event	
Sub-Catchment ID	Area (ha)	Percent Impervious (%)
201 - Primont Lands	8.16	65.0
202 - Primont Lands	0.12	50.0
701 - External (West)	2.43	0.0
TOTAL	10.71	50.1

SWM Pond		MECP SWM De	si
Required protection leve	el: Enhanced	Drotootion	
Contributing drainage are	a: 10.71 ha	Protection	S
Impervious leve	el: 50.1 %	Level	
Total required water quality storage volume per hectar Required permanent pool volume per hectar Required extended detention storage volume per hectar	re: 179 m ³ /ha re: 139 m ³ /ha re: 40 m ³ /ha	<i>Enhanced</i> (80% long-term S.S. removal)	lr V ⊢ V
Required permanent pool volum Provided permanent pool volum	ne: 1,484 m ³ ne: 3,127 m ³	<i>Normal</i> (70% long-term S.S. removal)	lı V F V
Required MOE extended detention storage volum	428 m^3		Ir
Provided extended detention volume during water quality ever	nt: 428 m ³	<i>Basic</i> (60% long-term	V H
25mm Storm - Required storage volum	le: 1,016 m ³	S.S. removal)	V
28mm Storm - Required storage volum	ie: 1138 m ³ (25mm Vol x 1.12)		С
28mm Storm - Provided volum	ie: 1,616 m ³		
Total pond storage volume during water quality ever	nt: 4,743 m ³		

MECP SWM Design Manual Table 3.2								
Protection	SWMP Type	Storage Volume (m ³ /ha) for Impervious Level						
Level		35%	55%	70%	85%			
	Infiltration	25	30	35	40			
Enhanced	Wetlands	80	105	120	140			
S S removal)	Hybrid Wet Pond/Wetland	110	150	175	195			
o.o. removal)	Wet Pond	140	190	225	250			
Manad	Infiltration	20	20	25	30			
Normal	Wetlands	60	70	80	90			
SS removal)	Hybrid Wet Pond/Wetland	75	90	105	120			
0.0. removal)	Wet Pond	90	110	130	150			
	Infiltration	20	20	20	20			
Basic	Wetlands	60	60	60	60			
(60% long-term	Hybrid Wet Pond/Wetland	60	70	75	80			
S.S. removal)	Wet Pond	60	75	85	95			
	Dry Pond (Continuous Flow)	90	150	200	240			

SWMF#2 - Wet Pond - Stage-Storage-Discharge

Outlet Device No. 1 (Qua	ality & Erosion)		Outlet I	Device No. 2 (C	(uantity)		(Outlet Device No.	3		Outlet No. 4	l (Quantity	y)	
Type: Diameter (mm) Area (m ²) Invert Elev. (m) C/L Elev. (m) Disch. Coeff. (C_d) Discharge (Q) = Number of Orifices:	Circular Orifice 125 0.01227 182.65 182.71 0.6 C _d A (2 g H) ^{0.5} 1		Type: Diameter (mm) Area (m ²) Invert Elev. (m) C/L Elev. (m) Disch. Coeff. (C _d) Discharge (Q) = Number of Orifice	С _d А (2 g H) ^{0.4} es:	Circular Orifice 300 0.07069 183.05 183.20 0.6 5 1	9 400	Type: Diameter (m Area (m ²) Invert Elev. C/L Elev. (m Disch. Coef Discharge (m Number of C Spill into str	N/A nm) (m) f. (C _d) Q) = Drifices: ucture at elev. (m)	Circular Orifie 0 0.00000 0.00 0.6 C _d A (2 g H) 1 0.00	Orifice Type: Broad crested or Sill Elevation (m) 184.10 D0 Length (m) 8.0 Discharge (Q) = $1.67 L H^{1.5}$ g H $)^{0.5}$		ted overflow weir		
			SWM Pon	d Volumes		Out	et No. 1	Outlet N	lo. 2	Outle	et No. 3	Outle	et No. 4	
	Elevation m	Area m²	Incremental Volume m ³	Cumulative Volume m ³	Active Storage Volume m ³	H m	Discharge m ³ /s	H m	Discharge m ³ /s	H m	Discharge m ³ /s	H m	Discharge m ³ /s	Total Discharge m ³ /s
Bottom of Pond Top Permanent Pool	181.65 182.65 182.75	2494 3760 3898	0 <u>3127</u> 383	0 3127 3510	0 0 383	0.000 0.100	0.000 0.0032							0.0000 0.0032
Ext. Det 28mm	182.85 182.95 183.05 183.15 183.25	4038 4180 4324 4470 4617	397 411 425 440 454	3907 4318 4743 5182 5637	780 1191 1616 2056 2510	0.200 0.300 0.400 0.500 0.600	0.0111 0.0152 0.0184 0.0211 0.0234	0.000 0.100 0.200	0.0000 0.0060 0.0212					0.0111 0.0152 0.0184 0.0271 0.0446
	183.35 183.45 183.55 183.65 183.75 183.85 183.95 184.05	4767 4918 5071 5226 5383 5542 5703 5865	469 484 499 515 530 546 562 578	6106 6590 7090 7604 8135 8681 9243 9822	2979 3463 3963 4478 5008 5554 6117 6695	0.700 0.800 0.900 1.000 1.100 1.200 1.300 1.400	0.0256 0.0276 0.0295 0.0312 0.0329 0.0345 0.0360 0.0374	0.300 0.400 0.500 0.600 0.700 0.800 0.900 1.000	0.0421 0.0840 0.1029 0.1188 0.1328 0.1455 0.1572 0.1680					0.0677 0.1116 0.1324 0.1500 0.1657 0.1800 0.1931 0.2054
Weir Sill Top of Pond	184.10 184.20 184.30 184.40	5956 6121 6289 6459	296 604 621 637	10117 10721 11342 11979	6990 7594 8215 8852	1.450 1.550 1.650 1.750	0.0381 0.0395 0.0408 0.0421	1.050 1.150 1.250 1.350	0.1732 0.1831 0.1925 0.2014			0.000 0.100 0.200 0.300	0.0000 0.4225 1.1950 2.1953	0.2113 0.6451 1.4283 2.4388



SWMF#2 - Drawdown Calculations

Pond drawdown time can be estimated using Equation 4.10 (MOEE SWMP Planning & Design Manual)

$$t = \frac{2A_{p}}{CA_{o}(2g)^{0.5}} (h_{1}^{0.5} - h_{2}^{0.5})$$

t = drawdown time in seconds	=	to be calculate	d
Ap = sufface area of the pollo (iii)	=	4042	(Avg. Surface Area between $h_1 \& h_2$)
C = discharge coefficient	=	0.60	
D = diameter of controlling orifice (m)	=	0.125	
Ao = cross-sectional area of orifice (m^2)	=	0.01227	
g = acceleration due to gravity (m/s2)	=	9.81	
Orifice invert elevation (m)	=	182.600	(Permanent Pool Elev.)
Orifice centreline elevation (m)	=	182.663	
Extended detention elevation (m)	=	183.050	(Runoff volume for 28 mm event.)
h ₁ = starting water level above orifice (m)	=	0.3875	
h_2 = ending water level above orifice (m)	=	0.00	

t = 154295 seconds =

42.9 hours

SWMF#2 - Forebay Sizing

Based on MOEE SWMP Planning & Design Manual

Length Based on Settling Velocity			
$L = \sqrt{\frac{r Q_p}{v_s}}$ $L = 11.83 m$	r : 1 = length to width ratio Q _p = peak SWM outflow during quality storm (25mm storm) v _s = settling velocity for 0.15 mm particles (m/s)	r = Q _p = v _s =	3 0.014 0.0003
Dispersion Length			
$L_{D} = \frac{8 Q}{d v_{f}}$ $L_{D} = 20.32 \text{ m}$	Q = Inlet pipe to SWMF - 5-yr Inflow per SWMHYMO (m ³ /s) d = depth of perm pool in forebay (m) v _f = desired vel in forebay (m/s)	Q = d = v _f =	1.27 1 0.5
Check Scour Velocity			
	b = bottom width (avg) of forebay (m)	b =	7
v = Q/A	$Q = inlet flow (m^3/s)$	Q =	1.27
v = 0.13 m/s	A = cross-sectional area (m^2)	A =	10
Therefore, Velocity Target Satisfied	Target velocity = 0.15 m/s	V _{targ} =	0.15
Required Forebay Length =	20.32 m		

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT WATER QUALITY CALCULATIONS

SWMF#3 - DRY POND

as contributing to SWM Pond for WQ Event						
Sub-Catchment ID Area (ha) Percent Impervious (%)						
- Primont Lands 2.55 75.0						
TOTAL 2.55 75.0						
M Pond	MECP SWM De	sign Manual Table 3.2				
Required protection level:BasicContributing drainage area:2.55 ha	Protection	SWMP Type	Storage Volume (m ³ /ha) for Impervious Level			
Impervious level: 75.0 %			35%	55%	70%	85%
		Infiltration	25	30	35	40
Total required water quality storage volume per hectare: 217 m ³ /ha	Enhanced	Wetlands	80	105	120	140
Required permanent pool volume per hectare: n/a m³/ha	(80% long-lerm	Hybrid Wet Pond/Wetland	110	150	175	195
Required extended detention storage volume per hectare: 217 m ³ /ha	0.0. removal)	Wet Pond	140	190	225	250
		Infiltration	20	20	25	30
Required permanent pool volume: n/a m ³	Normal	Wetlands	60	70	80	90
Provided permanent pool volume: n/a m ³	(70% long-term	Hybrid Wet Pond/Wetland	75	90	105	120
	S.S. Temoval)	Wet Pond	90	110	130	150
Required MOE extended detention storage volume: 553 m ³		Infiltration	20	20	20	20
Provided extended detention volume during water quality event: 747 m ³ (governs)	Basic	Wetlands	60	60	60	60
	(60% long-term	Hybrid Wet Pond/Wetland	60	70	75	80
25mm Storm - Required storage volume: 385 m ³	S.S. removal)	Wet Pond	60	75	85	95
29 mm Storm – Required storage volume: (21 m^3 / 25 mm V/s L + 4.40))	Dry Pond (Continuous Flow)	90	150	200	240

Primont Welland, Ontario

SWMF#3 - Dry Pond

Outlet Device No. 1 (Quality & Erosion)		Outlet Device No. 2 (C	Outlet Device No. 2 (Quantity)		3	Outlet No. 4 (Quantity)	
Type: Diameter (mm) Area (m ²) Invert Elev. (m)	Circular Orifice 75 0.00442 180.70	Type: Diameter (mm) Area (m ²) Invert Elev. (m)	Circular Orifice 200 0.03142 181.50	Type: N/A Diameter (mm) Area (m ²) Invert Elev. (m)	Circular Orifice 0 0.00000 0.00	Type: Sill Elevation (m) Length (m) Discharge (Q) =	Broad crested overflow weir 183.10 8.0 1.67 L H ^{1.5}
C/L Elev. (m) Disch. Coeff. (C _d)	180.74 0.6	C/L Elev. (m) Disch. Coeff. (C _d)	181.60 0.6	C/L Elev. (m) Disch. Coeff. (C _d)	0.00 0.6		
Discharge (Q) = Number of Orifices:	C _d A (2 g H) ^{0.5} 1	Discharge (Q) = $C_d A (2 g H)^{0}$ Number of Orifices:	5 1	Discharge (Q) = Number of Orifices: Spill into structure at elev. (m)	C _d A (2 g H) ^{0.5} 1 0.00		

			SWM Pon	d Volumes		Outl	et No. 1	Outlet N	o. 2	Outle	t No. 3	Outle	et No. 4	
			Incremental	Cumulative	Active Storage									
	Elevation	Area	Volume	Volume	Volume	н	Discharge	Н	Discharge	Н	Discharge	н	Discharge	Total Discharge
	m	m ²	m ³	m ³	m ³	m	m ³ /s	m	m ³ /s	m	m ³ /s	m	m ³ /s	m ³ /s
Bottom of Pond	180.70 180.90 181.10 181.30	701 811 928 1052	0 151 174 198	0 151 325 523	0 151 325 523	0.000 0.200 0.400 0.600	0.0000 0.0045 0.0069 0.0087							0.0000 0.0045 0.0069 0.0087
Ext. Det MECP	181.50 181.70 181.90 182.10 182.30 182.50 182.70 182.90 183.10	1184 1337 1488 1647 1813 1986 2333 2714 3101	224 252 282 313 346 380 432 505 581	747 999 1281 1595 1941 2320 2752 3257 3838	747 999 1281 1595 1941 2320 2752 3257 3838	0.800 1.000 1.200 1.400 1.600 1.800 2.000 2.200 2.400	0.0102 0.0114 0.0126 0.0136 0.0146 0.0155 0.0164 0.0172 0.0180	0.000 0.200 0.400 0.600 0.800 1.000 1.200 1.400 1.600	0.0000 0.0153 0.0431 0.0570 0.0682 0.0777 0.0862 0.0940 0.1011					0.0102 0.0267 0.0557 0.0707 0.0828 0.0933 0.1026 0.1112 0.1191
Weir Sill	183.20 183.30 183.40	3297 3495 3694	320 340 359	4158 4498 4857	4158 4498 4857	2.500 2.600 2.700	0.0184 0.0187 0.0191	1.700 1.800 1.900	0.1045 0.1078 0.1110			0.100 0.200 0.300	0.4225 1.1950 2.1953	0.5454 1.3215 2.3254
Top of Pond	183.50	3895	379	5237	5237	2.800	0.0195	2.000	0.1141			0.400	3.3798	3.5134



SWMF#3 - Drawdown Calculations

Pond drawdown time can be estimated using Equation 4.10 (MOEE SWMP Planning & Design Manual)

$$t = \frac{2A_{p}}{CA_{o}(2g)^{0.5}} (h_{1}^{0.5} - h_{2}^{0.5})$$

t = drawdown time in seconds	=	to be calculate	d
Ap = surface area of the pond (m^2)	=	942	(Avg. Surface Area between $h_1 \& h_2$)
C = discharge coefficient	=	0.60	
D = diameter of controlling orifice (m)	=	0.075	
Ao = cross-sectional area of orifice (m^2)	=	0.00442	
g = acceleration due to gravity (m/s ²)	=	9.81	
Orifice invert elevation (m)	=	180.700	(Permanent Pool Elev.)
Orifice centreline elevation (m)	=	180.738	
Extended detention elevation (m)	=	181.500	(Full MOE volume)
h ₁ = starting water level above orifice (m)	=	0.7625	
h_2 = ending water level above orifice (m)	=	0.00	

t = 140116 seconds =

38.9 hours

Catchment 301 - SWM Quality Control

The small drainage area for Catchment 301 is not suitable for the implementation of wet pond facility for quality control. Water quality will be achieved through a treatment train process utilizing an inline oil/grit unit that will outlet to a dry pond that will provide extended detention and release of the MOE water quality volume. A continuous flow dry pond is capable of achieving 60% annual TSS removal. The implementation of a Hydrostorm HS-6 units (see below) will achieve 57% annual TSS removal assuming the ETV particle size distribution. The overall TSS removal for the oil/grit unit and dry pond SWM facility in series can be determined using the equation below:

$$R = A + B - [(A \times B) / 100]$$

Where:

R = Total TSS Removal Rate

A = TSS Removal Rate of the First or Upstream BMP (Oil/grit unit = 57%)

B = TSS Removal Rate of the Second or Downstream BMP (Dry Pond = 60%)

Source: New Jersey Stormwater Best Management Practices Manual (February 2004)

Total TSS Removal = 82%

Therefore, the use of the oil/grit unit and dry pond in series will results in an annual TSS removal that exceeds the minimum 70% required for Normal water quality protection.

P	Hydroworks Hydrodynamic Separator Sizing Program - HydroStorm									
1	File Product Units View Help									
1) 🗁 🔒 🔿									
G										
	Site i al al ineters	, Г	2.55		Ch Catharinan	` <u>`</u>		0-1-1		
	Area (ha)	ļ	2.55	1_ U.S.	St. Catherines	A		Untar	10	
	Imperviousne	ss (%) 🛛	75	Metric	1971 to 2005		Rainfall	Timestep =	60 min.	
	Project Title Pr	imont - Catchm	ent 301		Inle	et Pip	·			
	(2 lines) Tr	eatment train w	ith SWMF #3 (dry pond)	Dia	ım. (n	nm) 450	Slope (%)	.5	
	C Stokes C Cheng C ETV Lab Testing Results Peak Design Flow (m3/s)									
	Annual TSS Removal Results									
	Annual 135 Nei	noval nesults				_				
	Model #	Qlow (m3/s)	Qtot (m3/s)	Flow Capture (%)	TSS Removal (%)		Size (um)	76	SG	-
	HS 4	.02	.2	79 %	42 %		2	5	2.60	
	HS 5	.04	.2	89 %	51 %	. 1	5	5	2.65	
	HS 6	.07	.2	93 %	57 %		8	10	2.65	
	Unavailable	.1	.2	96 %	61 %		20	15	2.65	
	HS 8	.13	.2	97 %	65 %		50	10	2.65	
	Unavailable	.16	.2	98 %	68 %		75	5	2.65	
1	HS 10	.19	.2	99 %	72 %		100	10	2.65	
	HS 12	.2	.2	99 %	78 %		150	15	2.65	
							250	15	2.65	
	500 5 2.65 💌									
	Note: Results vary significantly based on particle size distribution Simulate									

(C:\...Pri-POST.dat)

2 Metric units *# Project Name: F *# JOB NUMBER : 2 *# Date : N *# Revised : N *# Company : V *# File : F	RIMONT HOMES WELLAND AND THOROLD, ONTARIO 2022-0091-10 MARCH 2024 MALTER FEDY WALTER FEDY RFI-POST.DAT CN=74 to match UCC, Ia = 8.924mm	*# TOTAL POST-DEV F *# CONTROLLED + UN ADD HYD *\$	LOWS TO TOWPATH DRAIN FROM SOUTH SIDE CONTROLLED IDsum=[4], NHYD=["T-PST1"], IDs to add=[5 6 3]
START	TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[002] WELL4002.STM	*# CAPTURED IN REA *# TO WATECOURSE CALIB NASHYD	EXISING DANUST MEST OF FRINKNI RYARD CS'S. THIS AREA TO HAVE ITS OWN FUTURE SWM WITH OUTLET ID=[1], NHYD=["701"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[2.43](ha),
READ STORM * *#***************	STORM_FILENAME "STORM.001"		DWF=[0](cms), CN/C=[74], IA=[8.924](mm), N=[3], TP=[0.41]hrs, RAINFALL=[, , ,](mm/hr), END=-1
** *# *# POST-DEVF *# ======== *# *# *# *# SNMF #1 - SOUTH *#	ELOPMENT CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC MODELING	**	
** +# CATCHMENT 601 - CALIB STANDHYD *&	<pre>TUTURE DEVELOPED MOUNTAINVIEW LANDS SERVICED VIA PRIMONT ID=[1], NHYD=["601"], DT=[1](min), AREA=[3.13](ha), XIMP=[0.55], TIMP=[0.70], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], SCS curve number CN=[74], Pervious surfaces: IAper=[8.924](mm), SLPP=[2.0](%), LGP=[10](m), MNP=[0.250], SCP=[0](min), Impervious surfaces: IAimp=[1.0](mm), SLPI=[0.5](%), LGI=[30](m), MNI=[0.015], SCI=[0](min), RAINFALL=[, , , ,](mm/hr), END=-1</pre>	** CATCHMENT 201 - CALIB STANDHYD	<pre>PHIMONT LANDS TD=[3], NHYD=[*201*], DT=[1](min), AREA=[8.16](ha), XIMP=[0.50], TIMP=[0.65], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], SCS curve number CN=[74], Pervious surfaces: IAper=[8.924](mm), SLPP=[2.0](%), LGP=[10](m), MNP=[0.250], SCP=[0](min), Impervious surfaces: IAimp=[1.0](mm), SLPI=[0.5](%), LGI=[30](m), MNI=[0.015], SCI=[0](min), RAINFALL=[, , ,](mm/hr), END=-1</pre>
*# CATCHMENT 602 - CALIB STANDHYD	BACKYARDS OF EXISTING RESIDENTIAL FRONTING QUAKER ROAD ID=[2], NHYD=[*602*], DT=[1](min), AREA=[1.0](ha), XIMP=[0.30], TIMP=[0.30], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], SCS curve number CN=[74], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], SCS curve number CN=[74],	*# TOTAL POST-DEVEL *# PRIMONT LANDS + ADD HYD *%	OPMENT FLOWS TO SWMF #2 EXTERNAL LANDS UNDER EXISTING CONDITIONS IDSum=[3, NHYD=["TOT-1B"], IDs to add=[1 2 3]
*8	Pervious surfaces: lAper=[8.924](mm), SLPP=[2.0](%), LGP=[10](m), MNP=[0.250], SCP=[0](min), Impervious surfaces: lAimp=[1.0](mm), SLPI=[0.5](%), LGI=[30](m), MNI=[0.015], SCI=[0](min), RAINFALL=[, , , ,](mm/hr), END=-1	*# ROUTE THROUGH SW ROUTE RESERVOIR	<pre>MF #2 IDout=[9], NHYD=["SWM2"], IDin=[8], RDT=[1](min), TABLE of (OUTFLOW-STORAGE) values (cms) - (ha-m) 0.0000 0</pre>
*# CATCHMENT 101 - CALIB STANDHYD	<pre>PRIMONT LANDS SOUTH OF WATERCOURSE ID=[3], NHYD=[*201"], DT=[1](min), AREA=[2.67](ha), XIMP=[0.60], TIMP=[0.70], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], SCS curve number CN=[74], Pervious surfaces: IAper=[8.924](mm), SLPP=[2.0](%), LGP=[10](m), MNP=[0.250], SCP=[0](min), Impervious surfaces: IAimp=[1.0](mm), SLPI=[0.5](%), LGI=[30](m), MNI=[0.015], SCI=[0](min), RAINFALL=[, , , ,](mm/hr), END=-1</pre>		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
*# TOTAL POST-DEVEN ADD HYD *%	OPMENT FLOWS TO SWMF #1 IDsum=[4], NHYD=["TOT-1A"], IDs to add=[1 2 3]		0.1657 0.5008 0.1800 0.5554 0.1931 0.6117
*# ROUTE THROUGH SW ROUTE RESERVOIR	<pre>MWF #1 IDout=[5], NHYD=["SWM1"], IDin=[4], RDT=[1](min), TABLE of (OUTFLOW-STORAGE) values (cms) - (ha-m) 0.0000 0 0.0027 0.0252</pre>		0.2054 0.6695 0.2113 0.6690 0.6451 0.7594 1.4283 0.8215 2.4388 0.8852 -1 -1 (max twenty pts)
	0.0076 0.0515 0.0101 0.0789 0.0121 0.1073 0.0204 0.1368 0.0403 0.1674 0.0671 0.1991	*% *# CATCHMENT 702 - CALIB NASHYD	<pre>Labor 1007 Handle And And And And And And And And And And</pre>
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*# CATCHMENT 203 - CALIB STANDHYD	PRIMONT REAR YARDS DRAINING TO TOWPATH DRAIN ID=[2], NHYD=[*203*], DT=[1](min), AREA=[0.19](ha), XIMP=[0.25], TIMP=[0.40], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], SCS curve number CN=[74], Pervious surfaces: IAper=[8.924](mm), SLPP=[2.0](%), LGP=[7](m), MNP=[0.250], SCP=[0](min), Impervious surfaces: IAimp=[1.0](mm), SLPI=[2.0](%), LGI=[7](m), MNI=[0.015], SCI=[0](min),
	1.5147 0.5599 2.5302 0.6045 -1 -1 (max twenty pts)	*% *# CATCHMENT 204 -	RAINFALL=[, , , ,](mm/hr), END=-1
*%603 - *# CATCHMENT 603 - CALIB STANDHYD	<pre>IDOT=[6], NHYDOVT=["OVFT"] </pre>	*# DRAINING TO TOW CALIB STANDHYD	<pre>PATH DRAIN OR WETLAND ID=[3], NHYD=[*204*], DT=[1](min), AREA=[0.66](ha), XIMP=[0.25], TIMP=[0.40], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], SCS curve number CN=[74], Pervious surfaces: IAper=[8.924](mm), SLPP=[2.0](%), LGP=[7](m), MNP=[0.250], SCP=[0](min), Impervious surfaces: IAimp=[1.0](mm), SLPI=[2.0](%), LGI=[7](m), MIN=[0.015], SCI=[0](min), RAINFALL=[, , , ,](mm/hr), END=-1</pre>
*% *# Catchment 100 -	RAINFALL=[, ,] (mm/rr), END=-1	*# TOTAL UNCONTROLL ADD HYD *%	ED FLOWS IDsum=[5], NHYD=["TOT-2B"], IDs to add=[1 2 3]
"# CAICHMENI 102 - CALIB STANDHYD	PRIMONI LANDS ONCONTROLLED TO QUARER RD JTCH AND TOMPATH ID=[2], NHYD=[*102"], DT=[1](min), AREA=[0.39](ha), XIMP=[0.60], TIMP=[0.65], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], SCS curve number CN=[74], Pervious surfaces: IAper=[8.924](mm), SLPF=[2.0](%), LGP=[10](m), MNT=[0.250], SCP=[0](min), Impervious surfaces: IAimpe1[1.0](mn), SLP1=[2.5](%), LGI=[70](m), MNI=[0.015], SCI=[0](min), RAINFALL=[*# TOTAL POST-DEV F *# CONTROLLED + UN ADD HYD *\$	LOWS TO TOWPATH DRAIN/WETLAND FROM NORTH SIDE (CONTROLLED IDsum=[6], NHYD=["T-PST2"], IDs to add=[9 10 5]
*% *# TOTAL UNCONTROLI ADD HYD		*# CATCHMENT 205 - CALIB STANDHYD	$\label{eq:prime} \begin{array}{c} & \mbox{prime} \\ \mbox{ident} REAR (ARDS DRAINING NORTH TO SINGERS DRAIN \\ & \mbox{ident} ID=[10], \mbox{imp}=[0.25], \mbox{imp}=[0.40], \mbox{imp}=[0](\mbox{cms}), \mbox{LOSS}=[2], \\ & \mbox{imp}=[0.25], \mbox{imp}=[0.40], \mbox{DWF}=[0](\mbox{cms}), \mbox{LOSS}=[2], \\ \end{array}$
* &			SCS curve number CN=[74],

		Pervious su	rfaces:	IAper: LGP=['	=[8.924 7](m),](mm), MNP=[0	SLPP=[2.0](%), .250], SCP=[0]	min),
		Impervious su	rfaces:	IAimp: LGI=['	=[1.0](7](m),	mm), SI MNI=[0	LPI=[2.0](%), .015], SCI=[0](min),
+ 9.		RAINFALL=[,		,] (mm ,	/hr) ,	END=-1	1	
ADD HYD		TDsum=[10] N	HYD=["20)5"] ·	TDs to	add=[1]	 1	
*#*********	******	*******	******	*****	******	*****	, * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	****
*								
*#*******	* * * * * * * *	***********	******	*****	* * * * * * *	*****	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	****
*# SWMF #3 -	NORTH S	SIDE OF WATERC	OURSE, I	EAST S	IDE OF	PRIMON'	r lands	
*# ~~~~	201 _ 1		ON FACT	CTDF 1	* * * * * * * * ^ T ^ C E NT	*******	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	****
CALIB STANDH	301 - 1 YD	ID=[1], NHYD=	["301"].	. DT=[]	ll(min)	, AREA:	=[2.55](ha),	
		XIMP=[0.70],	TIMP=[0	.75], I	DWF=[0]	(cms),	LOSS=[2],	
		SCS curve num	ber CN=	[74],				
		Pervious su	rfaces:	IAper:	=[8.924] (mm),	SLPP=[2.0](%)	(
		Impervious su	rfaces:	LGP=[. TAimp:	_[1 0](m),	MNP=[(mm) SI	J.250], SCP=[U. LDT=[0 5](%)	(min),
		Impervious se	114000	LGI=[30](m),	MNI=[(D.015], SCI=[0]	(min),
		RAINFALL=[,	, , ,	,] (mm ,	/hr) ,	END=-2	1	
*8								
A ROUTE THR	OUGH SWI	4F #3	NHVD-["	2 MM 3 " 1	TDin	-[1]		
ROOTE REDERV	OIR	RDT=[1](min),	MIIID-L L	JWI15]	, 10111	-111,		
		TABLE C	f (OUTH	LOW-S	FORAGE) value	es	
			(cr	ns) –	(ha-m)			
0.0000	0.0000							
0.0069	0.0325							
0.0087	0.0523							
0.0102	0.0747							
0.0267	0.0999							
0.0707	0.1595							
0.0828	0.1941							
0.0933	0.2320							
0.1026	0.2752							
0.1191	0.3838							
0.5454	0.4158							
1.3215	0.4498							
2.3254	0.4857							
3.3134	0.5257		-1		-1 (max twe	enty pts)	
		IDovf=[3], NHYI)=fvo	"OVF3"]			
*8								
*# IOTAL POS *# AND EXTER	NAL LANI	DS TO EXISTING	WATERCO	URSE	(CONTRO	LLED +	UNCONTROLLED)	IN T
*&		IDSum=[7], NH			, iDs t 		[4 0 2 3]	
* ೪								i
* RUN REMAIN *	ING DESI	IGN STORMS (WE	LLAND DI	ESIGN S	STORMS	5 TO 10	00-YR)	
START		TZERO=[0.0], WELL4005_STM	METOUT:	=[2],	NSTORM	=[1],	NRUN=[005]	
*								
START		TZERO=[0.0], WELL4010.STM	METOUT:	=[2],	NSTORM	=[1],	NRUN=[010]	
*								
START		TZERO=[0.0],	METOUT:	=[2],	NSTORM	=[1],	NRUN=[025]	
*		WELL4025.SIM						
START		TZERO=[0.0],	METOUT:	=[2],	NSTORM	=[1],	NRUN=[050]	
		WELL4050.STM						
* START		TZERO-IO OJ	METOIT	-[2]	NSTOP	-[1]	NRIIN-[100]	
JIARI		WELL4100.STM	HE TOUT:	41,	IND LORM	-ı±1,	MICOIN=[100]	
*								
START		TZERO=[0.0],	METOUT:	=[2],	NSTORM	=[1],	NRUN=[125]	
		25MM.STM						
*8								
FINISH								'

Primont - Post-Development Output

	*#
SSSSS W W M M H H Y Y M M OOO 999 999 =======	*#*************************************
SWWWMMMHHYYMMMO099999 SSSSSWWWMMMHHHHHYMMM000##99999Ver4.05	*#************************************
S WW M M H H Y M M O O 9999 9999 Sept 2011 SSSSS WW M M H H Y M M 000 9 9 =======	*#************************************
StormWater Management Wydrolegig Medel 999 9 # 2018430	
Storiuwater management hidrorogic moder 999 999 =======	CALLE STANDALD Afea (ha)= 5.15 01:601 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 70.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 55.00
**************************************	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
******** A single event and continuous hydrologic simulation model ********* ********* based on the principles of HYMO and its successors *********	Surface Area (ha)= 2.19 .94 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92
**************************************	Average Slope (%)= .50 2.00 Length (m)= 30.00 10.00
******** Distributed by: J.F. Sabourin and Associates Inc. ************************************	Mannings n = .015 .250
******** Gatineau, Quebec: (819) 243-6858 *********	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 77.19 38.48
E-Mail: Swilly now JiSa.com	Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.85 (ii) 6.35 (ii)
***************************************	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 2.00 6.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .59 .18
+++++++ Licensed user: WalterFedy ++++++++ +++++++ Kitchener SERIAL#:2018430 +++++++++	*TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= .37 .07 .423 (iii)
***************************************	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.73 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 37.97 11.79 26.190
**************************************	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 38.97 38.97 38.97 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .97 .30 .672
******** Maximum value for ID numbers : 10 **********************************	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
******* Max. number of flow points : 105408 ********	$CN^* = 74.0$ Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) THE STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SHOULD BE FOUND
	THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
***************************** DETAILED OUTPUT **********************************	(111) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
* DATE: 2024-05-23 TIME: 14:45:13 RUN COUNTER: 000923 *	002:0004
* Input filename: C:\USERS\JORESK~1\DESKTOP\Primont\Pri-POST.dat *	*# CATCHMENT 602 - BACKYARDS OF EXISTING RESIDENTIAL FRONTING QUAKER ROAD
* Output filename: C:\USERS\JORESK~1\DESKTOP\Primont\Pri-POST.out * * Summary filename: C:\USERS\JORESK~1\DESKTOP\Primont\Pri-POST.sum *	CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= 1.00 02:602 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 30.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00
* User comments: * * 1: *	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
* 2:*	Surface Area (ha)= .30 .70 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92
****	Average Slope (%) = .50 2.00
	$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{Mannings n} & = & .015 & .250 \end{array}$
*#*************************************	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 77.19 12.82
*# Project Name: PRIMONT HOMES *# WELLAND AND THOROLD, ONTARIO	over (min) 2.00 9.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.85 (ii) 8.83 (ii)
*# JOB NUMBER : 2022-0091-10 *# Date : MARCH 2024	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 2.00 9.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .59 .13
*# Revised : MAY 2024 *# Company : WALTER FEDY	*TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= .06 .02 .072 (iii)
*# File : PRI-POST.DAT CN=74 to match UCC, Ia = 8.924mm	TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.67 1.80 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 37.97 7.57 16.691
* ** END OF RUN : 1	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 38.97 38.97 38.97 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .97 .19 .428
*****	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
	$CN^* = 74.0$ Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OF FOLIAL
	THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
	(III) FEAR FEON DOED NOT INCLUDE ENDEREDW IT ANT.
START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK~1\DESKTOP\Primont\	
TZERO = .00 hrs on 0	"# CAICHWENT IOI - PRIMONI LANDS SOUTH OF WAIERCOURSE
METODT= 2 (Sutput = METRIC) NRUN = 002	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
NSTORM= 1 # 1=WELL4002.STM	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
002:0002	Surface Area (ha)= 1.87 .80 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92
*#************************************	Average Slope (%)= .50 2.00 Length (m)= 30.00 10.00
*# WELLAND AND THOROLD, ONTARIO *# JOB NUMBER : 2022-0091-10	Mannings n = .015 .250
*# Date : MARCH 2024 *# Revised : MAY 2024	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 77.19 28.74 over (min) 2.00 7.00
*# Company : WALTER FEDY *# File : PRI-POST DAT CN=74 to match NCC Ta = 8 924mm	Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.85 (ii) 6.90 (ii) Unit Hyd Theak (min)= 2.00 7.00
" ##***********************************	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .59 .16
002.0002	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .34 .04 .373 (iii)
*	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 37.97 10.50 26.985
READ STORM Filename: CITY OF WELLAND - 2-YR CHICAGO STORM - 4	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .97 .27 .692
PTOTA1= 38.97 mm Comments: CITY OF WELLAND - 2-YR CHICAGO STORM - 4	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr	CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
.17 2.463 1.17 6.918 2.17 9.580 3.17 3.458 .33 2.735 1.33 10.551 2.33 7.286 3.33 3.149	THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
.50 3.084 1.50 23.484 2.50 5.909 3.50 2.896 .67 3.551 1.67 77.186 2.67 4.992 3.67 2.683	
.83 4.208 1.83 27.322 2.83 4.336 3.83 2.503 1.00 5.207 2.00 14.150 3.00 3.843 4.00 2.347	002:0006 *# TOTAL POST-DEVELOPMENT FLOWS TO SWMF #1
	ADD HYD (TOT-1A) ID: NHYD AREA OPEAK TPEAK R V DWF
002:0003	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) IDI 01:601 3.13 423 1.67 26 1.9 0.00
*#*************************************	+ID2 02:602 1.00 .072 1.67 16.69 .000 +ID3 03:201 2.67 373 1.67 26 9 000
*# POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC MODELING *#	SUM 04:TOT-1A 6.80 .869 1.67 25 11 000

Primont - Post-Development Output

NOTE. DEAK ET ONE DO NOT THAT THE EASEET ONE TE ANY	SUM 03:TOT-2A .76 .078 1.67 21.72 .000
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
002:0007	0.02.0011
ROUTE TRADE TABLE Requested routing time step = 1.0 min.	*# TOTAL POST-DEV FLOWS TO TOWPATH DRAIN FROM SOUTH SIDE *# CONTROLLED + UNCONTROLLED
OUT<05:(SWM1) ======= OUTLFOW STORAGE TABLE ======= OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .000 .0000E+00 .194 .3012E+00 .003 .2520E-01 .218 .3376E+00	ADD HYD (T-PST1) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF ID1 05:SWM1 6.80 .029 4.02 25.10 .000 +ID2 06:OVF1 .00 .000 .00 .000 .000 +ID3 03:TOT-2A .76 .078 1.67 21.72 .000
.010 .7890E-01 .210 .4140E+00 .010 .7890E-01 .260 .4140E+00 .012 .1073E+00 .278 .4541E+00 .020 .1368E+00 .287 .4746E+00 .040 .674E+00 .726 .5166E+00 .067 .1991E+00 1.515 .5599E+00	SUM 04:T-PST1 7.56 .087 1.67 24.76 .000 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
.132 .2320E+00 2.530 .6045E+00 .166 .2660E+00 .000 .0000E+00	002:0012 * *
ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	<pre>*# ***********************************</pre>
CUMULATIVE TIME OF OVERFLOWS (hours)= .00 PERCENTAGE OF TIME OVERFLOWING (%)= .00	CALIB NASHYD Area (ha)= 2.43 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 01:701 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .410 .410 .410
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 3.348 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 141.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=.1501E+00	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .226 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .034 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.233
002:0008	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 7.569 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 38.974 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .194
CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .37 01:603 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	002:0013
Surface Area (ha)= .15 .22 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Length (m)= 10.00 20.00 Mannings n = .015 .250	*# CATCHMENT 202 - PRIMONT REAR YARDS
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 77.19 22.43 over (min) 1.00 9.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .63 (ii) 9.10 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 9.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.35 .12	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= .05 .07 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Length (m)= 7.00 7.00 Mannings n = .015 .250
PEAK FLOW (cms) = .02 .01 .025 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.63 1.80 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 37.97 9.82 16.856 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 38.97 38.974 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .97 .25 .433	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 77.19 27.13 over (min) 1.00 5.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .51 (ii) 4.69 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 5.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.46 .24
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .01 .00 .010 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.60 1.72 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 37.97 9.82 16.856 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 38.97 38.974 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .97 .25 .433
002:0009- *# CATCHMENT 102 - PRIMONT LANDS UNCONTROLLED TO QUAKER RD DITCH AND TOWPATH 	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Improvise State Improvise State State	002:0014
Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= 2.50 2.00 Length (m)= 70.00 10.00 Mannings n = .015 .250	 CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= 8.16 03:201 DT=1.00 Total Imp(%)= 65.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 50.00
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 77.19 19.09 over (min) 2.00 8.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.89 (ii) 7.85 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 2.00 8.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .58 .14	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 5.30 2.86 Dep. Storage (mm) = 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%) = .50 2.00 Length (m) = 30.00 10.00 Mannings n = .015 .250
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .05 .00 .053 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.77 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 37.97 8.89 26.340 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 38.97 38.974 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .97 .23 .676	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 77.19 33.39 over (min) 2.00 7.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.85 (ii) 6.61 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 2.00 7.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .59 .17
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	"TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = .87 .18 1.007 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.67 1.75 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 37.97 11.25 24.612 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 38.97 38.974 38.974 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .97 .29 .632
002:0010	<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)</pre>
*# TOTAL UNCONTROLLED FLOW ADD HYD (TOT-2A) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)	 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
ID1 01:603 .37 .025 1.67 16.86 .000 +ID2 02:102 .39 .053 1.67 26.34 .000	002:0015

*# PRIMONT LANDS + EXTERNAL LANDS UNDER EXISTING CONDITIONS	Nov. off. Takan (mm/bu) = 77.10 07.12
ADD HYD (TOT-1B) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF	$\frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}$
ID1 01:701 2.43 .034 2.23 7.57 .000	Storage Coert. (min)= .51 (11) 4.69 (11) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 5.00
+ID2 02:202 .12 .010 1.67 16.86 .000 +ID3 03:201 8.16 1.007 1.67 24.61 .000	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.46 .24 *TOTALS*
SUM 08:TOT-1B 10.71 1.020 1.67 20.66 .000	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .04 .02 .054 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.62 1.72 1.667
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 37.97 9.82 16.856 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 38.97 38.974 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .97 .25 .433
002:0016	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
# ROUTE THROUGH SWMF #2	CN = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min. IN>08:(TOT-1B)	THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
OUT<09:(SWM2) ======= OUTLFOW STORAGE TABLE ======= OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE	
(cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .000 .0000E+00 .150 .4478E+00	002:0020 *# TOTAL UNCONTROLLED FLOWS
.003 .3830E-01 .166 .5008E+00 .011 .7800E-01 .180 .5554E+00	ADD HYD (TOT-2B) TD: NHYD AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
.015 .1191E+00 .193 .6117E+00	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) (ha) (22 2 2 7 57 000
.018 .1016E+00 .205 .6095E+00 .027 .2056E+00 .211 .6990E+00	+ID2 02:203 .19 .016 1.67 16.86 .000
.045 .2510E+00 .645 .7594E+00 .068 .2979E+00 1.428 .8215E+00	+1D3 03:204 .66 .054 1.67 16.86 .000
.112 .3463E+00 2.439 .8852E+00 .132 .3963E+00 .000 .0000E+00	SUM 05:TOT-2B 2.78 .072 1.67 10.41 .000
ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW >08: (TOT-1B) 10.71 1.020 1.667 20.658	002:0021
OUTFLOW<09: (SWM2) 10.71 .026 4.067 20.657 OVERFLOW<10: (OVF2) .00 .000 .000 .000	*# TOTAL POST-DEV FLOWS TO TOWPATH DRAIN/WETLAND FROM NORTH SIDE *# CONTROLLED + UNCONTROLLED
TOTAL NUMBER OF SIMILATED OVERFLOWS = 0	ADD HYD (T-DST2) TD: NHYD AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
CUMULATIVE TIME OF OVERFLOWS (hours) = .00	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)
PERCENTAGE OF TIME OVERPLOWING (%)00	+ID2 10:0VF2 .00 .000 .00 .000
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 2.547	+1D3 05-101-28 2.78 .072 1.67 10.41 .000
TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 144.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=.1999E+00	SUM 06:T-PST2 13.49 .084 1.67 18.55 .000
	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
002:0017*# CATCHMENT 702 - EXISTING LANDS WEST OF PRIMONT DRAINING TO TOWPATH DRAIN	002:0022
CALIB NASHYD Area (ha)= 1.93 Curve Number (CN)=74.00	* *
01:702 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	*#************************************
Unit Hvd Opeak (cms)= .180	CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .44
PEAK FLOW (cms) = .027 (i)	10:205 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 2.233 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 7.569	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= .18 .26
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 38.974	Dep. Storage $(mm) = 1.00$ 8.92 Dep. Storage $(3m) = 2.00$
KUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .194	Length $(m) = 7.00$ 7.00
(I) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE DASEFLOW IF ANT.	
002:0018	Max.eff.inten.(mm/hr)= 77.19 27.13 over (min) 1.00 5.00
*# CATCHMENT 203 - PRIMONT REAR YARDS DRAINING TO TOWPATH DRAIN	Storage Coeff. (min)= .51 (ii) 4.69 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 5.00
CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .19 02:203 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.46 .24 *TOTALS*
TMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .02 .01 .036 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.62 1.72 1.667
Surface Area (ha)= .08 .11 Dep Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 37.97 9.82 16.856
Average Slope $(\$) = 2.00$ 2.00	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .97 .25 .433
Mannings n = $.015$.250	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 77.19 27.13	(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
over (min) 1.00 5.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .51 (ii) 4.69 (ii)	THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 5.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.46 .24	
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= .01 .01 .016 (iii)	002:0023
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.62 1.72 1.667	ADD HYD (205) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 38.97 38.97 38.974	IDI 01:702 1.93 .027 2.23 7.57 .000
KUNOFF CUEFFICIENI = .97 .25 .455	SUM 10:205 .44 .036 1.67 16.86 .000
(1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
(11) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.	
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	002:0024
002:0019	* * *
*# CATCHMENT 204 - PRIMONT REAR YARDS - VARIOUS LOCATIONS DRAINING *# DRAINING TO TOWPATH DRAIN OR WETLAND	*#************************************
CALIE STANDHYD Area (ha)= 66	*# ***********************************
03:204 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	$\begin{vmatrix} c_{1112} & c_{1121} & c_{1121$
Surface Area (Ha) = .20 .40 Dep. Storage (mm) = 1.00 8.92	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Length (m)= 7.00 7.00	Surrace Area (ha)= 1.91 .64 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92
Mannings n = .015 .250	Average Slope (%)= .50 2.00

Length (m)= 30.00 10.00 Mannings n = .015 .250	hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr .17 3.010 1.17 8.198 2.17 11.245 3.17 4.186
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 77.19 22.62	.33 3.333 1.33 12.350 2.33 8.621 3.33 3.823 .50 3.746 1.50 27.081 2.50 7.037 3.50 3.523
over (min) 2.00 7.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.85 (ii) 7.41 (ii)	.67 4.294 1.67 90.598 2.67 5.976 3.67 3.272 .83 5.063 1.83 31.458 2.83 5.213 3.83 3.057
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 2.00 7.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .59 .16	1.00 6.224 2.00 16.443 3.00 4.637 4.00 2.872
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .38 .03 .399 (iii)	005:0003
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.75 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 37.97 9.39 29.399	
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 38.97 38.97 38.974 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .97 .24 .754	*# *# POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC MODELING
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	*#
$CN^* = 74.0$ Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL	*#*
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	*#* *# SWMF #1 - SOUTH SIDE OF TOWPATH DRAIN
	*# CATCHMENT 601 - FUTURE DEVELOPED MOUNTAINVIEW LANDS SERVICED VIA PRIMONT
*# ROUTE THROUGH SWMF #3	$\begin{vmatrix} \text{CALIB STANDHYD} & \text{Area} & (ha) = 3.13 \\ \begin{vmatrix} \text{CALIB STANDHYD} & \text{Area} & (ha) = 3.13 \\ \begin{vmatrix} \text{CALIB STANDHYD} & \text{CALIB STANDHYD} & \begin{vmatrix} \text{CALIB STANDHYD} & \text{CALIB STANDHYD} \\ \end{vmatrix}$
ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min.	01:001 D1= 1.00 10tal imp(*)= /0.00 D1r. Conn.(*)= 55.00
OUT<02:(SWM3) ====================================	Surface Area $(ha) = 2.19$.94
(cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.)	Dep. Storage $(mn) =$ 1.00 8.92 Average Slope $(\$) =$ $.50$ 2.00
.000 .0000±+00 .093 .2320±+00 .004 .1510±-01 .103 .2752±+00	Mannings n = .015 .250
.007 .5250E-01 .111 .3257E+00 .009 .5230E-01 .119 .3838E+00	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 90.60 53.05
.010 .7470E-01 .545 .4158E+00 .027 .9990E-01 1.321 .4498E+00	over (min) 2.00 6.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.73 (ii) 5.69 (ii)
.056 .1281E+00 2.325 .485/E+00 .071 .1595E+00 3.513 .5237E+00	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 2.00 6.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .61 .20
.083 .1941E+00 .000 .0000E+00	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .43 .10 .516 (iii)
ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.6/ 1.72 1.66/ RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 44.88 16.03 31.897
INFLOW >01: (301) 2.55 .399 1.667 29.399 OUTFLOW >02: (SWM3) 2.55 .010 4.033 29.399	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 45.88 45.88 45.876 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .35 .695
OVERFLOW <us: (ovf3="")="" .00="" .000="" .000<="" td=""><td>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:</td></us:>	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
CUMULATIVE TIME OF OVERFLOWS = 0 CUMULATIVE TIME OF OVERFLOWS (hours) = .00	(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
PERCENTAGE OF TIME OVERFLOWING (*)= .00	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 2.411	005.0004
MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=.6609E-01	*# CATCHMENT 602 - BACKYARDS OF EXISTING RESIDENTIAL FRONTING QUAKER ROAD
002:0026	CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= 1.00 02:602 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 30.00 Dir. Conp.(%)= 30.00
*# TOTAL POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS DISCHARGE TO TOWPATH DRAIN FROM PRIMONT *# AND EXTERNAL LANDS TO EXISTING WATERCOURSE (CONTROLLED + UNCONTROLLED)	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (j)
ADD HYD (PSTTOT) ID: NHYD AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF	Surface Area (ha) = .30 .70 Dep. Storage (mm) = 1.00 8.92
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)	Average Slope (%)= .50 2.00
+ID2 06:T-PST2 13.49 .084 1.67 18.55 .000 +ID3 02:SWM3 2 55 010 4 03 29 40 000	Mannings n = .015 .250
+ID4 03:0VF3 .00 .000 .00 .00 .000	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 90.60 21.53
SUM 07:PSTTOT 23.60 .178 1.67 21.71 .000	Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.73 (ii) 7.41 (ii) Unit Hyd Tpeak (min)= 2.00 7.00
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .61 .16 *TOTALS*
002:0027	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .08 .03 .094 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.75 1.667
* RUN REMAINING DESIGN STORMS (WELLAND DESIGN STORMS 5 TO 100-YR)	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 44.88 10.82 21.037 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 45.88 45.88 45.876
** END OF RUN : 4	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .24 .459
***************************************	<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)</pre>
	(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK~1\DESKTOP\Primont\	005:0005
TZERO = .00 hrs on 0	*# CATCHMENT 101 - PRIMONT LANDS SOUTH OF WATERCOURSE
METOUT= 2 (output = METRIC) NRUN = 005	CALIE STANDHYD Area (ha)= 2.67 03:201 DT=1.00 Total Imp(%)= 70.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 60.00
NSTORM= 1 # 1=WELL4005.STM	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
005:0002	Surface Area (ha)= 1.87 .80 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92
# Project Name: PRIMONT HOMES	Average Stope ()= .50 2.00 Length (m)= 30.00 10.00
"# WELLAND AND THOROLD, UNTARIO *# JOB NUMBER : 2022-0091-10 *# Data : WADGU 3024	mainings n = .015 .250
<pre># Date . FMARCH 2024 *# Revised : MAY 2024 ## Company</pre>	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
"# Company : WALTER FED1 *# File : PRI-POST.DAT CN=74 to match UCC, Ia = 8.924mm	Subrage Coerr. $(min) = 1.73$ (11) 6.08 (11) Unit Hyd. Tpeak $(min) = 2.00$ 6.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak $(min) = 61$ 12
	UNIC HYG. peak (Cms)= .61 .19 *TOTALS*
005:0002	PEAR FLOW (CmS)= .40 .07 .455 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.73 1.667
	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 44.88 14.47 32.713 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 45.88 45.88 45.876 DUNOFF CODEFICIENT - 00 - 732
Pilename: CITY OF WELLAND - 5-YR CHICAGO STORM - 4 Ptotal= 45.88 mm Comments: CITY OF WELLAND - 5-YR CHICAGO STORM - 4	KUNUFF CUEFFICIENT = .98 .52 .713
TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN	(1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)

 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
005:0006	(III) PEAR FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANT.
AT TOTAL POST-DEVELOPMENT FLOWS TO SWMF #1 ADD HYD (TOT-1A) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF	
SUM 04:TOT-1A 6.80 1.065 1.67 30.62 .000	SUM 03:TOT-2A .76 .097 1.67 26.82 .000
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
005:0007******************************	005:0011
ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min. IN>04:(TOT-1A)	*# TOTAL POST-DEV FLOWS TO TOMPATH DRAIN FROM SOUTH SIDE *# CONTROLLED + UNCONTROLLED
OUT<05:(SWMI) ======= OUTLFOW STORAGE TALE ======== ======= ======= ======= ======= ======= ======== ======= ======= ======= ======= ======= ======= ======= ======= ======= ======= ======= ======= ====== ======= ======= ======= ======= ======== ======= ======= ======= ======= ======= ======= ======= ======= ======= ======= ======= ====== ======= ======= ======= ====== ====== ====== ====== ======= ======= ======= ======= ======= ====== ====== ====== ====== ======= ====== ======= ====== ====== ====== ====== ======= ====== ======== ====== ====== ====== ====== ===== ====== the matheffill and	ADD HYD (T-PST1) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) ID1 05:SWM1 6.80 .046 3.87 30.62 .000 +ID2 06:OVF1 .00 .000 .00 .00 .000
.003 .2520E-01 .218 .3376E+00 .008 .5150E-01 .240 .3752E+00 .010 .7890E-01 .260 .4140E+00	+ID3 03:TOT-2A .76 .097 1.67 26.82 .000
.012 .1073E+00 .278 .4541E+00 .020 .1368E+00 .287 .4746E+00 .040 .1674E+00 .726 .5166E+00	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
.067 .1991E+00 1.515 .5598+00 .132 .2320E+00 2.530 .6045E+00 .166 .2660E+00 .000 .0000E+00	
ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW >04: (TOT-1A) 6.80 1.065 1.667 30.621 OUTFFLOW<05:	*# *#*********************************
TOTAL NUMBER OF SIMULATED OVERFLOWS = 0 CUMULATIVE TIME OF OVERFLOWS (hours)= .00 PERCENTAGE OF TIME OVERFLOWING (%)= .00	CALIE NASHYD Area (ha)= 2.43 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 01:701 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .410
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 4.351	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .226
TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 132.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=.1746E+00	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .050 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.217 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 10.820 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 45.876
*# CATCHMENT 603 - MOUNTAINVIEW REAR YARDS DRAINING UNCONTROLLED TO TOWPATH DRAI	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .236
CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .37 01:603 DT=1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= .15 .22 Durde Construction (ha)= .00 .00	
Deg. Storage (mm)= 1.00 6.92 Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Length (m)= 10.00 20.00 Mannings n = .015 .250	CALIE STANDHYD Area (ha)= .12 02:202 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 90.60 33.95 over (min) 1.00 8.00	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= .05 .07 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92
Storage Coeff. (min)= .59 (ii) 7.76 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 8.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.39 .14	Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Length (m)= 7.00 7.00 Mannings n = .015 .250
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .02 .01 .033 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.63 1.77 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 44.88 13.63 21.438	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 90.60 39.55 over (min) 1.00 4.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .48 (ii) 4.07 (ii)
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 45.88 45.88 45.876 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .30 .467	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 4.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.49 .28 *TOTALS*
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .01 .01 .013 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.60 1.70 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 44.88 13.63 21.438 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 45.88 45.88 45.876 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .98 .30 .467
005:0009	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .39 02:102 DT=1.00 Total Imp(%)= 65.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 60.00	THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) peak flow does not include baseflow if any.
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= .25 .14	005:0014
Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= 2.50 2.00 Length (m)= 70.00 10.00 Mannings n = .015 .250	CALIE STANDHYD Area (ha)= 8.16 03:201 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 65.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 50.00
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 90.60 29.20 over (min) 2.00 7.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.78 (ii) 6.80 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 2.00 7.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .60 .16 *TOTPLIS*	ImpEnvirous PERVIrous PERvirous
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .06 .01 .064 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.75 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 44.88 12.48 31.916 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 45.88 45.88 45.876 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .27 .696	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 90.60 48.25 over (min) 2.00 6.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.73 (ii) 5.84 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 2.00 6.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .61 .19 *TOTALS*

10 Control of the Particle Market on Factor (Control of the Particle	PEAK FLOW (cms) = 1.02 .2 TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.67 1.7 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 44.88 15.3 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 45.88 45.8 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .3	7 1.251 (iii) 3 1.667 8 30.129 8 45.876 4 .657	005:0019 *# CATCHMENT 204 - *# DRAINING TO TOW	PRIMONT REAR YARDS - PATH DRAIN OR WETLAN 	VARIOUS L	OCATIONS	DRAININ	G		
Marting The starting	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSS CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Abov (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQU THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (ii) DEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUBE BASEFLOW DE 	ES: e) AL anv	CALIB STANDHYD 03:204 DT= 1.0 Surface Area	Area (ha)= 0 Total Imp(%)= IMPERVIOU (ha)= .26	.66 40.00 IS PERVI	Dir. Con: OUS (i) 40	n.(%)=	25.00		
4 DEALED LADIE - EXCEPTION LADIE SUBJECT CONTINUES DATE TO LADIE SUBJECT CONTINUES DATE	<pre>005:0015</pre>		Dep. Storage Average Slope Length Mannings n	(mm) = 1.00 (%) = 2.00 (m) = 7.00 = .015	8. 2. 7.	92 00 00 50				
[ADD UND 197-18 [ADD UND 1	*# PRIMONT LANDS + EXTERNAL LANDS UNDER EXISTING	CONDITIONS	Max.eff.Inten.	(mm/hr)= 90.60	39.	55				
-123 01233 0.43 1.23 1.47 0.11 WHE DEFINITION 0.11 1.23 1.23 1.24 1.24 1.23 1.24 <td> ADD HYD (TOT-1B) ID: NHYD AREA (ha) ID1 01:701 2.43 +ID2 02:202 .12</td> <td>QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) .050 2.22 10.82 .000 .013 1.67 21.44 .000</td> <td>ove Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Tpea Unit Hyd. peak</td> <td>r (min) 1.00 (min)= .48 k (min)= 1.00 (cms)= 1.49</td> <td>(ii) 4. 4. 4.</td> <td>00 07 (ii) 00 28</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	ADD HYD (TOT-1B) ID: NHYD AREA (ha) ID1 01:701 2.43 +ID2 02:202 .12	QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) .050 2.22 10.82 .000 .013 1.67 21.44 .000	ove Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Tpea Unit Hyd. peak	r (min) 1.00 (min)= .48 k (min)= 1.00 (cms)= 1.49	(ii) 4. 4. 4.	00 07 (ii) 00 28				
BUT: FEAR FLORE TO AND 1 DECODE RATELY AT THE ATT ATT ATT ATT ATT ATT ATT ATT ATT AT	+ID3 03:201 8.16 ====================================	1.251 1.67 30.13 .000 1.270 1.67 25.65 .000	PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME	(cms)= .04 (hrs)= 1.62 (mm)= 44.88	1. 13.	03 70 63	*TOTAL .07 1.66 21.43	S* 3 (iii) 7 8		
1) CH HORE 1) CH HORCTONE BLACKTONE ALLOW FUNCTION ALL FOR ALL FUNCTION	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF A	NY.	TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFIC	(mm) = 45.88 IENT = .98	45.	88 30	45.87 .46	6 7		
Interview Interview <t< td=""><td>005:0016 *# ROUTE THROUGH SWMF #2</td><td></td><td>(i) CN PROCE CN* = 7 (ii) TIME STE</td><td>DURE SELECTED FOR PE 4.0 Ia = Dep. Sto P (DT) SHOULD BE SMA</td><td>RVIOUS LOS prage (Abo LLER OR EQ</td><td>SES: Ve) UAL</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	005:0016 *# ROUTE THROUGH SWMF #2		(i) CN PROCE CN* = 7 (ii) TIME STE	DURE SELECTED FOR PE 4.0 Ia = Dep. Sto P (DT) SHOULD BE SMA	RVIOUS LOS prage (Abo LLER OR EQ	SES: Ve) UAL				
1 0007000000000000000000000000000000000	ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time st IN>08:(TOT-1B)	ep = 1.0 min.	THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLO	STORAGE COEFFICIENT W DOES NOT INCLUDE E	'. ASEFLOW IF	ANY.				
 (1) TOTAL DIVERSION OF THE PLANE AND THE PLAN	OUTFLOW STORAGE O (cms) (ha.m.)	UTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.)	005:0020							
 ADD HTD (TUT-28) [10] HTD AREA (000 MK TEAM 5.7, 100 MK (000 MK (0	.000 .0000E+00 .003 .3830E-01	.150 .4478E+00 .166 .5008E+00	*# TOTAL UNCONTROLL	ED FLOWS						
 1.13 1.2023 1.24 1.24 1.24 1.24 1.24 1.24 1.24 1.24	.011 .7800E-01 .015 .1191E+00	.180 .5554E+00 .193 .6117E+00	ADD HYD (TOT-2B) ID: NHYD	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)	DWF (cms)	
 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25	.018 .1616E+00 .027 .2056E+00	.205 .6695E+00 .211 .6990E+00		ID1 01:702 +ID2 02:203	1.93	.040	2.22	10.82	.000	
 1132 13828-001 PARK OF THE ALL OF ALL	.045 .2510±+00 .068 .2979±+00	1.428 .8215E+00 2.429 .852E+00		+1D3 03:204 ====================================	.00 ===================================	.073	1.07	21.44 ====== 14 07	.000	
BOUTHER REALTS AREA OPERAN TOTAL SHOLTS UTELED + SECURATE 10.3 10.3 10.4	.132 .3963E+00	.000 .0000E+00	NOTE: PEAK FLOW	S DO NOT INCLUDE BAS	EFLOWS IF	ANY.	1.07	11.07	.000	
HEEDD + 08: (TDZ-18) 10.71 1.270 1.477 25.653 OWERLOWED: (DYZ 1) 1.0.71 0.482 QPENT TERM FOR MORTH SILE WINDOWS (DYZ 1) 0.71 0.423 QPENT TERM FOR MORTH SILE PEAR FLOW REDUCTION (QuI/Clin1)* 3.285 1.0.0 1.0.0 1.0.71 0.482 0.024 THE SILTY OF PEAR FLOW REDUCTION (QuI/Clin1)* 3.285 1.0.0 1.0.0 1.0.71 0.482 0.024 1.0.71 0.482 0.024 0.01 OUTONIAL THEOR OF VERTOCION (QUI/Clin1)* 3.285 1.0.0 1.0.0 0.01.77 0.482 0.01 0.0	ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK (ha) (cms)	TPEAK R.V. (hrs) (mm)								
TUTLA NUMBER OF SUBLICATED OFFREE/ONS (Dours): 00 IDE 10: (N=90 (Dec) COMMULTY BUG OF OWERLOWING (1): 0: 0: 0: 0: 0: 0: 0: 0: 0: 0: 0: 0: 0:	INFLOW >08: (TOT-1B) 10.71 1.270 OUTFLOM-09: (SWM2) 10.71 .042 OVERFLOW<10: (OVF2) .00 .000	1.667 25.651 4.050 25.649 .000 .000	005:0021 *# TOTAL POST-DEV F *# CONTROLLED + UN	LOWS TO TOWPATH DRAI	N/WETLAND	FROM NOR	TH SIDE			
DESCRIPTION REPORTING (%)00 ID 0:50082 10.71 .622 4.05 25.65 .000 PEAR FLOW REDUCTION (Could (1) (%) - 3.289 (m.m.): - 244364:00 .00	TOTAL NUMBER OF SIMULATED OVERF CUMULATIVE TIME OF OVERFLOWS ()	LOWS = 0 hours) = .00	ADD HYD (T-PST2) ID: NHYD	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)	DWF (cms)	
SIM 06'T-05T2 13.49 .13.49 <th c<="" td=""><td>PERCENTAGE OF TIME OVERFLOWING</td><td>(%)= .00</td><td></td><td>ID1 09:SWM2 +ID2 10:OVF2 +ID3 05:TOT-2B</td><td>10.71 .00 2.78</td><td>.042 .000 .099</td><td>4.05 .00 1.67</td><td>25.65 .00 14.07</td><td>.000 .000 .000</td></th>	<td>PERCENTAGE OF TIME OVERFLOWING</td> <td>(%)= .00</td> <td></td> <td>ID1 09:SWM2 +ID2 10:OVF2 +ID3 05:TOT-2B</td> <td>10.71 .00 2.78</td> <td>.042 .000 .099</td> <td>4.05 .00 1.67</td> <td>25.65 .00 14.07</td> <td>.000 .000 .000</td>	PERCENTAGE OF TIME OVERFLOWING	(%)= .00		ID1 09:SWM2 +ID2 10:OVF2 +ID3 05:TOT-2B	10.71 .00 2.78	.042 .000 .099	4.05 .00 1.67	25.65 .00 14.07	.000 .000 .000
00510017	TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW MAXIMUM STORAGE USED ()	(min)= 143.00 ha.m.)=.2436E+00	NOTE: DEAK ELON	SUM 06:T-PST2	13.49	.112	1.67	23.26	.000	
** CATCHENENT 702 - EXISTING LANDE MEST OF PERMONT DEALINUM TO TOWFATH DEALN CALLES MASHYD CALLES MASHYD CALLES MASHYD CALLES MASHYD CALLES MASHYD CALLES MASHYD CHARACTER (ms) = 1.00 L0 (ms) = 0.40 (1) TRINOFF VOLUME (ms) = 0.520 TOTAL RAINFALL (ms) = 45.876 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .236 MAX.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 0.60 VOLUME (ms) = 1.00 MAX.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 0.60 VOLUME (ms) = 1.00 MAX.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 0.60 VOLUME (ms) = 1.00 MAX.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 0.60 VOLUME (ms) = 1.49 TOTAL SATURYD Unit Hyd. Peak (ms) = 1.00 MAX.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 0.60 VOLUME (ms) = 1.49 TRINOFF VOLUME (ms) = 1.49 VOLUME (ms) = 1.49 TRINOFF VOLUME (ms) = 1.60 VOLUME (ms) = 44.88 VOLUME (ms) = 1.60 VOLUME (ms) = 44.88 VOLUME (ms)	005:0017		NOTE: PEAK FLOW	S DO NOT INCLUDE BAS	EFLOWS IF	ANY.				
CALIE RASHTD Area (ha)= 1.93 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 01702 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .410 Unit Hyd Opeak (cms)= .410 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .200 (1) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.217 TOTOLI RANKPLL (ms)= .2267 TOTOL RANKPLL (brs)= .2267 TOTOL RANKPLL (brs)= .236 (i) PEAK FLOW ODES NOT INCLIDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	*# CATCHMENT 702 - EXISTING LANDS WEST OF PRIMONT :	DRAINING TO TOWPATH DRAIN	005:0022*							
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms) = .180 CALE STANPD Area (ha) = .44 PEAK FLOW (cms) = .040 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 2.217 TOTAL STANPADL (ms) = 10.820 TOTAL RIFFALL (ms) = 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 25.00 TOTAL STANPADL (ms) = .108	CALIB NASHYD Area (ha)= 1.93 C' 01:702 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # U.H. Tp(hrs)= .410	urve Number (CN)=74.00 of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	* *#**********************************	**************************************	*********** RAINING NO	******** RTH TO S	******** INGERS D	******** RAIN	******	
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .040 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.17 RUNDOF VOLUME (mm)= 10.820 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 4.876 RUNDOF COEFFICIENT = .236 OS:0018	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .180		CALIB STANDHYD	Area (ha)= 0 Total Imp(%)=	.44 40.00	Dir. Con	n.(%)=	25.00		
EUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 10.820 Surface Area (ha)= .18 .26 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 45.875 Ber. Storage (m)= 1.00 8.92 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .236 (1) FEAR FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Dep. Storage (m)= 1.00 3.9.55 TOTAL FRAMEWING 203 - PERINONT FEAR YARDS DRAINING TO TOWPATH DRAIN Max.eff.Inten.(mm/nr)= 90.60 39.55 TOTALS* Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 TOTALS Storage (mm)= 1.00 4.00 CALLE STANDHYD Dar Conn.(%)= 25.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.49 .28 Surface Area (ha)= .01 .01 .01 .02 .049 .143 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 .00 .049 .143 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 .00 .049 .143 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 90.60 39.55 .01 .02 .049 .143 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 4.02 .00 .01 .02 .049 .143 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 90.60 39.55 .01 .02 .040 .22 Max.eff.Int	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .040 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.217		·	IMPERVIOU	IS PERVI	OUS (i)				
<pre>(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. </pre>	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 10.820 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 45.876 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .236		Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope	(ha) = .18 (mm) = 1.00 (%) = 2.00	8. 2.	26 92 00				
OS:0012	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF AN	Υ.	Length Mannings n	(m) = 7.00 = .015	7. .2	00 50				
**# CATCHMENT 203 - PENMONT REAR YARDS DRAINING TO TOWPATH DRAIN 	005:0018		Max.eff.Inten.	(mm/hr)= 90.60 r (min) 1.00	39. 4.	55 00				
UCLIDS STANDID Attea (lat) =13 Attea (lat) =13 *TOTALS* IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) TIMERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) *TOTALS* Surface Area (ha) =08 .11 PEAK FLOW (cms) =03 .02 .049 (ii) Length (m) = 7.00 8.92 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 44.88 13.63 21.438 Average Slope (%) = 2.00 2.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 45.88 45.88 45.876 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 90.60 39.55	*# CATCHMENT 203 - PRIMONT REAR YARDS DRAINING TO	TOWPATH DRAIN	Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Tpeal	(min) = .48 k (min) = 1.00 (cmc) = 1.49	(ii) 4. 4.	07 (ii) 00				
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= .08 .11 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Length (m)= 7.00 7.00 Mannings n .015 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 90.60 39.55 over (min) 1.00 4.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.60 1.01 Unit Hyd. peak (min)= 1.60 .01 TTME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.60 .01 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 44.88 13.63 21.438 TOTALS* .050:0023 .050:0023 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: .010 .021 (iii) CNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 44.88 13.63 21.438 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 44.88 13.63 21.438 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= .01 .021 (iii) .050:0023 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: .0467 .000 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: .0467 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: .010 101:702 .19.3 0.402<	CALIB STANDHYD Area (na)= .19 02:203 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 D	ir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	DEAK FLOW	(cms)= 1.49		20	*TOTAL	S*		
Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Mannings n = 0.05 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 90.60 39.55 .30 .467 over (min) 1.00 4.00 .30 .467 Storage Coeff. (min)= .48 (ii) 4.07 (ii) .101 .021 (iii) .149 .28 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .01 .01 .021 (iii) .160 1.01 .021 (iii) TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 44.88 13.63 21.438 .160 .01 .021 (iii) TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= .98 .30 .467 .467	IMPERVIOUS PERVIO Surface Area (ha)= .08 .1	US (i) 1	TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME	(hrs) = 1.62 (mm) = 44.88	1. 13.	70 63	1.66	7 8		
Length (m)= 7.00 7.00 Mannings n = .015 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 90.60 39.55 over (min) 1.00 4.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .48 (ii) 4.07 (ii) Unit Hyd. peak (min)= 1.00 4.00 Unit Hyd. peak (min)= 1.00 4.00 Unit Hyd. peak (min)= 1.00 4.00 Unit Hyd. peak (min)= 1.00 4.00 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.60 1.70 1.021 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.60 1.70 1.021 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.60 1.70 1.021 (iii) TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 44.88 13.63 21.438 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 45.88 45.88 45.876 CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DJ) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (ii) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DJ) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) DEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.9 Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.0	2 0	TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFIC	(mm) = 45.88 IENT = .98	45.	88 30	45.87 .46	6 7		
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 90.60 39.55 over (min) 1.00 4.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .48 (ii) 4.07 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 4.00 TIME TO PEAK fLOW (cms)= .01 .021 (iii) TOTALS* .005:0023	Length (m)= 7.00 7.0 Mannings n = .015 .25	0	(i) CN PROCE	DURE SELECTED FOR PE	RVIOUS LOS	SES:				
Over (min) 1.00 4.00 Storage Coeff (min)= 4.01 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 4.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 4.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.49 .28 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .01 .01 .021 (iii) TIME TO PEAR (hrs)= 1.60 1.70 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 44.88 13.63 21.438 TOTALS* (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) .0467 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: .0467 .010 .167 (ii) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: .0467 .044 .049 1.67 (iii) TIME STORAGE COEFFICIENT. .98 .30 .467 .44 .049 1.67 .01 (iii) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: .00 .010 .021 .000	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 90.60 39.5	5	CN* = 7 (ii) TIME STE	4.0 Ia = Dep. Sto P (DT) SHOULD BE SMA	rage (Abo LLER OR EQ	ve) UAL				
TOTALS 005:0023	over (min) 1.00 4.0 Storage Coeff. (min)= .48 (ii) 4.0 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 4.0 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.49 .2	0 7 (ii) 0 8	(iii) PEAK FLO	N DOES NOT INCLUDE E	ASEFLOW IF	ANY.				
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.60 1.70 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 44.88 13.63 21.438 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 45.88 45.86 45.876 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .30 .467 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 .98 .30 .467 (ii) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 .48 .467 THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. .040 .22 .000 (iii) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. .040 (iii) DEAK FLOW DOPS NOT INCULDE BASEFLOW IF ANY .001: 002: 002: 002: 002: 002: 002: 002:	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .01 .0	*TOTALS* 1 .021 (iii)	005:0023							
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 45.88 45.88 45.876 ID1 01:702 1.93 .040 2.22 1.82 .000 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .30 .467 SUM 10:205 .44 .049 1.67 21.44 .000 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: SUM 10:205 .44 .049 1.67 21.44 .000 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. (iii) DEAK FLOW DOPS NOT INCLUME DASPECTIVE LE ANY .005:0024	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.60 1.7 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 44.88 13.6	0 1.667 3 21.438	ADD HYD (205) ID: NHYD	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	(hrs)	R.V. (mm)	DWF (cms)	
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) DEAK FLOW DOES MOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 005:0004</pre>	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 45.88 45.8 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .3	8 45.876 0 .467		ID1 01:702	1.93	.040	2.22	10.82	.000	
(iii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) DEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANI.	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSS	ES:	אריבי אנים אוויי	SUM 10:205	.44	.049 ANY	1.67	2⊥.44	.000	
	 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQU. THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) DEAK FLOW DOES NOT INVILLE DASERTION TO 	AL	005:0024		II					

<pre>##***********************************</pre>	<pre>## ***********************************</pre>
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .45 .04 .477 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.75 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 44.88 13.10 35.343 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 45.88 45.88 45.876 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .29 .770 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.	.83 5.827 1.83 34.358 2.83 5.993 3.83 3.578 1.00 7.111 2.00 18.178 3.00 5.353 4.00 3.368
005:0025	<pre>*# SWMF #1 - SOUTH SIDE OF TOWPATH DRAIN *# *# CATCHMENT 601 - FUTURE DEVELOPED MOUNTAINVIEW LANDS SERVICED VIA PRIMONT</pre>
OUT<02:(SNM3) OUTLPOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .000 .0000E+00 .093 .2320E+00 .004 .1510E-01 .103 .2752E+00 .007 .3250E-01 .111 .3257E+00 .009 .5230E-01 .111 .3257E+00 .007 .9230E-01 .1321 .4498E+00 .027 .9990E-01 1.321 .4498E+00 .056 .1281E+00 2.325 .4857E+00 .055 .013 .5237E+00 .071 .1595E+00 3.513 .5237E+00 .000 .0000E+00 .083 .1941E+00 0.000 .0000E+00 .000E+00 .001 .011 .2.55 .417 1.667 .35.342 OUTFLOw .011 .2.55 .013 4.017 .35.342 OVERFLOW<03:	<pre> [01:601 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 70.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 55.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 2.19 .94 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= .50 2.00 Length (m)= 30.00 10.00 Mannings n = .015 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 101.29 67.53</pre>
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 2.798 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 141.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=.7952E-01	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
005:026	<pre>*# CATCHMENT 602 - BACKYARDS OF EXISTING RESIDENTIAL FRONTING QUAKER ROAD CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= 1.00 02:602 DT=1.00 Total Imp(%)= 30.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00 </pre>
005:0027	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .63 .17 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= .08 .04 .111 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.75 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 50.47 13.74 24.758
* ** END OF RUN : 9	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 51.47 51.47 51.474 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .27 .481
	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ Rainfall dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ TZERO = .00 hrs on 0 METOUT= 2 (output = METRIC) NRUN = 010	010:0005
NSTORM= 1 # 1=WELL4010.STM 010:0002	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 1.87 .80 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92

Average Slope (%)= .50 2.00 Length (m)= 30.00 10.00 Mannings n = .015 .250	Surface Area (ha)= .25 .14 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= 2.50 2.00 Length (m)= 70.00 10.00
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 101.29 52.54 over (min) 2.00 6.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.66 (ii) 5.63 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 2.00 6.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 53 20	Mannings n = .015 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 101.29 38.67 over (min) = 2.00 6.00 Storage Coeff (min)= 1.70 (ii) 6.19 (ii)
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .45 .08 .521 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.72 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 50.47 17.95 37.465 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 51.47 51.47 51.474 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT 98 .35 .728	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 2.00 6.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .62 .18 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = .07 .01 .074 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.67 1.73 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 50.47 15.66 36.549
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOLLD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 51.47 51.47 51.47 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .30 .710 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
010:0006	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
*# TOTAL POST-DEVELOPMENT FLOWS TO SWMF #1	010:0010
ADD RID (101-1A // 1D: NRID AREA OFFAR // 1D: NRID AREA OFFAR // 1D: DR DR	# TOTAL ENCORROLLED FLOW ADD HYD (TOT-2A) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) TD1 01:602 27 040 1.672 25.26
SIM 04:TOT-1A 6.80 1.232 1.67 35.22 .000	+ID2 02:102 .39 .074 1.67 36.55 .000
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	SUM 03:TOT-2A .76 .114 1.67 31.10 .000
	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
010:0007	
IN>04:(TOT-1A) OUT<05:(SWM1) ======= OUTLFOW STORAGE TABLE ========	ADD HYD (T-PST1) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.)	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) ID1 05:SWM1 6.80 .062 3.53 35.22 .000
.000 .0000E+00 .194 .317E+00 .003 .2520E-01 .218 .3376E+00 .009 E150E-01 .240 .2752E+00	+1D2 06:00F1 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 +1D3 03:TOT-2A .76 .114 1.67 31.10 .000
.010 .7890E-01 .260 .4140E+00 .012 .1073B+00 .278 .4541E+00	SUM 04:T-PST1 7.56 .126 1.67 34.80 .000
.020 .1368E+00 .287 .4746E+00 .040 .1674E+00 .726 .5166E+00	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
.067 .1991E+00 1.515 .5599E+00 .132 .2320E+00 2.530 .6045E+00	010:0012
.166 .2660E+00 .000 .0000E+00	*
ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	*# *# SWMF #2 - NORTH SIDE OF TOWPATH DRAIN *# *# CATCHMENT 701 - EXISTING LANDS WEST OF PRIMONT *# CAPTURED IN REARYARD CB'S. THIS AREA TO HAVE ITS OWN FUTURE SWM WITH OUTLET *# TO WATECOURSE
TOTAL NUMBER OF SIMULATED OVERFLOWS = 0 CUMULATIVE TIME OF OVERFLOWS (hours)= .00 PERCENTAGE OF TIME OVERFLOWING (%)= .00	CALIB NASHYD Area (ha)= 2.43 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 01:701 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .410
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%) = 5.065	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .226
TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (mln)= 112.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=.1936E+00 	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .064 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.200 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 13.737 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 51.474
*# CATCHMENT 603 - MOUNTAINVIEW REAR YARDS DRAINING UNCONTROLLED TO TOWPATH DRAI	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .267
CALIE STANDHYD Area (ha)= .37 01:603 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	(1) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= .15 .22	010:0013
Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Length (m)= 10.00 20.00 Mannings n = .015 .250	CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .12 02:202 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 101.29 44.69 over (min) 1.00 7.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .57 (ii) 6.99 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 7.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.41 .16	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= .05 .07 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Length (m)= 7.00 7.00 Mannings n = .015 .250
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= .03 .02 .040 (iii)	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 101.29 49.40
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.63 1.75 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 50.47 16.98 25.357 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 51.47 51.474 51.474 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .33 .493	over (min) 1.00 4.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .46 (ii) 3.74 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 4.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.51 .29
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOLLD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .01 .01 .016 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.60 1.68 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 50.47 16.98 25.357 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 51.47 51.47 51.474 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .33 .493
010:0009	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) DEPK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE DROUGH TE DAVY
02:102 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 65.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 60.00	(TTT) EBWE FROM ROLD WOL INCLUDE DAODELDUM IF ANI.
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	010:0014

*# CATCHMENT 201 - PRIMONT LANDS	
CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= 8.16 03:201 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 65.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 50.00	Max.err.inten.(mm/nr)= 101.29 49.40 over (min) 1.00 4.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .46 (ii) 3.74 (ii)
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 4.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.51 .29
Surface Area (ha)= 5.30 2.86 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92	*TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= .01 .01 .025 (iii)
$\begin{array}{rcl} AVerage & Stope & (*) = & .50 & 2.00 \\ Length & (m) = & 30.00 & 10.00 \\ Mannings & n & = & 015 & 250 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 101.29 61.74	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .33 .493
over (min) 2.00 5.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.66 (ii) 5.38 (ii)	<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)</pre>
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 2.00 5.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .63 .22	(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
IUTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.15 .35 1.465 (iii) TIME TO DEAK (bro)= 1.67 1.70 1.667	(111) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 50.47 18.99 34.734 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 51.47 51.47 51.474	010:0019
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .37 .675	*# DRAINING TO TOWPATH DRAIN OR WETLAND
 (1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) 	CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .66 03:204 DT=1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (bi)
(111) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Surface Area (na)= .26 .40 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00
010:0015 *# TOTAL POST-DEVELOPMENT FLOWS TO SWMF #2	Length (m)= 7.00 7.00 Mannings n = .015 .250
*# PRIMONT LANDS + EXTERNAL LANDS UNDER EXISTING CONDITIONS	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 101.29 49.40
ADD HYD (TOT-1B) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)	over (min) 1.00 4.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .46 (ii) 3.74 (ii) Unit Had mark (min)= .46 (iii) 4.00
LDI 01:701 2.43 .064 2.20 13.74 .000 +ID2 02:202 .12 .016 1.67 25.36 .000 +ID3 03:201 8.16 1.465 1.67 34.73 .000	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 4.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.51 .29 *TOTALS*
SUM 08:TOT-1B 10.71 1.490 1.67 29.86 .000	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .05 .04 .088 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.62 1.68 1.667
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 50.47 16.98 25.357 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 51.47 51.47 51.47
010.0016	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .33 .493
# ROUTE THROUGH SWMF #2	(i) CN= 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min. IN>08:(TOT-1B)	THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
OUT<09:(SWM2)	
(cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .000 .000E+00 .150 .4478E+00	*# TOTAL UNCONTROLLED FLOWS
.011 .7800E-01 .180 .5554E+00 .015 .1191E+00 .193 .6117E+00	ADD HYD (TOT-2B) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
.018 .1616E+00 .205 .6695E+00 .027 .2056E+00 .211 .6990E+00	ID1 01:702 1.93 .051 2.20 13.74 .000 +ID2 02:203 .19 .025 1.67 25.36 .000
.045 .2510E+00 .645 .7594E+00 .068 .2979E+00 1.428 .8215E+00	+ID3 03:204 .66 .088 1.67 25.36 .000
.112 .3463E+00 2.439 .8852E+00 .132 .3963E+00 .000 .0000E+00	SUM 05:TOT-2B 2.78 .120 1.67 17.29 .000
ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)	
INFLOW >08: (TOT-1B) 10.71 1.490 1.667 29.865 OUTFLOW<09: (SWM2) 10.71 .058 4.033 29.863 OVERFLOW<10: (OVF2) .00 .000 .000 .000	010:0021
TOTAL NUMBER OF SIMULATED OVERFLOWS = 0 CUMULATIVE TIME OF OVERFLOWS (hours) = .00	ADD HYD (T-PST2) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)
PERCENTAGE OF TIME OVERFLOWING (%)= .00	ID1 09:SWM2 10.71 .058 4.03 29.86 .000 +ID2 10:OVF2 .00 .000 .00 .00 .000
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 3.883	+ID3 05:TOT-2B 2.78 .120 1.67 17.29 .000
TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 142.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=.2779E+00	SUM 05:T-PST2 13.49 .134 1.67 27.27 .000 NOTE: DEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY
010:0017	
*# CATCHMENT 702 - EXISTING LANDS WEST OF PRIMONT DRAINING TO TOWPATH DRAIN	*
CALIB NASHYD Area (ha)= 1.93 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 01:702 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .410 .410 .410	*#************************************
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .180	CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .44 10:205 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .051 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.200	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 13.737 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 51.474 DUNDER CORPERIENT = 267	Surface Area (ha)= .18 .26 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	$\begin{array}{rcl} Average Stope & (*) = & 2.00 & 2.00 \\ Length & (m) = & 7.00 & 7.00 \\ Mannings n & = & .015 & .250 \end{array}$
	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 101.29 49.40
010:0018	over (min) 1.00 4.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .46 (ii) 3.74 (ii) Twite and match (min)= .45 (iii) 3.74 (iii)
CALIE STANDHYD Area (ha)= .19	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 4.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.51 .29
U2.203 DI= 1.00 IOCAL IMP(%)= 40.00 DIT. CONR.(%)= 25.00	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .03 .03 .058 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (brs)= 1.62 1.68 1.667
Surface Area (ha)= .08 .11 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 50.47 16.98 25.357 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 51.47 51.47 51.474
Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Length (m)= 7.00 7.00	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .33 .493
Mannings n = .015 .250	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:

CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL	010:0002
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	* ** END OF RUN : 24
010:0023	*****
ADD HYD (205) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) ID1 01:702 1.93 .051 2.20 13.74 .000	
SUM 10:205 .44 .058 1.67 25.36 .000 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	START Project air.: C:\USERS\JORESK~1\DESKTOP\Primont\
010:0024	METOUT= 2 (output = METRIC) NRUN = 025 NSTOPM- 1
*	# 1=WELL4025.STM
*#************************************	<pre>(225:0002</pre>
CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= 2.55 01:301 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 75.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 70.00	<pre>*# Date : MARCH 2024 *# Revised : MAY 2024 *# Company : WALTER FEDY *# File : PRI-POST.DAT CN=74 to match UCC, Ia = 8.924mm</pre>
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (1) Surface Area (ha)= 1.91 .64 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= .50 2.00 Length (m)= 30.00 10.00	*#*
Mannings n = .015 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 101.29 42.70 over (min) 2.00 6.00	READ STORM Filename: CITY OF WELLAND - 25-YR CHICAGO STORM - Ptotal= 59.72 mm Comments: CITY OF WELLAND - 25-YR CHICAGO STORM -
Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.66 (ii) 5.97 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 2.00 6.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .63 .19	TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr .17 4.295 1.17 10.803 2.17 14.436 3.17 5.829 .22 4.731 1.2 1.2 2.2 5.60
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .50 .05 .545 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.73 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 50.47 16.38 40.245 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 51.47 51.47 51.474 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .98 .32 .782	.50 5.260 1.50 32.988 2.50 9.395 3.50 4.970 .67 5.968 1.67 118.514 2.67 8.087 3.67 4.640 .83 6.945 1.83 38.130 2.83 7.134 3.83 4.357 1.00 8.394 2.00 20.514 3.00 6.406 4.00 4.112
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	025:0003
010:0025 *# ROUTE THROUGH SWMF #3	~ # * # * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min. IN>01:(301) INTELOW STORAGE TABLE OUTF02:(SWM3) INTELOW STORAGE TABLE	*#************************************
(cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .000 .000E+00 .093 .2320B+00	CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= 3.13 01:601 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 70.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 55.00
.007 .3250E-01 .103 .2752E+00 .007 .3250E-01 .111 .3257E+00 .009 .5230E-01 .119 .3338E+00 .010 .7470E-01 .545 .4158E+00 .027 .9990E-01 1.321 .4498E+00 .056 .1281E+00 2.325 .4875E+00	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 2.19 .94 Dep. Storage (mm) = 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%) = .50 2.00 Length (m) = 30.00 10.00
.083 .1941E+00 .000 .0000E+00 ROUTING RESULTS AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V.	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 118.51 88.73 over (min) 2.00 5.00
INFLOW Oli (301) 2.55 .545 1.667 40.245 OUTFLOW Oli (SWM3) 2.55 .019 4.017 40.245 OUTFLOW OUT 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.55 (ii) 4.78 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 2.00 5.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .65 .23
TOTAL NUMBER OF SIMULATED OVERFLOWS = 0 CUMULATIVE TIME OF OVERFLOWS (hours)= .00 PERCENTAGE OF TIME OVERFLOWING (%)= .00	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .57 .18 .729 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.70 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 58.72 25.52 43.780 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 59.72 59.717 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .43 .733
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 3.490 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 141.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=.8817E-01	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUBE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
010:0026 *# TOTAL POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS DISCHARGE TO TOWPATH DRAIN FROM PRIMONT *# AND EXTERNAL LANDS TO EXISTING WATERCOURSE (CONTROLLED + UNCONTROLLED)	025:0004
ADD HYD (PSTTOT) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) ID1 04:T-PST1 7.56 .126 1.67 34.80 .000	*# CATCHMENT 602 - BACKYARDS OF EXISTING RESIDENTIAL FRONTING QUAKER ROAD
+ID2 06:T-PST2 13.49 .134 1.67 27.27 .000 +ID3 02:SWM3 2.55 .019 4.02 40.24 .000 +ID4 03:OVF3 .00 .00 .00 .00 .000 SUM 07:PSTTOT 23.60 .268 1.67 31.08 .000	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = .30 .70 Dep. Storage (mm) = 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%) = .50 2.00 Length (m) = 30.00 10.00
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	Mannings n = .015 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 118.51 40.27
010:0027	over (min) 2.00 6.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.55 (ii) 5.97 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 2.00 6.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .65 .19
*	*TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= .10 .05 .143 (iii)

Prim	ont -	Post-Development	<i>Output</i>	

RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFICI (i) CN PROCEI CN* = 74 (ii) TIME STEF THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOV	(hrs)= 1.67 (mm)= 58.72 (mm)= 55.72 (ENT = .98 DURE SELECTED FOR PER .0 Ia = Dep. Stor STORAGE COEFFICIENT. N DOES NOT INCLUDE BA	1.73 18.42 59.72 .31 VIOUS LOSSES: age (Above) LER OR EQUAL SEFLOW IF ANY.	1.667 30.511 59.717 .511		PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFIC (i) CN PROCEL CN* = 7. (ii) TIME STEE THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOM	(cms) = .03 (hrs) = 1.63 (mm) = 58.72 (mm) = 59.72 IENT = .98 DURE SELECTED FOR P 4.0 IA = Dep. St. P (DT) SHOULD BE SM. STORAGE COEFFICIEN W DOES NOT INCLUDE :	.(1., 22.: 59.; .: ERVIOUS LOSS orage (Aboo ALLER OR EQU T. BASEFLOW IF	03 72 30 72 37 SES: ve) JAL ANY.	*TOTAL. .05 1.66 31.40 59.71 .52	3* 3 (iii) 7 3 7 5	
*# CATCHMENT 101 - F	PRIMONT LANDS SOUTH O	F WATERCOURSE									
CALIB STANDHYD	Area (ha)=	2.67			*# CATCHMENT 102 - 1	PRIMONT LANDS UNCON	TROLLED TO (QUAKER RI	DITCH	AND TOWP	ATH
03:201 DT= 1.00 Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length Mannings n) Total Imp(%)= IMPERVIOUS (ha)= 1.87 (mm)= 1.00 (%)= .50 (m)= 30.00 = .015	70.00 Dir. Con PERVIOUS (i) .80 8.92 2.00 10.00 .250	n.(%)= 60.00		CALIB STANDHYD 02:102 DT= 1.0 Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length	 Area (ha)=) Total Imp(%)= (ha)= .25 (mm)= 1.00 (%)= 2.50 (m)- 70.00	.39 65.00 I US PERVIC .1 8.9 2.0	Dir. Conr DUS (i) 14 92 00	1.(%)=	60.00	
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 118.51	72.10			Mannings n	= .015	.25	50			
Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Tpeak Unit Hyd. peak	(min) 2.00 (min) 1.55 ((min) 2.00 (cms) 2.00 (cms) 2.00	ii) 5.00 (ii) 5.00 (ii) 5.00 .22	*TOTALS*		Max.eff.Inten. ove: Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Tpeal	(mm/hr)= 118.51 r (min) 2.00 (min)= 1.60 k (min)= 2.00	52.4 6.0 (ii) 5.5 6.0	49 DO 57 (ii) DO			
PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFICI	(cms)= .53 (hrs)= 1.67 (mm)= 58.72 (mm)= 59.72 EENT = .98	.12 1.70 23.44 59.72 .39	.635 (iii) 1.667 44.605 59.717 .747		Unit Hyd. peak PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL	(cms) = .64 (cms) = .08 (hrs) = 1.67 (mm) = 58.72 (mm) = 59.72	.(1.7 20.7 59.7	20 01 72 73 72	*TOTAL .08 1.66 43.52 59.71	3* ∂ (iii) 7 1 7	
(i) CN PROCEL CN* = 74 (ii) TIME STEE THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOW	UURE SELECTED FOR PER 4.0 Ia = Dep. Stor (DT) SHOULD BE SMAL STORAGE COEFFICIENT. N DOES NOT INCLUDE BA	VIOUS LOSSES: age (Above) LER OR EQUAL SEFLOW IF ANY.			RUNOFF COEFFIC. (i) CN PROCE CN* = 7. (ii) TIME STE THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLO	LENT = .98 DURE SELECTED FOR PI 4.0 Ia = Dep. St. P (DT) SHOULD BE St. STORAGE COEFFICIENT W DOES NOT INCLUDE	ERVIOUS LOSS orage (Abov ALLER OR EQU T. BASEFLOW IF	35 SES: ve) JAL ANY.	.72	•	
025:0006 *# TOTAL POST-DEVELO	DPMENT FLOWS TO SWMF	#1									
ADD HYD (TOT-1A) ID: NHYD	AREA QPEAK	TPEAK R.V.	DWF	*# TOTAL UNCONTROLL	ED FLOW					
	ID1 01:601	(ha) (cms) 3.13 .729	(hrs) (mm) 1.67 43.78	(cms) .000	ADD HYD (TOT-2A) ID: NHYD	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.	DWF
	+ID2 02:602 +ID3 03:201	1.00 .143 2.67 .635	1.67 30.51 1.67 44.61	.000		ID1 01:603	(ha) .37	(cms) .053	(hrs) 1.67	(mm) 31.40	(cms) .000
	SUM 04:TOT-1A	6.80 1.508	1.67 42.15	.000		+ID2 02:102	. 39	.089	1.67	43.52	.000
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS	DO NOT INCLUDE BASE	FLOWS IF ANY.				SUM 03:TOT-2A	.76	.142	1.67	37.62	.000
					NOTE: PEAK FLOW	3 DO NOT INCLUDE BAS	SEFLOWS IF A	ANY.			
025:0007 *# ROUTE THROUGH SWM	 IF #1				025:0011						
ROUTE RESERVOIR	- Requested routi:	ng time step = 1	.0 min.		*# TOTAL POST-DEV F: *# CONTROLLED + UN	LOWS TO TOWPATH DRA: CONTROLLED	IN FROM SOUT	TH SIDE			
IN>04:(TOT-1A) OUT<05:(SWM1)		FOW STORAGE TABLE					AREA	OPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.	DWF
	======== 001D				ADD HYD (T-PST1) ID: NHYD		×			()
	- OUTFLOW STOR (cms) (ha.)	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms)	STORAGE (ha.m.)		ADD HYD (T-PST1) ID: NHYD ID1 05:SWM1	(ha) 6.80	(cms) .103	(hrs) 3.00	(mm) 42.15	(cms)
	- OUTFLOW STOR (cms) (ha. .000 .0000E .003 .2520E	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 .194 -01 .218	STORAGE (ha.m.) .3012E+00 .3376E+00		ADD HYD (T-PST1) ID: NHYD ID1 05:SWM1 +ID2 06:OVF1 +ID3 03:TOT-2A	(ha) 6.80 .00 .76	(cms) .103 .000 .142	(hrs) 3.00 .00 1.67	(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62	(ems) .000 .000
	- OUTFLOW STOR (cms) (ha.) .000 .0000E .003 .2520E .008 .5150E .010 .7890E	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 1.94 -01 2.18 -01 2.40 -01 2.60	STORAGE (ha.m.) .3012E+00 .3376E+00 .3752E+00 .4140E+00		ADD HYD (T-PST1) ID: NHYD ID1 05:SWM1 +ID2 06:OVF1 +ID3 03:TOT-2A 	(ha) 6.80 .00 .76 	(cms) .103 .000 .142 ========	(hrs) 3.00 .00 1.67 	(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62 ======= 41.70	(cms) .000 .000 .000
	- OUTFLOW STOR (cms) (ha. 000 .0000E .003 .2520E .008 .5150E .010 .7890E .012 .1073E .020 .1368E	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 .194 -01 .218 -01 .240 -01 .260 +00 .287	STORAGE (ha.m.) 3012E+00 3776E+00 3752E+00 4140E+00 4541E+00 4746E+00		ADD HYD (T-PST1) ID: NHYD ID1 05:SWM1 +ID2 06:OVF1 +ID3 03:TOT-2A SUM 04:T-PST1 3 DO NOT INCLUDE BA	(ha) 6.80 .00 .76 7.56 SEFLOWS IF <i>8</i>	(cms) .103 .000 .142 .158	(hrs) 3.00 .00 1.67 1.67	(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62 ====== 41.70	(ems) .000 .000 .000 .000
	- OUTFLOW STOR (cms) (ha.; .000 .0000E .003 .2520E .010 .7890E .010 .7890E .012 .1073E .020 .1368E .040 .1674E .067 .1991E	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 .194 -01 .218 -01 .240 +00 .278 +00 .287 +00 .278 +00 .726 +00 1.515	STORAGE (ha.m.) .3012E+00 .3376E+00 .3752E+00 .4140E+00 .4541E+00 .4746E+00 .5196E+00		ADD HYD (T-PST1) ID: NHYD IDI 05:SWM1 +ID2 06:CVF1 +ID3 03:TOT-2A SUM 04:T-PST1 S DO NOT INCLUDE BA:	(ha) 6.80 .00 .76 7.56 SEFLOWS IF 2	(cms) .103 .000 .142 .158	(hrs) 3.00 .00 1.67 1.67	(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62 ====== 41.70	(cms) .000 .000 .000 .000
	- OUTFLOW STOR (cms) (ha.; .000 .0000 .003 .2520E .008 .5150E .010 .7890E .012 .1073E .020 .1368E .040 .1674E .046 .1674E .132 .2320E	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 .194 -01 .218 -01 .240 +00 .278 +00 .287 +00 .726 +00 1.515 +00 2.530	======= STORAGE (ha.m.) .3012E+00 .3375E+00 .33752E+00 .4140E+00 .4541E+00 .4541E+00 .5196E+00 .5599E+00 .0000E+00		ADD HYD (T-PST1) ID: NHYD IDI 05:SWMI +ID2 06:OVF1 +ID3 03:TOT-2A SUM 04:T-PST1 S DO NOT INCLUDE BA:	(ha) 6.80 .00 .76 7.56 SEFLOWS IF A	(cms) .103 .000 .142 .158	(hrs) 3.00 .00 1.67 1.67	(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62 ======= 41.70	(cms) .000 .000 .000 .000
FOUTTING RESULTS	- OUTFLOW STOR (cms) (ha.; .000 .0000 .003 .25200 .010 .78900 .012 .1073E .020 .1368E .040 .1674E .132 .2320E .166 .2660E	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 .194 -01 .218 -01 .240 +00 .278 +00 .287 +00 .278 +00 .2530 +00 1.515 +00 .2033 +00 .000	======= STORAGE (ha.m.) .3012E+00 .3375E+00 .3375E+00 .4140E+00 .4541E+00 .4541E+00 .5166E+00 .5599E+00 .0000E+00 P.V		ADD HYD (T-PST1) ID: NHYD IDI 05:SWMI +ID2 06:OVF1 +ID3 03:TOT-2A 	(ha) 6.80 .00 .76 	(cms) .103 .000 .142 .158 ANY.	(hrs) 3.00 .00 1.67 1.67	(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62 ====== 41.70	(cms) .000 .000 .000 .000
ROUTING RESULTS INFLOW >04: (TC OUTFLOW<05: (SV OVERFLOW<06: (OV	I I I OUTFLOW STOR (cms) (ha.) .000 .0000 .003 .2520E .008 .5150E .010 .7890E .012 .1073E .020 .1368E .040 .1674E .132 .2320E .166 .2660E .0166 .2660E .0166 .2660E .0166 .2660E .0166 .2600E .0167 .010	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 .194 -01 .218 -01 .240 -01 .240 +00 .278 +00 .278 +00 .275 +00 1.515 +00 0.000 QPEAK TPEAK (cms) (hrs) 1.508 1.667 .103 .000	======= STORAGE (ha.m.) .3076E+00 .3376E+00 .4140E+00 .4541E+00 .4541E+00 .5166E+00 .5599E+00 .6045E+00 .0000E+00 R.V. (mm) 42.153 42.151 .000		ADD HYD (T-PST1) ID: NHYD IDI 05:SWM1 +ID2 06:OVF1 +UD2 06:OVF1 SUM 04:T-PST1 S DO NOT INCLUDE BA: SIDE OF TOWPATH DRA: SIJDE OF TOWPATH DRA: SIJSTING LANDS WEST XYARD CB'S. THIS ARI	(ha) 6.80 .00 .76 SEFLOWS IF J 	(cms) .103 .000 .142 .158 ANY.	(hrs) 3.00 1.67 1.67	(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62 41.70	(cms) .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000
ROUTING RESULTS INFLOW >04: (TC OUTFLOW<05: (SV OVERFLOW<06: (OT T C F	- OUTFLOW STOR - OUTFLOW STOR (cms) (ha.) .000 .0000 .001 .2520E .008 .5150E .010 .7890E .012 .1073E .020 .1368E .040 .1674E .132 .2320E .166 .2660E S AREA	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 .194 -01 .218 -01 .240 +00 .240 +00 .260 +00 .278 +00 .278 +00 .275 +00 .515 +00 .515 +00 .500 +00 1.508 +00 .000 QPEAK TPEAK (cms) (hrs) .103 .000 .000 .000 ATED OVERFLOWS = ERFLOWS (hours) = = ERFLOWING (%) = =	======= STORAGE (ha.m.) .3076E+00 .3376E+00 .4140E+00 .4140E+00 .4746E+00 .5166E+00 .5166E+00 .0000E+00 R.V. (mm) 42.153 42.151 .000 0 .00		ADD HYD (T-PST1 NOTE: PEAK FLOW: 025:0012) ID: NHYD IDI 05:SWMI +ID2 06:CVF1 +ID3 03:TOT-2A SUM 04:T-PST1 S DO NOT INCLUDE BA: SIDE OF TOWPATH DRA: SISTING LANDS WEST SXISTING LANDS WEST Area (ha)=) Ia (mm)= 	(ha) 6.80 .00 .76 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 5	(cms) 103 000 142 .158 ANY. .1588 .158 .158 .158 .158 .158	(hrs) 3.00 1.67 1.67 1.67	(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62 41.70 41.70 ******** ***************************	(cms) .0000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000
ROUTING RESULT INFLOW >04: (TC OUTFLOW<05: (SV OVERFLOW<06: (O) C E	I I I OUTFLOW STOR (cms) (ha.) .000 .000 .003 .2520E .008 .5150E .010 .7890E .012 .1073E .020 .1368E .040 .1674E .040 .1674E .041 .1674E .042 .166 .2320E .166 .166 .2660E .040 .680 .011 6.80 .011 .00 .012 .00 .016 .2660E .016 .2660E .016 .2660E .016 .2660E .017 .00 .010 .00 .011 .6.80 .011 .00 .011 .00 .011 .00 .011 .00 .011 .00 .011 .00	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 .194 -01 .218 -01 .240 +00 .240 -01 .240 +00 .278 +00 .278 +00 .278 +00 .515 +00 .2530 +00 .508 (cms) (hrs) 1.508 1.667 .103 .000 .000 .000 ACED OVERFLOWS = ERFLOWS (hours) = = ERFLOWING (%) = ON [Qout/Qin](%) =	======= STORAGE (ha.m.) .3012E+00 .3376E+00 .4140E+00 .4140E+00 .4541E+00 .5166E+00 .5599E+00 .6045E+00 .0000E+00 R.V. (mm) 42.153 42.151 .000 0 .00 .00		ADD HYD (T-PST1) ID: NHYD IDI 05:SWMI +ID2 06:CVF1 +ID3 03:TOT-2A SUM 04:T-PST1 S DO NOT INCLUDE BA: SIDE OF TOWPATH DRA: SISTING LANDS WEST XYARD CB'S. THIS ARI Area (ha)=) Ia (mm)= U.H. Tp(hrs)= (cms)= .226	(ha) 6.80 .00 .76 SEFLOWS IF J 	(cms) 103 000 142 .158 ANY. 	(hrs) 3.00 1.67 1.67 1.67 VUTURE SI WUTURE SI WUTURE SI WAR Res.	(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62 41.70 41.70	(cms) .0000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000
ROUTING RESULT INFLOW >04: (TC OUTFLOW<05: (SV OVERFLOW<06: (OV E E T T T N	I I OUTFLOW STOR (cms) (ha.) .000 .000 .003 .2520E .008 .5150E .010 .7890E .012 .1073E .020 .1368E .040 .1674E .132 .2320E .166 .2660E S AREA .166 .2660E S AREA .161 .680 /F1 .00 COTAL NUMBER OF SIMUL .000 COTAL NUMBER OF PARE FLOW COUNCLATIVE TIME OF OV "EXEX FLOW REDUCTIL .000 "EXEX FLOW REDUCTIL .000	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 .194 -01 .218 -01 .240 -01 .240 -01 .240 -01 .240 -01 .240 -01 .240 -01 .240 -01 .240 00 .278 +00 .278 +00 .2530 +00 .515 (cms) (hrs) (cms) (hrs) (cms) (hrs) (cms) (hrs) (cms) (hrs) erreprovide (hood) .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 ArED OVERFLOWS = ERFLOWING (%) = ON [Qout/Qin](%) = ON (min) = ED (ha.m.)	======= STORAGE (ha.m.) .3012E+00 .3375E+00 .4140E+00 .4140E+00 .4140E+00 .5166E+00 .5166E+00 .6045E+00 .0000E+00 R.V. (mm) 42.153 42.153 42.151 .000 0 .00 .00 .00 .00		ADD HYD (T-PST1) ID: NHYD IDI 05:SWM1 +ID2 06:CVF1 +ID3 03:TOT-2A SUM 04:T-PST1 S DO NOT INCLUDE BA: SIDE OF TOWPATH DRA: SIISTING LANDS WEST RYARD CB'S. THIS ARI Area (ha)= Area (ma)= (cms)= .226 (cms)= .086 (1)	(ha) 6.80 .00 .76 7.56 SEFLOWS IF J 	(cms) 103 000 142 .158 ANY. .158 TIS OWN F Curve Nun # of Line	(hrs) 3.00 .00 1.67 1.67 1.67	(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62 41.70 41.70	(cms) .0000 .000 .000 .0000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .00
ROUTING RESULT INFLOW >04: (TC OUTFLOW<05: (SV OVERFLOW<06: (OV C E T N N	- OUTFLOW STOR - OUTFLOW STOR (cms) (ha.) .000 .0002 .003 .2520E .008 .5150E .010 .7890E .012 .1073E .020 .1368E .040 .1674E .132 .2320E .166 .2660E .3 AREA .161 .260E .30 .200 .200 .300 .151 .00 .001 .00 .2014 .00 .2014 .00 .2014 .00 .2014 .200 .2014 .200 .2014 <td>AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 .194 -01 .218 -01 .240 -01 .260 +00 .278 +00 .287 +00 .287 +00 .287 +00 .2530 +00 .515 +00 .508 (cms) (hrs) 1.508 1.667 .103 3.000 .000 .000 ATED OVERFLOWS = ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWS (k) = ON [Qout/Qin](%) = OW (min) = D (ha.m.) =</td> <td>======= STORAGE (ha.m.) .3012E+00 .3375E+00 .4140E+00 .4140E+00 .4746E+00 .5166E+00 .5166E+00 .6045E+00 .0000E+00 R.V. (mm) 42.153 42.151 .000 0 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00</td> <td></td> <td> ADD HYD (T-PST] NOTE: PEAK FLOW: 025:0012</td> <td>) ID: NHYD IDI 05:SWM1 +ID2 06:CVF1 +ID3 03:TOT-2A SUM 04:T-PST1 S DO NOT INCLUDE BA: SIDE OF TOWPATH DRA: SITSTING LANDS WEST RYARD CB'S. THIS ARI Area (ha)=) Ia (mm)= (cms)= .226 (cms)= .086 (: (hrs)= 2.167 (mm)= 18.423</td> <td>(ha) 6.80 .00 .76 56 SEFLOWS IF 1 </td> <td>(cms) 103 000 142 .158 ANY. .158 ITS OWN F Curve Nun # of Line</td> <td>(hrs) 3.00 1.67 1.67</td> <td>(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62 41.70 41.70</td> <td>(cms) .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .0</td>	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 .194 -01 .218 -01 .240 -01 .260 +00 .278 +00 .287 +00 .287 +00 .287 +00 .2530 +00 .515 +00 .508 (cms) (hrs) 1.508 1.667 .103 3.000 .000 .000 ATED OVERFLOWS = ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWS (k) = ON [Qout/Qin](%) = OW (min) = D (ha.m.) =	======= STORAGE (ha.m.) .3012E+00 .3375E+00 .4140E+00 .4140E+00 .4746E+00 .5166E+00 .5166E+00 .6045E+00 .0000E+00 R.V. (mm) 42.153 42.151 .000 0 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00		ADD HYD (T-PST] NOTE: PEAK FLOW: 025:0012) ID: NHYD IDI 05:SWM1 +ID2 06:CVF1 +ID3 03:TOT-2A SUM 04:T-PST1 S DO NOT INCLUDE BA: SIDE OF TOWPATH DRA: SITSTING LANDS WEST RYARD CB'S. THIS ARI Area (ha)=) Ia (mm)= (cms)= .226 (cms)= .086 (: (hrs)= 2.167 (mm)= 18.423	(ha) 6.80 .00 .76 56 SEFLOWS IF 1 	(cms) 103 000 142 .158 ANY. .158 ITS OWN F Curve Nun # of Line	(hrs) 3.00 1.67 1.67	(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62 41.70 41.70	(cms) .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .0
ROUTING RESULTS INFLOW >04: (TC OUTPLOW<05: (SV OVERFLOW<06: (OV T C C F U 25:0008	- OUTFLOW STOR - OUTFLOW STOR (cms) (ha.) .000 .0002 .003 .2520E .008 .5150E .010 .7890E .012 .1073E .020 .1368E .040 .1674E .041 .1674E .042 .166 .040 .1674E .041 .1674E .042 .2320E .132 .2320E .166 .2660E .3 AREA .041 6.80 /F1 .00 VORAL NUMBER OF SIMUL .000 VORAL NUMBER OF SIMUL .000 VERCENTAGE OF TIME OV VERCENTAGE OF SIMUL VERCENTAGE OF FINE OV VERCENTAGE US .111 .00 .0224 FLOW REDUCTIT .132 .1324 FLOW REDUCTIT .132 .141 OF DEAK FLOW .141 .141 OF DEAK FLOW .141	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 .194 -01 .218 -01 .240 -01 .260 +00 .278 +00 .278 +00 .278 +00 .278 +00 .2515 +00 .515 +00 .000 QPEAK TPEAK (cms) (hrs) 1.508 1.667 .103 3.000 .000 .000 ATED OVERFLOWS = ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWING (%) = ON [Qout/Qin](%) = OW (min) = ED (ha.m.) = DS DRAINING UNCON	======= STORAGE (ha.m.) .3012E+00 .3375E+00 .4140E+00 .4140E+00 .4746E+00 .51958E+00 .5599E+00 .6045E+00 .0000E+00 R.V. (mm) 42.153 42.151 .000 0 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .2172E+00 	TH DRAI	ADD HYD (T-PST] NOTE: PEAK FLOW: 025:0012) ID: NHYD IDI 05:SWM1 +ID2 06:CVF1 +ID2 03:TOT-2A SUM 04:T-PST1 S DO NOT INCLUDE BA: SIDE OF TOWPATH DRA SITSTING LANDS WEST RYARD CB'S. THIS ARI (cms) = (cms) = .	(ha) 6.80 .00 .76 56 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58	(cms) 103 000 142 .158 ANY. 	(hrs) 3.00 1.67 1.67 1.67	(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62 41.70 41.70	(cms) .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .0
ROUTING RESULTS INFLOW >04: (TT OUTFLOW-05: (SV OVERFLOW-06: (OV T COUTERLOW-06: (OV T T COUTERLOW-06: (OV T T COUTERLOW-06: (OV T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	<pre>- OUTFLOW STOR (cms) (ha. .000.0000 .003.2520E .008.5150E .010.7890E .012.1073E .020.1368E .040.1674E .04</pre>	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 .194 -01 .218 -01 .240 -01 .260 +00 .278 +00 .278 +00 .726 +00 1.515 +00 1.515 +00 2.530 +00 2.530 +00 2.530 +00 0.000 OPEAK TPEAK (cms) (hrs) 1.508 1.667 .103 3.000 .000 .000 ATED OVERFLOWS = ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWING (%) = OW (min) = ED (ha.m.) = 	======= STORAGE (ha.m.) .3076E+00 .3376E+00 .4140E+00 .4541E+00 .4541E+00 .5166E+00 .5166E+00 .5166E+00 .0000E+00 R.V. (mm) 42.153 42.153 42.153 42.153 .000 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .2172E+00 	TH DRAI	ADD HYD (T-PST1 NOTE: PEAK FLOW: 025:0012) ID: NHYD IDI 05:SWM1 +ID2 06:OVF1 +ID2 06:OVF1 SUM 04:T-PST1 S DO NOT INCLUDE BA: SIDE OF TOWPATH DRA SIJSTING LANDS WEST RYARD CB'S. THIS ARI Area (ha)=) Ia (mm)= (cms)=226 (cms)=286 (cms)=309 DOES NOT INCLUDE BA:	(ha) 6.80 .00 .76 SEFLOWS IF 2 	(cms) .103 .000 .142 .158 ANY. 	(hrs) 3.00 .00 1.67 1.67	(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62 41.70 41.70	(cms) .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .0
ROUTING RESULTS INFLOW >04: (TC OUTFLOW+05: (SM OVERFLOW<06: (OV T CALCHMENT 603 - N CALLE STANDHYD 01:603 DT= 1.00	- OUTFLOW STOR - OUTFLOW STOR (cms) (ha.) .000 .0002 .003 .2520E .008 .5150E .010 .7890E .012 .1073E .020 .1368E .040 .1674E .040 .1674E .041 .1674E .042 .2320E .166 .2600E .166 .2600E .016 .2600F .01714 0.80 .01714 .00 .000 .000 .01014 .000 .01014 .000 .01014 .000 .01014 .000 .01014 .000 .01014 .000 .01014 .000 .01014 .000 .01014 .000 .01014 .000 .01014 .000 .01014 .000	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 .194 -01 .218 -01 .240 -01 .260 +00 .278 +00 .278 +00 .7266 +00 1.515 +00 1.515 +00 2.530 +00 .000 OPEAK TPEAK (cms) (hrs) 1.508 1.667 .103 3.000 .000 .000 ATED OVERFLOWS = ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWING (%) = OW (min) = ED (ha.m.) = 	======= STORAGE (ha.m.) .3076E+00 .3376E+00 .4140E+00 .4140E+00 .5166E+00 .5166E+00 .0000E+00 R.V. (mm) 42.153 42.153 42.151 .000 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .2172E+00 	TH DRAI	ADD HYD (T-PST1 NOTE: PEAK FLOW: 025:0012) ID: NHYD IDI 05:SWM1 +ID2 06:OVF1 +ID2 06:OVF1 SUM 04:T-PST1 S DO NOT INCLUDE BA: SIDE OF TOWPATH DRA SIDE OF TOWPATH DRA SIDE OF TOWPATH DRA 	(ha) 6.80 .00 .76 56 SEFLOWS IF J OF PRIMONT EA TO HAVE 1 2.43 (0 8.924 f .410 i) SEFLOW IF AN	(cms) .103 .000 .142 .158 ANY. .158 Curve Num # of Line	(hrs) 3.00 .00 1.67 1.67	(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62 	(cms) .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000
ROUTING RESULTS INFLOW >04: (TC OUTFLOW<05: (SV OVERFLOW<06: (OV T C I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	<pre>- OUTFLOW STOR (cms) (ha. .000.0000 .003.2520E .008.5150E .010.7890E .012.1075E .020.1368E .040.1674E .04</pre>	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 .194 -01 .218 -01 .240 -01 .260 +00 .278 +00 .287 +00 .287 +00 .287 +00 .2530 +00 1.515 +00 2.530 +00 2.530 +00 0.000 QPEAK TPEAK (cms) (hrs) 1.508 1.667 .103 3.000 .000 .000 ATED OVERFLOWS = ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWING (%) = ON [Qout/Qin](%) = OW (min) = ED (ha.m.) = 	======== STORAGE (ha.m.) .3012E+00 .3375E+00 .4140E+00 .4140E+00 .5166E+00 .5166E+00 .0000E+00 R.V. (mm) 42.153 42.151 .000 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .172E+00 	TH DRAI	ADD HYD (T-PST1 NOTE: PEAK FLOW: 025:0012 * * *#************************) ID: NHYD IDI 05:SWMI +ID2 06:OVF1 +ID3 03:TOT-2A ====================================	(ha) 6.80 .00 .76 .755 SEFLOWS IF J OF PRIMONT EA TO HAVE 1 2.43 (0 8.924 ± .410 1) SEFLOW IF AN	(cms) .103 .000 .142 .158 ANY. 	(hrs) 3.00 1.67 1.67 1.67	(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62 ====== 41.70 ******** ***************************	(cms) .0000 .000 .000 .000 .0000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .00
ROUTING RESULTS INFLOW >04: (TC OUTFLOW<05: (SV OVERFLOW<06: (OV T C C F C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	<pre>- OUTFLOW STOR (cms) (ha. .000.0000 .003.2520E .003.2520E .003.1550E .010.7890E .010.7890E .010.1674E .020.1368E .040.1674E .04</pre>	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) v00 .194 -01 .218 -01 .240 -01 .260 v00 .278 +00 .278 +00 .278 +00 .276 +00 .2530 +00 .2530 +00 .000 QPEAK TPEAK (cms) (hrs) 1.508 1.667 .103 3.000 .000 .000 ATED OVERFLOWS = ERFLOWS (hours) = = ERFLOWS (hours) = = DON [Qout/Qin](%) = .00 ON [Qout/Qin](%) = .00 .37 40.00 Dir. Con .22 8.92 .00	======== STORAGE (ha.m.) .3076E+00 .3376E+00 .4140E+00 .4140E+00 .4746E+00 .5166E+00 .5599E+00 .0000E+00 R.V. (mm) 42.153 42.151 .000 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .172E+00 	TH DRAI	ADD HYD (T-PST1 NOTE: PEAK FLOW: 025:0012 * * *#************************) ID: NHYD IDI 05:SWMI +ID2 06:CVF1 +ID3 03:TOT-2A SUM 04:T-PST1 S DO NOT INCLUDE BA: SIDE OF TOWPATH DRA SIDE OF TOWPATH DRA KISTING LANDS WEST Area (ha)= 0 Ia (mm)= (cms)= .226 (cms)= .086 (: (hrs)= 2.167 (mm)= 18.423 (mm)= 59.717 IENT = .309 DOES NOT INCLUDE BA: PAREA (ha)= 	(ha) 6.80 .00 .76 .755 SEFLOWS IF J OF PRIMONT EA TO HAVE 1 2.43 (0 8.924 ± .410 i) SEFLOW IF AN 	(cms) .103 .000 .142 .158 ANY. 	(hrs) 3.00 1.67 1.67 1.67	(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62 ====== 41.70 ******** ******** ******************	(cms) .0000 .000 .0000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .00
ROUTING RESULTS INFLOW >04: (TC OUTFLOW<05: (SV OVERFLOW<06: (OV F CALLESTANDARY OLIVER CALLESTANDHYD 01:603 DT= 1.00 Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length Manpings p	I I - OUTFLOW STOR (cms) (ha.) .000 .000 .001 .010 .003 .2520E .003 .5150E .010 .7890E .012 .1073E .020 .1368E .040 .1674E .040 .1674E .041 .1674E .042 .166 .040 .1674E .041 .1674E .041 .1674E .042 .2320E .166 .2660E .041 .2320E .166 .2660E .041 .2320E .166 .2660E .041 .2320E .166 .2660E .041 .00 .05 CMUTAL NUMBER OF SIMUL .001 .015 .001 .016 .001 .016 .01 .016 <td< td=""><td>AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 .194 -01 .218 -01 .240 -01 .260 +00 .278 +00 .278 +00 .276 +00 .275 +00 .2530 +00 .000 QPEAK TPEAK (cms) (hrs) 1.508 1.667 .103 3.000 .000 ATED OVERFLOWS ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWING (%) = ON<[Qout/Qin](%) =</td> .00 DS DRAINING UNCON .37 40.000 Dir. Con PERVIOUS (i) .22 8.92 .00 .250 .00</td<>	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 .194 -01 .218 -01 .240 -01 .260 +00 .278 +00 .278 +00 .276 +00 .275 +00 .2530 +00 .000 QPEAK TPEAK (cms) (hrs) 1.508 1.667 .103 3.000 .000 ATED OVERFLOWS ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWING (%) = ON<[Qout/Qin](%) =	======= STORAGE (ha.m.) .3012E+00 .3376E+00 .4340E+00 .4140E+00 .4140E+00 .5166E+00 .5166E+00 .0000E+00 R.V. (mm) 42.153 42.151 .000 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .172E+00 	TH DRAI	ADD HYD (T-PST1 NOTE: PEAK FLOW: 025:0012 * * *#************************) ID: NHYD IDI 05:SWMI +ID2 06:CVF1 +ID3 03:TOT-2A ====================================	(ha) 6.80 .76 .76 .75 SEFLOWS IF J OF PRIMONT EA TO HAVE J 2.43 (0 8.924 ± .410 i) SEFLOW IF AN 	(cms) .103 .000 .142 .158 ANY. 	(hrs) 3.00 .00 1.67 1.67 	(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62 41.70 41.70 	(cms) .0000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .0000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .00
ROUTING RESULT INFLOW >04: (TC OUTFLOW<05: (SV OVERFLOW<06: (OV F CALLE STANDHYD 01:603 DT= 1.00 Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length Mannings n May eff Inter 1	I I IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 .194 -01 .218 -01 .240 -01 .260 +00 .278 +00 .287 +00 .726 +00 1.515 +00 2.530 +00 2.530 +00 1.515 +00 2.530 +00 1.515 +00 2.530 +00 .000 QPEAK TPEAK (cms) (hrs) 1.508 1.667 .103 3.000 .000 ATED OVERFLOWS = ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWING (%) = ON [Qout/Qin](%) = ON [Qout/Qin](%) = DS DRAINING UNCON .37 40.00 Dir. Con PERVIOUS (i) .22 8.92 2.00 20.00 .250 62 22	======== STORAGE (ha.m.) .3012E+00 .3375E+00 .4140E+00 .4140E+00 .4746E+00 .5166E+00 .0000E+00 R.V. (mm) 42.153 42.151 .000 0 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .2172E+00 TROLLED TO TOWPA n.(%)= 25.00	TH DRAI	<pre>NOTE: PEAK FLOW: O25:0012</pre>) ID: NHYD IDI 05:SWM1 +ID2 06:CVF1 +ID3 03:TOT-2A SUM 04:T-PST1 S DO NOT INCLUDE BA: SIDE OF TOWPATH DRA SITSTING LANDS WEST RYARD CB'S. THIS AR! (cms) =226 (cms) =286 (: (hrs) = 2.167 (mm) = 18.423 (mm) = 59.717 IENT =309 DOES NOT INCLUDE BA: RYMONT REAR YARDS RIMONT REAR YARDS RIMONT REAR YARDS IMPERVIOU (ha) =	(ha) 6.80 .00 .76 SEFLOWS IF J 	(cms) .103 .000 .142 .158 ANY. 	(hrs) 3.00 .00 1.67 	(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62 41.70 41.70 	(cms) .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000
ROUTING RESULTS INFLOW >04: (TC OUTFLOW<05: (SV OVERFLOW<06: (OV T C C T C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	<pre>- OUTFLOW STOR (cms) (ha. .000.0000 .003.2520E .008.5150E .010.7890E .012.1073E .020.1368E .040.1674E .04</pre>	AGE OUTFLOW m.) (cms) +00 .194 -01 .218 -01 .240 -01 .240 +00 .278 +00 .278 +00 .278 +00 .270 +00 .251 +00 .2530 +00 .515 +00 .000 QPEAK TPEAK (cms) (hrs) 1.508 1.667 .103 .000 .000 .000 AOBOVERFLOWS = ERFLOWS (hours) = ERFLOWING (hours) = ED (ha.m.) =	======== STORAGE (ha.m.) .3012E+00 .3375E+00 .4140E+00 .4140E+00 .4746E+00 .5166E+00 .0000E+00 R.V. (mm) 42.153 42.151 .000 0 .00 .00 .00 .00 .2172E+00 	TH DRAI	<pre>NOTE: PEAK FLOW. 025:0012</pre>) ID: NHYD IDI 05:SWM1 +ID2 06:CVF1 +ID3 03:TOT-2A SUM 04:T-PST1 S DO NOT INCLUDE BA: SIDE OF TOWPATH DRA SITSTING LANDS WEST RYARD CB'S. THIS AR: Area (ha)= 0 Ia (mm)= (cms)=226 (cms)=286 (cms)=286 (cms)=286 (cms)=286 (cms)=286 (cms)=286 (cms)=286 (cms)=286 (cms)=286 PRIMONT REAR YARDS Area (ha)=) Total Imp(%)= Area (ha)=) Total Imp(%)= Area (ha)=) Total Imp(%)= Area (ha)=) Total Imp(%)= 	(ha) 6.80 .00 .76 SEFLOWS IF J 	(cms) .103 .000 .142 .158 ANY. 	(hrs) 3.00 .07 1.67 1.67	(mm) 42.15 .00 37.62 41.70 41.70	(cms) .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .0

Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 118.51 67.64 over (min) 1.00 3.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .43 (ii) 3.33 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 3.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.53 .35	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .068 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 2.167 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 18.423 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 59.717 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .309
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= .01 .01 .021 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.62 1.68 1.667	(1) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 58.72 22.30 31.403 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 59.72 59.72 59.71 PUNOFE CORFECTIONT = 98 37 526	025:0018
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (N* = 74 0 Ta = Dep Storage (above)	CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .19 02:203 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = .08 .11 Dep. Storage (mm) = 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%) = 2.00 2.00 Lepth (m) = 7.00 7.00
025:0014 *# CATCHMENT 201 - PRIMONT LANDS	Mannings n = .015 .250
CALIE STANDHYD Area (ha)= 8.16 03:201 DT=1.00 Total Imp(%)= 65.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 50.00	max.ett.liteti.(um/fif)= 116.51 67.64 over (min) 1.00 3.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .43 (ii) 3.33 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 3.00
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 5.30 2.86 Der (hanner	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.53 .35 *TOTALS*
Dep. Storage (imi) = 1.00 0.32 Average Slope (%) = .50 2.00 Length (m) = 30.00 10.00 Mannings n = .015 .250	TIME TO PEAK (hms)= 1.62 1.02 1.03 1.11 TIME TO PEAK (hms)= 1.63 1.66 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 58.72 22.30 31.403 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 59.72 59.717 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT 98 .37 5.26
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 118.51 81.50 over (min) 2.00 5.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.55 (ii) 4.89 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 2.00 5.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .65 .23 *TOTALS*	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.34 .49 1.790 (111) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.70 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 58.72 24.66 41.688 COUNT DAVIMELT (mm)= 50.72 50.72 50.72	025:0019
IOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 59.72 59.72 59.71 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .41 .698	*# DRAINING TO TOWPATH DRAIN OR WETLAND - VARIOUS LOCATIONS DRAINING
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT 	CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .66 03:204 DT=1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Surface Area (ha)= .26 .40 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92
025:0015	Average Slope (%) = 2.00 2.00 Length (m) = 7.00 7.00 Mannings n = .015 .250
*# PRIMONT LANDS + EXTERNAL LANDS UNDER EXISTING CONDITIONS	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 118.51 67.64 over (min) 1.00 3.00
image:	Storage Coeff. (min)= .43 (ii) 3.33 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 3.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.53 .35
SUM 08:TOT-1B 10.71 1.824 1.67 36.29 .000 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .05 .06 .115 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.63 1.68 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 58.72 22.30 31.403 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 59.72 59.717 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .98 .37 .526
025:0016	<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0</pre>
ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min. IN>08:(TOT-1B) OUTLOW STOPAGE TABLE	 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .000 .0000E+00 .150 .4478E+00	025:0020
.003 .3830E-01 .166 .5008E+00 .011 .7800E-01 .180 .5554E+00	ADD HYD (TOT-2B) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
.018 .1616E+00 .205 .6695E+00 .027 .2056E+00 .211 .6990E+00	IDI 01:702 1.93 .068 2.17 18.42 .000 +ID2 02:203 .19 .033 1.67 31.40 .000
.045 .2510E+00 .645 .7594E+00 .068 .2979E+00 1.428 .8215E+00 112 3463E+00 2 439 .8852E+00	+ID3 03:204 .66 .115 1.67 31.40 .000
.112 .34051+00 .000 .0000E+00	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
ROUTING RESULTS AREA (PEAR R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW >08: (TOT-1B) 10.71 1.824 1.667 36.294	025:0021
OUTFLOM<09: (SWM2) 10.71 .092 4.017 36.292 OVERFLOW<10: (OVF2) .00 .000 .000 .000	*# TOTAL POST-DEV FLOWS TO TOWPATH DRAIN/WETLAND FROM NORTH SIDE *# CONTROLLED + UNCONTROLLED
TOTAL NUMBER OF SIMULATED OVERFLOWS = 0 CUMULATIVE TIME OF OVERFLOWS (hours)= .00	ADD HYD (T-PST2) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
PERCENTAGE OF TIME OVERFLOWING (%)= .00	+ID2 10:0VF2 .00 .000 .00 .00 .000 +ID2 10:0VF2 .00 .000 .00 .00 .000 +ID3 05:TOT-2B 2.78 .159 1.67 22.39 .000
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION $[Qout/Qin](\$) = 5.029$ TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW $(min) = 141.00$ MAXIMUM STORAGE USED $(ba m) = 3244E+00$	SUM 06:T-PST2 13.49 .176 1.67 33.43 .000
	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
025:0017	025:0022
CALIE NASHYD Area (ha)= 1.93 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 01:702 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .410 .410	* *#**********************************
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .180	CALLE STANDHYD Area (ha)= .44 10:205 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00

IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	*# TOTAL POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS DISCHARGE TO TOWPATH DRAIN FROM PRIMONT *# AND EXTERNAL LANDS TO EXISTING WATERCOURSE (CONTROLLED + UNCONTROLLED)
Surface Area (ha)= .18 .26 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92	ADD HYD (PSTTOT) ID: NHYD AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)
Mannings n = .015 .250	+ID2 06:T-PST2 13.49 .176 1.67 33.43 .000
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 118.51 67.64	+ID3 02:SWM3 2.55 .027 3.87 47.58 .000 +ID4 03:OVF3 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
Storage Coeff. (min) 43 (ii) 3.33 (ii)	SUM 07:PSTTOT 23.60 .342 1.67 37.60 .000
Unit Hyd. peak (min)= 1.00 3.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.53 .35	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= .04 .04 .077 (iii)	
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.62 1.68 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 58.72 22.30 31.403	025:0027 * RUN REMAINING DESIGN STORMS (WELLAND DESIGN STORMS 5 TO 100-YR)
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 59.72 59.72 59.717 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .37 .526	*
	025:0002
CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)	
(11) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.	*
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	025:0002
025:0023	* ** END OF RUN : 49
ADD HYD (205) ID: NHYD AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF	
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)	
SUM 10:205 .44 .0// 1.6/ 31.40 .000	
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK~1\DESKTOP\Primont\
025:0024	TZERO = .00 hrs on 0
*#************************************	METOUT= 2 (output = METRIC)
*	NSTORM= 1 NSTORM= 1
*# SWMF #3 - NORTH SIDE OF WATERCOURSE, EAST SIDE OF PRIMONT LANDS	# 1-HELLIGU.JU.JIM
*# *# CATCHMENT 301 - PRIMONT LANDS ON EAST SIDE AJACENT FIRST AVENUE	\$\$0:0002
CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= 2.55	*# Project Name: PRIMONT HOMES *# WELLAND AND THOROLD, ONTARIO
01:301 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 75.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 70.00	*# JOB NUMBER : 2022-0091-10 *# Date : MARCH 2024
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ba)= 1.91 .64	*# Revised : MAY 2024 *# Company : WALTER FEDY
Dep. Storage (mm) = 1.00 8.92	*# File : PRI-POST.DAT CN=74 to match UCC, Ia = 8.924mm
Average Slope (%)= .50 2.00 Length (m)= 30.00 10.00	*#************************************
Mannings n = .015 .250	050:0002
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 118.51 59.43 over (min) 2.00 5.00	*
Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.55 (ii) 5.34 (ii) Unit Hyd Tpeak (min)= 2.00 5.00	READ STORM Filename: CITY OF WELLAND - 50-YR CHICAGO STORM - Protal= 66.95 mm Comments: CITY OF WELLAND - 50-YR CHICAGO STORM -
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .65 .22	
PEAK FLOW (cms) = .59 .08 .655 (iii)	hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr
$\frac{1100}{\text{RUNOFF VOLUME}} (\text{mm}) = 58.72 21.58 47.576$.17 4.362 1.17 12.287 2.17 10.352 3.17 6.702 .33 5.446 1.33 17.768 2.33 12.858 3.33 6.171
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 59.72 59.72 59.71 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .36 .797	.50 6.057 1.50 36.743 2.50 10.713 3.50 5.729 .67 6.859 1.67 130.180 2.67 9.247 3.67 5.355
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	.83 7.961 1.83 42.379 2.83 8.175 3.83 5.033 1.00 9.591 2.00 23.055 3.00 7.353 4.00 4.754
$CN^* = 74.0$ Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OF FOULD	
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.	050:0003
(III) PARTION DOED NOT INCLUDE DROBLEON IF ART.	*#*************************************
025:0025	*# POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC MODELING
*# ROUTE THROUGH SWMF #3	*# ====================================
ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min. IN>01:(301)	*#************************************
OUT<02:(SWM3) ======= OUTLFOW STORAGE TABLE ====================================	*#************************************
(cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .000 .0000E+00 .093 .2320E+00	*#************************************
.004 .1510E-01 .103 .2752E+00 .007 .3250E-01 .111 .3257E+00	
.009 .5230E-01 .119 .3838E+00	01:601 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 70.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 55.00
.027 .9990E-01 1.321 .4498E+00	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
.056 .1281E+00 2.325 .485/E+00 .071 .1595E+00 3.513 .5237E+00	Dep. Storage (mm) = 1.00 8.92
.083 .1941E+00 .000 .0000E+00	Average Slope (%)= .50 2.00 Length (m)= 30.00 10.00
ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm)	Mannings n = .015 .250
INFLOW >01: (301) 2.55 .655 1.667 47.576 OUTFLOW<02: (SWM3) 2.55 .027 3.867 47.575	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 130.18 107.50 over (min) 1.00 4.00
OVERFLOW<03: (OVF3) .00 .000 .000 .000	Storage Coeff. (min) = 1.50 (ii) 4.48 (ii)
TOTAL NUMBER OF SIMULATED OVERFLOWS = 0	Unit Hyd. peak (mm)= 1.00 4.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .83 .26
CUMULATIVE TIME OF OVERFLOWS (hours)= .00 PERCENTAGE OF TIME OVERFLOWING (%)= .00	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .62 .22 .834 (iii)
	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.68 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 65.95 30.88 50.171
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 4.141 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 132.00	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 66.95 66.95 66.95 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .46 749
MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=.1003E+00	(i) CN DROCEDITRE SELECTED FOR DEPUTOUS LOSSES:
025:0026	$CN^* = 74.0$ Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) THM STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLED OF PAULA
	, (II) THE STELLET, SHOODE DE DEMEDER OK EXUME

THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 7.997 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 64.00
050:0004	MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=.2376E+00
CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= 1.00	050:0008- *# CATCHMENT 603 - MOUNTAINVIEW REAR YARDS DRAINING UNCONTROLLED TO TOWPATH DRAI
02:602 DIF 1.00 TOTAL Imp(*)= 30.00 DIF. COND.(*)= 30.00	CALIE STANDHYD Area (ha)= .37 01:603 DT=1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
Surface Area (ha) = .30 .70 Dep. Storage (mm) = 1.00 8.92	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
Average Slope (%)= .50 2.00 Length (m)= 30.00 10.00 Mannings n = .015 .250	Surface Area (ha)= .15 .22 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Lepath (m)= 10.00 20.00
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 130.18 49.36 over (min) 1.00 6.00	Mannings n = .015 .250
Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.50 (ii) 5.57 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 6.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .83 .20 *TOTALS*	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 130.18 74.88 over (min) 1.00 6.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .51 (ii) 5.74 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 6.00
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .11 .07 .167 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.72 1.667	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.46 .19 *TOTALS*
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 65.95 22.86 35.790 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 66.95 66.952 66.952 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .34 .535	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .03 .03 .062 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.62 1.72 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 65.95 27.27 36.938 TOTAL PAINFALL (mm)= 66.95 66.952 66.952
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .41 .552 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
050:0005 *# CATCHMENT 101 - PRIMONT LANDS SOUTH OF WATERCOURSE	
CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= 2.67 03:201 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 70.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 60.00	050:0009
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .39 02:102 DT=1.00 Total Imp(%)= 65.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 60.00
Surface Area (na)= 1.87 .80 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
Average Stope (*)= .50 2.00 Length (m)= 30.00 10.00 Mannings - 015 250	Dep. Storage $(mm) = 1.00$ 8.92 Average Slope $(k) = 2.50$ 2.00
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 130.18 86.13	Length (m) = 70.00 10.00 Mannings n = .015 .250
over (min) 1.00 5.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.50 (ii) 4.76 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 5.00	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 130.18 65.47 over (min) 2.00 5.00 Storege Coeff (min) 1.64 (ii) 5.18 (ii)
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .58 .15 .715 (jij)	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 2.00 5.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .66 .22
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.70 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 65.95 28.55 50.990 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 66.95 66.95 66.952 DENDOR COPEPTICIENT 99 43 763	TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= .08 .02 .101 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.70 1.667 PUNDEF VOLUME (mm)= 65 95 25 49 767
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (N* = 74 0 Ta = Dep Storage (above)	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 66.95 66.95 66.952 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .38 .743
(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOLD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: $CN^* = 74.0$ Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (i) THE STEP (TD) SHOULD BE CANLIED OF FOLIAL
(III) FEAR FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE DRSEFLOW IF ANT.	(ii) THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
D50:0006 *# TOTAL POST-DEVELOPMENT FLOWS TO SWMF #1	
ADD HYD (TOT-1A) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF	*# TOTAL UNCONTROLLED FLOW
ID1 01:601 3.13 .834 1.67 50.17 .000 +TD2 02:602 1.00 .167 1.67 35.79 .000	ADD HYD (TOT-2A) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
+ID3 03:201 2.67 .715 1.67 50.99 .000	IDI 01:603 .37 .062 1.67 36.94 .000 +ID2 02:102 .39 .101 1.67 49.77 .000
SUM 04:TOT-1A 6.80 1.715 1.67 48.38 .000	SUM 03:TOT-2A .76 .164 1.67 43.52 .000
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
050:0007 ## ROUTE THROUGH SWMF #1	
ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min.	*# TOTAL POST-DEV FLOWS TO TOWPATH DRAIN FROM SOUTH SIDE *# CONTROLLED + UNCONTROLLED
OUT<05:(SWM1) ======= OUTLFOW STORAGE TABLE ====================================	ADD HYD (T-PST1) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
(cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .000 .0000E+00 .194 .3012E+00	ID1 05:SWM1 6.80 .137 2.73 48.38 .000 +ID2 06:OVF1 .00 .000 .00 .00 .000
.003 .2520E-01 .218 .3376E+00 .008 .5150E-01 .240 .3752E+00	+ID3 03:TOT-2A .76 .164 1.67 43.52 .000
.010 .7890E-01 .260 .4140E+00 .012 .1073B+00 .278 .4541E+00 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000	SUM 04:T-PST1 7.56 .187 1.67 47.89 .000
.020 .13688+00 .287 .446E+00 .040 .1674E+00 .726 .5166E+00	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
.1512.2520E+00 2.530.6045E+00 .166.2660E+00 0.0000E+00	050:0012
ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	* ##*****
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW >04: (TOT-1A) 6.80 1.715 1.667 48.378	*# SWMF #2 - NORTH SIDE OF TOWPATH DRAIN *#***********************************
OUTFLOW<05: (SWM1) 6.80 .137 2.733 48.376 OVERFLOW<06: (OVF1) .00 .000 .000 .000	*# CATCHMENT 701 - EXISTING LANDS WEST OF PRIMONT *# CAPTURED IN REARYARD CB'S. THIS AREA TO HAVE ITS OWN FUTURE SWM WITH OUTLET
TOTAL NUMBER OF SIMULATED OVERFLOWS = 0 CUMULATIVE TIME OF OVERFLOWS (hours) = .00 DEFERENTAGE OF TIME OVERPLOVENCE (%) = .00	*# 10 WAIECOURSE
	U.H. Tp(hrs)= .410

Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .226 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .107 (i)	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW >08: (TOT-1B) 10.71 2.074 1.667 42.123 OUTFLOW<09:
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.167 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 22.864 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 66.952 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .341	TOTAL NUMBER OF SIMULATED OVERFLOWS = 0 CUMULATIVE TIME OF OVERFLOWS (hours)= .00 PERCENTAGE OF TIME OVERFLOWING (%)= .00
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	
050:0013 *# CATCHMENT 202 - PRIMONT REAR YARDS	TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 140.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=.3629E+00
CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .12 02:202 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	050:0017
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = .05 .07 Dep. Storage (mm) = 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%) = 2.00 2.00 Length (m) = 7.00 7.00 Mannings n = .015 .250	CALLE NASHYD Area (ha)= 1.93 Curve Number (CN)=74.00 01:702 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .410 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .180
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 130.18 80.75 over (min) 1.00 3.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .41 (ii) 3.11 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 3.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.55 .37	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .085 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.167 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 22.864 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 66.952 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .341
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= .01 .01 .024 (iii)	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.60 1.68 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 65.95 27.27 36.938 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 66.95 66.952 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .41 .552	050:0018
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DJ) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	CALIE STANDHYD Area (ha)= .19 02:203 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS Surface Area (ha)= .08 .11 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00
050:0014 *# CATCHMENT 201 - PRIMONT LANDS	Mannings n = .015 .250
CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha) = 8.16 03:201 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%) = 65.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 50.00	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 130.18 80.75 over (min) 1.00 3.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .41 (ii) 3.11 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 3.00 Unit Hyd. meak (cme)= 1.55 37
Surface Area (ha)= 5.30 2.86 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= 50 2.00 Length (m)= 30.00 10.00 Mannings n = .015 .250	Since Hyst peak (cms) = 1.35 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = .02 .02 .039 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.60 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 65.95 27.27 36.938 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 66.95 66.952 66.952 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .41 .552
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 130.18 96.92 over (min) 1.00 5.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.50 (ii) 4.61 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 5.00 Unit Hyd. (cms)= .83 .24 PPEK FLOW (cms)= 1.47 60 2.030 (jij)	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.70 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 65.95 29.92 47.934 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 66.95 66.95 66.952 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .45 .716	050:0019
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL 	CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .66 03:204 DT=1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= .26 .40 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Length (m)= 7.00 7.00
<pre># TOTAL POST-DEVELOPMENT FLOWS TO SWMF #2 *# PRIMONT LANDS + EXTERNAL LANDS UNDER EXISTING CONDITIONS</pre>	Mannings n = .015 .250
ADD HYD (TOT-1B) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF ID1 01:701 2.43 .107 2.17 2.2.86 .000 +DD2 02:202 .12 .024 1.67 36.94 .000 +DD3 03:201 8.16 2.030 1.67 47.93 .000 SUM 08:TOT-1B 10.71 2.074 1.67 42.12 .000	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 130.18 80.75 over (min) 1.00 3.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .41 (ii) 3.11 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 3.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.55 .37 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .06 .07 .134 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.60 1.668 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 65.95 27.27 36.938
NUTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	TUTAL KALNFALL (mm)= 66.95 66.95 66.952 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .41 .552
D50:0016	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STOPAGE COPEFICIENT
IN>08:(TOT-1B) requested fouring time step = 1.0 min. (IN>08:(TOT-1B) ====== 0UTLFOW STORAGE TABLE ====== (OUT<09:(SNM2))	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
$\begin{array}{c cms\rangle} (ha.m.) & (cms) & (ha.m.) \\ 0.00 & .0000E+00 & .150 & .4478E+00 \\ .003 & .3830E-01 & .166 & .5008E+00 \\ .011 & .7800E-01 & .180 & .5554E+00 \\ .015 & .1191E+00 & .193 & .6117E+00 \\ .018 & .1616E+00 & .205 & .6695E+00 \\ .027 & .2056E+00 & .211 & .690E+00 \\ .045 & .2510E+00 & .645 & .7594E+00 \\ .068 & .2979E+00 & 1.428 & .8215E+00 \end{array}$	USUUUU USUUUU *# TOTAL UNCONTROLLED FLOWS
.112 .3463E+00 2.439 .8852E+00 .132 .3963E+00 .000 .0000E+00	SUM 05:TOT-2B 2.78 .188 1.67 27.17 .000
ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	MOLE. FERK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE DASEFLOWS IF ANI.

050:0021 *# TOTAL POST-DEV FL *# CONTROLLED + UNC	LOWS TO TOWPATH DRAI	N/WETLAND FROM NOF	TH SIDE			. 0 . 0 . 0 . 0	09 .5230E-01 10 .7470E-01 27 .9990E-01 56 .1281E+00	.119 .545 1.321 2.325	.3838E+(.4158E+(.4498E+(.4857E+(0 0 0 0 0 0	
ADD HYD (T-PST2) ID: NHYD	AREA QPEAK (ha) (cms)	TPEAK (hrs) 4 00	R.V. DI (mm) (cr 42 12 (S)	.0: .0: SULTS	AREA OPE2	.000	.0000E+0	20 20 7.	
	+ID2 10:0VF2 +ID3 05:TOT-2B ====================================	10.00 .000 2.78 .188 13.49 .207	.00 1.67 1.67	.00 .0 27.17 .0 ====================================	00 00 INFLOW >01 == OUTFLOW<02 00 OVERFLOW<03	: (301) : (SWM3) : (OVF3)	(ha) (cms 2.55 .73 2.55 .03 .00 .00	(hrs) 2 1.667 7 3.433 0 .000	(mr 54.10 54.10 .00	n) 03 02 00	
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS	DO NOT INCLUDE BAS	SEFLOWS IF ANY.				TOTAL NUMBER CUMULATIVE	R OF SIMULATED TIME OF OVERFLC	OVERFLOWS = WS (hours)=	.00))	
050:0022						PERCENTAGE (OF TIME OVERFLO	WING (%)=	.00	D	
* *#**********************************	PRIMONT REAR YARDS D	PRAINING NORTH TO S	SINGERS DRA	********** AIN	**	PEAK FLOW TIME SHIFT (MAXIMUM ST	REDUCTION [Q OF PEAK FLOW ORAGE USED	out/Qin](%)= (min)= (ha.m.)=	5.058 106.00 .1099E+00	B D D	
CALIB STANDHYD 10:205 DT= 1.00	Area (ha)= Total Imp(%)=	.44 40.00 Dir.Cor	n.(%)=	25.00	050:0026		TTIONS DISCURD				
Surface Area	(ha)= .18	US PERVIOUS (i) .26			*# AND EXTERNAL	LANDS TO EXIST	ING WATERCOURSE	CONTROLLED	+ UNCON	FROLLED)	IONI
Dep. Storage Average Slope	(mm) = 1.00 (%) = 2.00	8.92			ADD HYD (PSTT	OT) ID: NI	HYD ARE (ha	A QPEAK	(hrs)	R.V. (mm)	DWF (cms)
Length Mannings n	(m) = 7.00 = .015	.250				+ID2 06:T-1 +ID3 02:SW	PST1 7. PST2 13. M3 2	49 .207 55 037	1.67	47.89 39.04 54 10	.000
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 130.18 (min) 1.00	80.75 3.00				+ID4 03:0V	F3 .	00 .000	.00	.00	.000
Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Tpeak	(min) = .41 (min) = 1.00	(ii) 3.11 (ii) 3.00				SUM 07:PS	ттот 23.	60 .404	1.67	43.50	.000
Unit Hyd. peak	(cms)= 1.55	. 37	*TOTALS	*	NOTE: PEAK I	FLOWS DO NOT INC	CLUDE BASEFLOWS	IF ANY.			
PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFICI	(cms)= .04 (hrs)= 1.60 (mm)= 65.95 (mm)= 65.95 FENT = 99	.05 1.68 27.27 66.95 41	.090 1.667 36.938 66.952 552	(111)	050:0027 * RUN REMAINING *	DESIGN STORMS	(WELLAND DESIGN	I STORMS 5 TC	100-YR)		
(i) CN PROCED	URE SELECTED FOR PE	RVIOUS LOSSES:	.552		050:0002						
CN* = 74 (ii) TIME STEP	1.0 Ia = Dep. Sto (DT) SHOULD BE SMA	orage (Above) ALLER OR EQUAL			050:0002						
THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOW	STORAGE COEFFICIENT V DOES NOT INCLUDE E	BASEFLOW IF ANY.			*						
050:0023					*						
ADD HYD (205) ID: NHYD	AREA QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V. DI	050:0002 *						
<u>.</u>	ID1 01:702	(ha) (cms) 1.93 .085	(hrs) 2.17	(mm) (cr 22.86 .0	s) ** END OF RUN	: 99	*****	*****	*******	* * * * * * * *	*****
	SUM 10:205	.44 .090	1.67	36.94 .(00						
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS	DO NOT INCLUDE BAS	SEFLOWS IF ANY.									
	*****	*****	******	*******	 ** START 	 Project Rainfall 00 hrs on	dir.: C:\USERS dir.: C:\USERS 0	S\JORESK~1\DE S\JORESK~1\DE	SKTOP\Pri SKTOP\Pri	imont\ imont\	
*#************************************	SIDE OF WATERCOURSE, REAL AND	EAST SIDE OF PRIM	ONT LANDS	*******	** METOUT= 2 NRUN = 100 ** NSTORM= 1 # 1:	(output = METR) =WELL4100.STM	IC)				
CALIB STANDHYD 01:301 DT= 1.00	Area (ha)=) Total Imp(%)=	2.55 75.00 Dir.Com	in.(%)=	70.00	100:0002	**************************************	***************************************	********	******	*******	*****
Surface Area	IMPERVIOU	US PERVIOUS (i)			*# JOB NUMBER	E: PRIMONT HOME: WELLAND : 2022-0091-10	AND THOROLD, (ONTARIO			
Dep. Storage Average Slope	(mm) = 1.00 (%) = .50	8.92 2.00			*# Date *# Revised	: MARCH 2024 : MAY 2024					
Length Mannings n	(m) = 30.00 = .015	10.00 .250			*# Company *# File	: WALTER FEDY : PRI-POST.DAT	CN=74 to matc	h UCC, Ia =	8.924mm		
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 130.18	71.53			*#*************************************	******	************	*********	******	*******	*****
over Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Tpeak Unit Hyd. peak	(min) = 1.00 (min) = 1.50 ((min) = 1.00 (cms) = .83	(ii) 5.00 (ii) 5.00 .23			100:0002						
PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK	(cms)= .64 (hrs)= 1.67	.09	.732 1.667	(iii)	Ptotal= 73.2	1 mm Comment	ts: CITY OF WEL	LAND - 100-Y	R CHICAGO) STORM	-
RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFICI	(mm) = 65.95 (mm) = 66.95 EENT = .99	26.46 66.95 .40	54.103 66.952 .808		T: J	IME RAIN hrs mm/hr .17 5.494	TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr 1.17 13.439 1.33 19.325	TIME R hrs mm 2.17 17. 2.33 14	AIN 787 3	FIME hrs n 3.17 7	RAIN m/hr .393
(i) CN PROCED CN* = 74	URE SELECTED FOR PE 1.0 Ia = Dep. Sto	RVIOUS LOSSES: prage (Above)				.50 6.691 .67 7.564	1.50 39.636 1.67 142.985	2.50 11. 2.67 10.	742 3	3.50 e 3.67 5	.333
(ii) TIME STEP THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOW	(DT) SHOULD BE SMA STORAGE COEFFICIENT DOES NOT INCLUDE B	LLER OR EQUAL			1	.83 8.763 .00 10.529	1.83 45.671 2.00 24.984	2.83 8. 3.00 8.	994 3 102 4	3.83 5 4.00 5	.572
					100:0003						
050:0025 *# ROUTE THROUGH SWM	1F #3				* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*****	******	* * * * * * * *	****
ROUTE RESERVOIR	Requested rout	ing time step = 1	.0 min.		*# *# POST-I	DEVELOPMENT CON	DITIONS HYDROLC	GIC MODELING			
OUT<02:(SWM3)	OUTFLOW STO	LFOW STORAGE TABLE	STORACI	= = E	*#	****	****	*****	*******	* * * * * * * *	*****
	(cms) (ha	L.m.) (cms) E+00 .093	(ha.m. .2320E+00) 0	π * *#**********	*****	*****	****	******	******	*****
	.004 .1510 .007 .3250	DE-01 .103 DE-01 .111	.2752E+00	0 0	*# SWMF #1 - SOU *#**********	UTH SIDE OF TOWN	PATH DRAIN ************	*****	******	* * * * * * * *	******

*# CATCHMENT 601 - FUTURE DEVELOPED MOUNTAINVIEW LANDS SERVICED VIA PRIMONT	ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min.
CALLE STANDHYD Area (Na)= 3.13 01:601 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 70.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 55.00	OUT<05:(SWM1) ======= OUTLFOW STORAGE TABLE =======
	OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	(cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.)
Dep. Storage $(mm) = 1.00$ 8.92	.003 .2520E-01 .218 .3376E+00
Average Slope (%)= .50 2.00	.008 .5150E-01 .240 .3752E+00
Length (m)= 30.00 10.00	.010 .7890E-01 .260 .4140E+00 012 1073E+00 278 4541E+00
Mainifigs II = .015 .250	.012 .1075E+00 .276 .4746E+00
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 142.99 124.91	.040 .1674E+00 .726 .5166E+00
over (min) 1.00 4.00	.067 .1991E+00 1.515 .5599E+00
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-152 -25208+00 -2.550 -00458+00
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .85 .27	
TOTALS	ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
TIME TO PEAK (brs)= 1.67 1.68 1.667	(IIII) $(IIIII)$ $(IIII)$ $(IIIII)$ $(IIIIII)$ $(IIIII)$ $(IIIII)$ $(IIIII)$ $(IIIII)$ $(IIIII)$ $(IIIII)$ $(IIIII)$ $(IIIII)$ $(IIIII)$ $(IIII$
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 72.21 35.69 55.774	OUTFLOW<05: (SWM1) 6.80 .159 2.683 53.844
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.21 73.21 73.207	OVERFLOW<06: (OVF1) .00 .000 .000 .000
RUNDFF COEFFICIENT = .59 .49 .762	TOTAL NUMBER OF SIMULATED OVERFLOWS = 0
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	CUMULATIVE TIME OF OVERFLOWS (hours) = .00
CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)	PERCENTAGE OF TIME OVERFLOWING (%)= .00
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.	
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 8.172
	TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min) = 61.00
100:0004	MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (na.m.)=.2589E+00
*# CATCHMENT 602 - BACKYARDS OF EXISTING RESIDENTIAL FRONTING QUAKER ROAD	
CALIS STANDATE Area (AA)= 1.00 02:602 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 30.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00	
,	CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .37
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	01:603 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
Surrace Area (na)= .30 .70 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92	TMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
Average Slope (%)= .50 2.00	Surface Area (ha)= .15 .22
Length (m) = 30.00 10.00	Dep. Storage (mm) = 1.00 8.92
mannings n = .015 .250	Average Stope (3) = 2.00 2.00 Length (m) = 10.00 20.00
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 142.99 60.67	Mannings n = .015 .250
over (min) 1.00 5.00	
Storage Coeff. $(min) = 1.44$ (ii) 5.19 (ii)	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 142.99 90.36
Unit Hyd. peak $(mn) = 1.00$ 5.00 Unit Hyd. peak $(cms) = .85$.22	Storage Coeff. $(min) = .49$ (ii) 5.34 (ii)
TOTALS	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 5.00
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .12 .09 .197 (iii)	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.48 .22
TIME TO PEAK (MTS)= 1.67 1.70 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (MM)= 72.21 26.92 40.504	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .04 04 075 (jij)
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.21 73.21 73.207	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.62 1.70 1.667
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .37 .553	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 72.21 31.75 41.867
(1) AN DECRETINE OF SOR DEPUTCING LOCARS	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.21 73.21 73.207
(1) ON PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ta = Dep. Storage (Above)	RUNDEFFICIENT = .99 .43 .572
(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.	$CN^* = 74.0$ Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
	THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY
100:0005	
*# CATCHMENT 101 - PRIMONT LANDS SOUTH OF WATERCOURSE	
CALIE STANDHYD Area (ba)= 2.67	100:0009
03:201 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 70.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 60.00	
	CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .39
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	02:102 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 65.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 60.00
Dep. Storage $(mm) = 1.00$ 8.92	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
Average Slope (%)= .50 2.00	Surface Area (ha)= .25 .14
Length (m) = 30.00 10.00	Dep. Storage $(mm) = 1.00$ 8.92
Mannings n = .015 .250	Average Slope $(*)$ = 2.50 2.00 Length (m) = 70.00 10.00
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 142.99 103.09	Mannings n = .015 .250
over (min) 1.00 4.00	
Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.44 (ii) 4.48 (ii)	Max.ert.Inten.(mm/hr)= 142.99 77.29
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= $.85$ $.26$	Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.48 (ii) 4.89 (ii)
TOTALS	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 5.00
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .64 .18 .808 (iii)	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .83 .23
TIME TO PEAK (NTS)= 1.67 1.68 1.667 RUNDEE VOLUME (mm)= 72.21 33.15 56.586	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .09 02 113 (iii)
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.21 73.21 73.207	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.70 1.667
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .45 .773	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 72.21 29.81 55.247
(1) CN DROGEDINGE SELECTED FOR DERVIOUS LOSSES	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.21 73.21 73.207
$CN^* = 74.0$ Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)	
(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.	CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
(III) FEAR FLOW DOED NOT INCLUDE DASEFLOW IF ANY.	THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
100:0006	
*# TOTAL POST-DEVELOPMENT FLOWS TO SWMF #1	100:0010
ADD HYD (TOT-1A) ID: NHYD AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF	*# TOTAL UNCONTROLLED FLOW
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)	
ID1 01:601 3.13 .936 1.67 55.77 .000	ADD HYD (TOT-2A) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
+ID2 U2:6U2 1.00 .197 1.67 40.50 .000	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)
UUU. V3. 2.07 1.07 2.07 .000	+ID2 02:102 .39 .113 1.67 55.25 .00
SUM 04:TOT-1A 6.80 1.942 1.67 53.85 .000	
NOTE - DEAK BLOWD DO NOT THOUSE DADRE OND TO ANY	SUM 03:TOT-2A .76 .188 1.67 48.73 .00
NOIL. PEAR FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
"# ROULE INROUGH SWMF #1	T00+00TT

*# TOTAL POST-DEV FLOWS TO TOWPATH DRAIN FROM SOUTH SIDE	+ID3 03:201 8.16 2.321 1.67 53.42 .000
	SUM 08:TOT-1B 10.71 2.374 1.67 47.28 .000
ADD HYD (T-PSTI) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
IDI 05:SWMI 6.80 .159 2.68 53.84 .000 +ID2 06:OVFI .00 .000 .00 .00 .000	
+ID3 03:TOT-2A .76 .188 1.67 48.73 .000	100:0016 *# ROUTE THROUGH SWMF #2
SUM 04:T-PST1 7.56 .222 1.67 53.33 .000	ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min.
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	IN>08: (TOT-1B)
100.0010	OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE
*	(cms) (na.m.) (cms) (na.m.) .000 .0000E+00 .150 .4478E+00
* *#**********************************	.003 .3830E-01 .166 .5008E+00 .011 .7800E-01 .180 .5554E+00
*# SWMF #2 - NORTH SIDE OF TOWPATH DRAIN *#***********************************	.015 .1191E+00 .193 .6117E+00 .018 .1616E+00 .205 .6695E+00
*# CATCHMENT 701 - EXISTING LANDS WEST OF PRIMONT *# CAPTURED IN REARYARD CB'S. THIS AREA TO HAVE ITS OWN FUTURE SWM WITH OUTLET	.027 .2056E+00 .211 .6990E+00 .045 .2510E+00 .645 .7594E+00
*# TO WATECOURSE	.068 .2979E+00 1.428 .8215E+00 .112 .3463E+00 2.439 .8852E+00
CALIB NASHYD Area (ha)= 2.43 Curve Number (CN)=74.00	.132 .3963E+00 .000 .0000E+00
U.H. Tp(hrs)= .410	ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .226	INFLOW >08: (TOT-1B) 10.71 2.374 1.667 47.281
PEAK FLOW (cms) = .126 (i)	OVERFLOW<09: (SWM2) 10.71 .134 4.000 47.278 OVERFLOW<10: (OVF2) .00 .000 .000 .000
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 26.916	TOTAL NUMBER OF SIMULATED OVERFLOWS = 0
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 73.207 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .368	CUMULATIVE TIME OF OVERFLOWS (hours)= .00 PERCENTAGE OF TIME OVERFLOWING (%)= .00
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	
	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 5.655 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 140.00
100:0013 *# CATCHMENT 202 - PRIMONT REAR YARDS	MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=.4017E+00
CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .12	100:0017
02:202 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	*# CATCHMENT 702 - EXISTING LANDS WEST OF PRIMONT DRAINING TO TOWPATH DRAIN
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ba)= .05 .07	CALIB NASHYD Area (ha)= 1.93 Curve Number (CN)=74.00
Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92	U.H. Tp(hrs)= .410
Length $(m) = 7.00 7.00$	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .180
mannings n = .015250	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .100 (i)
Max.eff.inten.(mm/hr)= 142.99 94.55 over (min) 1.00 3.00	TIME TO PEAK $(hrs) = 2.150$ RUNOFF VOLUME $(mm) = 26.916$
Storage Coeff. (min)= .40 (11) 2.93 (11) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 3.00	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.207 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .368
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.56 .38 *TOTALS*	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .01 .02 .028 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.60 1.67 1.667	
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 72.21 31.75 41.867 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 73.21 73.21 73.207	100:0018 *# CATCHMENT 203 - PRIMONT REAR YARDS DRAINING TO TOWPATH DRAIN
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .43 .572	CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .19
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) 	02:203 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Dep. Storage $(mm) = 1.00$ 8.92 Dep. Storage $(k) = 2.00$
100.0014	Length $(m) = 7.00$ 7.00 Non-inverse
*# CATCHMENT 201 - PRIMONT LANDS	= .015 .250
CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= 8.16	wax.eff.inten.(mm/hf)= 142.99 94.55 over (min) 1.00 3.00
03:201 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 65.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 50.00	Storage Coeff. (min)= .40 (11) 2.93 (11) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 3.00
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 5.30 2.86	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.56 .38 *TOTALS*
Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= .50 2.00	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .02 .03 .045 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.60 1.67 1.667
Length (m) = 30.00 10.00 Mannings n = .015 .250	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 72.21 31.75 41.867 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.21 73.21 73.207
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 142.99 115.46	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .43 .572
over (min) 1.00 4.00 Storage Coeff (min)= 1.44 (ii) 4.34 (ii)	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: $CN^* = 74.0$ La = Dep Storage (Above)
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 4.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cmm)= 85 27	(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
TOTALS*	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
FEAR FLOW $(0.08)^{-1}$ 1.02 $.72$ 2.321 $(111)^{-1}$ TIME TO PEAK $(hrs)^{-1}$ 1.67 1.68 1.667 DUMOR VOLUME $(2.321)^{-1}$ 22.321 $(2.321)^{-1}$	
KONOFF VOLOME (IIIII) = 72.21 54.64 55.425 TOTAL RAINFALL (IIIII) = 73.21 73.21 73.207	*# CATCHMENT 204 - PRIMONT REAR YARDS - VARIOUS LOCATIONS DRAINING
KUNDEF CUEFFICIENT = .99 .4/ ./30	"# DRAINING TO TOWPATH DRAIN OK WETLAND
(1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: $CN^* = 74.0$ Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)	CALLE STANDHYD Area (ha)= .66 03:204 DT=1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00
(11) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Surface Area (ha)= .26 .40 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92
100:0015	Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Length (m)= 7.00 7.00
*# TOTAL POST-DEVELOPMENT FLOWS TO SWMF #2 *# PRIMONT LANDS + EXTERNAL LANDS UNDER EXISTING CONDITIONS	Mannings n = .015 .250
ADD HYD (TOT-1B) ID: NHYD AREA ODEAK TDEAK R V	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 142.99 94.55 over (min) 1.00 3.00
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)	Storage Coeff. (min)= .40 (ii) 2.93 (ii) Unit Hyd Tpeak (min)= .00 3.00
+ID2 02:202 .12 .028 1.67 41.87 .000	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.56 .38

Primont - Post-Development Output

PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFICI (i) CN PROCEL CN* = 74 (ii) TIME STEE THAN THE	(cms) = .07 (hrs) = 1.60 (mm) = 72.21 (mm) = 73.21 (ENT = .99 DURE SELECTED FOR PER 1.0 Ia = Dep. Stor: 2 (DT) SHOULD BE SMAL. STOPEAGE COMPETICIENT	.09 1.67 31.75 73.21 .43 VIOUS LOSSES: age (Above) LER OR EQUAL	*TOTALS* .155 (iii) 1.667 41.867 73.207 .572		Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 1.00 5.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .85 .23 PEAK FLOW (cms) = .71 .11 .814 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.67 1.70 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 72.21 30.87 59.805 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 73.21 73.21 73.207 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .42 .817 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 La = Dep. Storage (Above)
(iii) PEAK FLOW	V DOES NOT INCLUDE BA	SEFLOW IF ANY.			(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
100:0020	ED FLOWS				(111) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
ADD HYD (TOT-2B) ID: NHYD	AREA QPEAK	TPEAK R.V.	DWF	100:0025 *# ROUTE THROUGH SWMF #3
NOTE: DENK EVOID	ID1 01:702 +ID2 02:203 +ID3 03:204 ====================================	(ha) (cms) 1.93 .100 .19 .045 .66 .155 2.78 .219	(hrs) (mm) 2.15 26.92 1.67 41.87 1.67 41.87 1.67 31.49	(cms) .000 .000 .000 .000	ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min. IN>01:(301) Image: Construction of the step in the
NOIE: PEAK FLOWS	DO NOI INCLUDE BASE				.007 .3250E-01 .111 .3257E+00 .009 .5230E-01 .119 .3838E+00
100:0021	LOWS TO TOWPATH DRAIN	/WETLAND FROM NOR	TH SIDE		.010 .7470E-01 .545 .4158E+00 .027 .9990E-01 1.321 .4498E+00 .056 .1281E+00 2.325 .4857E+00 .071 .1595E+00 3.513 .5237E+00
ADD HYD (T-PST2) ID: NHYD ID1 09:SWM2	AREA QPEAK (ha) (cms) 10.71 .134	(hrs) (mm) 4.00 47.28	(cms)	.083 .1941E+00 .000 .0000E+00 ROUTING RESULTS AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V.
	+ID2 10:0VF2 +ID3 05:TOT-2B SUM 06:T-PST2	.00 .000 2.78 .219 13.49 .242	.00 .00 1.67 31.49	.000	INFLOW >01: (301) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW >01: (301) 2.55 .814 1.667 59.805 OUTFLOW (SWM3) 2.55 .046 3.200 59.805 OVEFLOW<03: (OVF3)
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS	5 DO NOT INCLUDE BASE	FLOWS IF ANY.			TOTAL NUMBER OF SIMULATED OVERFLOWS = 0
100:0022					PERCENTAGE OF TIME OF OVERFLOWS (hours)= .00 PERCENTAGE OF TIME OVERFLOWING (%)= .00
* * *#********************************	*************************************	**************************************	**************************************	*****	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 5.597 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 92.00 MAXIMUM STOPAGE USED (ba m)= 1182E+10
CALIB STANDHYD	Area (ha)=	.44			
10:205 DT= 1.00) Total Imp(%)= IMPERVIOUS	40.00 Dir. Con	n.(%)= 25.00		100:0026- *# TOTAL POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS DISCHARGE TO TOWPATH DRAIN FROM PRIMONT *# AND EXTERNAL LANDS TO EXISTING WATERCOURSE (CONTROLLED + INCONTROLLED)
Surface Area Dep. Storage	(ha)= .18 (mm)= 1.00	.26 8.92			ADD HYD (PSTTOT) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
Average Slope Length	(%)= 2.00 (m)= 7.00	2.00 7.00			(ha) (cms) (hm) (cms) ID1 04:T-PST1 7.56 .222 1.67 53.33 .000 ID2 06:m pcm2 13 40 242 1.67 53.00 000
Max.eff.Inten.((mm/hr)= 142.99	94.55			+ID2 02:SWM3 2.55 .046 3.20 59.80 .000 +ID4 03:OVF3 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00
over Storage Coeff.	(min) 1.00 (min)= .40 (3.00 ii) 2.93 (ii)			SUM 07:PSTTOT 23.60 .475 1.67 48.71 .000
Unit Hyd. Tpeak Unit Hyd. peak	(min)= 1.00 (cms)= 1.56	.38	*TOTALS*		NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COFFFICI	(cms) = .04 (hrs) = 1.60 (mm) = 72.21 (mm) = 73.21 FENT = .99	.06 1.67 31.75 73.21	.103 (iii) 1.667 41.867 73.207 .572		100:0027
(i) CN PROCEE	DURE SELECTED FOR PER	VIOUS LOSSES:			100:0002*
(ii) TIME STEF THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLOW	(DT) SHOULD BE SMAL STORAGE COEFFICIENT.	LER OR EQUAL			100:0002
100:0023					100:0002
ADD HYD (205) ID: NHYD	AREA QPEAK	TPEAK R.V.	DWF	100:0002*
	ID1 01:702	(ha) (cms) 1.93 .100	(hrs) (mm) 2.15 26.92	(cms)	100:0002
	SUM 10:205	.44 .103	1.67 41.87	.000	** END OF RUN : 124
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS	5 DO NOT INCLUDE BASE	FLOWS IF ANY.			*****
	*****	****	****	*****	
* *#**********************************	PRIMONT LANDS ON EAST	EAST SIDE OF PRIM ************************************	**************************************	*****	START Project dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ Rainfall dir.: C:\USERS\JORESK-1\DESKTOP\Primont\ TZERO = .00 hrs on 0 METOUT= 2 (output = METRIC) NRUN = 125 NSTORM= 1
01:301 DT= 1.00) Total Imp(%)=	75.00 Dir. Com	n.(%)= 70.00		# 1=25MM.STM
Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length Mannings n	IMPERVIOUS (ha) = 1.91 (mm) = 1.00 (%) = .50 (m) = 30.00 = .015	PERVIOUS (i) .64 8.92 2.00 10.00 .250			125:0002
Max.eff.Inten.(over Storage Coeff.	(mm/hr)= 142.99 r (min) 1.00 (min)= 1.44 (1)	84.20 5.00 ii) 4.73 (ii)			<pre>"# Company : WALTER FEDY # File : PRI-POST.DAT CN=74 to match UCC, Ia = 8.924mm ##**********************************</pre>

•	*TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= .25 .01 .250 (jij)					
*	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.82 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 24.04 3.95 16.003					
READ STORM Filename: 25mm 4-hr CHICAGO STORM Ptotal= 25.04 mm Comments: 25mm 4-hr CHICAGO STORM	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 25.04 25.04 25.041 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .96 .16 .639 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:					
TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr .17 1.476 1.17 4.128 2.17 5.720 3.17 2.070 .33 1.638 1.33 6.306 2.33 4.347 3.33 1.886 .50 1.847 1.50 14.454 2.50 3.527 3.50 1.734 .67 2.125 1.67 55.558 2.67 2.982 3.67 1.608 .83 2.515 1.83 16.925 2.83 2.592 3.83 1.500	<pre>(1) CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (i) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT, (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 125:0006</pre>					
1.00 3.109 2.00 8.495 3.00 2.299 4.00 1.407	*# TOTAL POST-DEVELOPMENT FLOWS TO SWMF #1					
125:0003	I ADD HID (TOT-LA) I DD: NMYD AREA QPEAR TPEAR R.V. DWF					
* #***********************************	125:0007					
CALLE STANDHYD Area (ha)= 3.13 01:601 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 70.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 55.00	ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min. IN>04:(TOT-1A)					
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = 2.19 .94 Dep. Storage (mm) = 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%) = .50 2.00 Length (m) = 30.00 10.00 Mannings n = .015 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 55.56 13.25 over (min) 2.00 9.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 2.11 (ii) 9.00 (ii)	OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .000 .0002F00 .194 .3012E+00 .003 .2520E-01 .218 .3376E+00 .008 .5150E-01 .240 .3752E+00 .012 .1073B+00 .278 .4541E+00 .023 .136B+00 .287 .4746E+00 .022 .136B+00 .287 .4746E+00 .040 .1674B+00 .126 .5156E+00 .043 .1674B+00 .2530 .6045E+00 .1515 .5599B+00 .132 .2320E+00 2.530 .0045E+00 .166 .2660E+00 .000 .0000E+00 .000 .0000E+00					
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .54 .13 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .26 .02 .274 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.80 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 24.04 4.64 15.310 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 25.04 25.04 25.041 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .96 .19 .611	ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW >04: (TOT-1A) 6.80 .570 1.667 14.645 OUTFLOW<05:					
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	TOTAL NUMBER OF SIMULATED OVERFLOWS = 0 CUMULATIVE TIME OF OVERFLOWS (hours) = .00 PERCENTAGE OF TIME OVERFLOWING (%) = .00 PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%) = 1.910 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min) = 142.00					
125:0004	MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=.9008E-01					
CALIE STANDHYD Area (ha)= 1.00 02:602 DT=1.00 Total Imp(%)= 30.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 30.00	125:0008- *# CATCHMENT 603 - MOUNTAINVIEW REAR YARDS DRAINING UNCONTROLLED TO TOWPATH DRAI					
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = .30 .70 Dep. Storage (mm) = 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (k) = .50 2.00 Length (m) = 30.00 10.00 Mannings n = .015 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 55.56 3.47 over (min) 2.00 14.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 2.11 (ii) 13.89 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 2.10 14.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 2.00 14.00 TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = .54 .08 *TOTALS* *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = .05 .00 .046 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.67 2.00 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 25.04 25.04 25.041 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = .36 .10 .357 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: .01 .357 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: .00 .357	CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .37 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Length (m)= 10.00 20.00 Mannings n = .015 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 55.56 6.82 over (min) 1.00 14.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .72 (ii) 14.35 (ii) Unit Hyd. peak (mm)= 1.00 14.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.28 .08 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= 1.65 1.95 1.667 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 25.04 25.04 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .96					
(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 					
*# CATCHMENT 101 - PRIMONT LANDS SOUTH OF WATERCOURSE CALLE STANDHYD Area (ha)= 2.67 03:201 DT=1.00 Total Imp(%)= 70.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 60.00	125:0009					
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= 1.87 .80 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= .50 2.00 Length (m)= 30.00 10.00 Mannings n = .015 .250	U2:102 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 65.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 60.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= .25 .14 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92 Average Slope (%)= 2.50 2.00 Length (m)= 70.00 10.00					
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 55.56 9.14 over (min) 2.00 10.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 2.11 (ii) 10.10 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 2.00 10.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .54 .11	Mannings n = .015 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 55.56 5.45 over (min) 2.00 12.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 2.16 (ii) 12.00 (ii)					
Unit Hyd. Tpeak Unit Hyd. peak	(min) = 2.00 (cms) = .53	12.00 .09				Length (m)= 30.00 10.00 Mannings n = .015 .250
--	--	--	--------------------------	-----------------------	--------------	--
PEAK FLOW	(cms)= .04 (brs)= 1.67	.00	*TOTALS .036 1.667	* (iii)		Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 55.56 11.62
RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFICI	(mm) = 24.04 (mm) = 25.04 ENT = .96	3.12 25.04 .12	15.671 25.041 .626			Storage Coeff. (min) = 2.11 (ii) 9.37 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 2.00 9.00 00 Unit Hyd. peak (mis) = .54 .12
(i) CN PROCEDU CN* - 74	URE SELECTED FOR PER	VIOUS LOSSES:				*TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= .62 .06 .650 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (brs)= 1.67 1.80 1.667
(ii) TIME STEP THAN THE : (iii) PEAK FLOW	(DT) SHOULD BE SMAL STORAGE COEFFICIENT. DOES NOT INCLUDE BA	LER OR EQUAL				RUNOFF VOLUME (nm) = 24.04 4.35 14.194 TOTAL RAINFALL (nm) = 25.04 25.04 25.041 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .96 .17 .557
125.0010						(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
*# TOTAL UNCONTROLLE	D FLOW 					(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
ADD HYD (TOT-2A) ID: NHYD	AREA QPEAK (ha) (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)	DWF (cms)	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
	+ID2 02:102	.37 .015 .39 .036	1.67	8.70 15.67	.000	
	SUM 03:TOT-2A	.76 .051	1.67	12.28	.000	*# PRIMONT LANDS + EXTERNAL LANDS UNDER EXISTING CONDITIONS
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS	DO NOT INCLUDE BASE	FLOWS IF ANY.				ADD HYD (TOT-1B) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) TD1 01:701 2.43 010 2.30 2.47 000
125:0011	OWS TO TOWPATH DRAIN	FROM SOUTH SIDE				+ID2 02:202 .12 .005 1.67 8.70 .000 +ID3 03:201 8.16 .650 1.67 14.19 .000
*# CONTROLLED + UNC	ONTROLLED					SUM 08:TOT-1B 10.71 .656 1.67 11.47 .000
ADD HYD (T-PST1) ID: NHYD ID1 05:SWM1	AREA QPEAK (ha) (cms) 6.80 011	(hrs)	R.V. (mm) 14 64	DWF (cms)	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
	+ID2 06:0VF1 +ID3 03:TOT-2A	.00 .000 .76 .051	.00 1.67	.00	.000	125:0016
	SUM 04:T-PST1	7.56 .057	1.67	14.41	.000	*# ROUTE THROUGH SWMF #2
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS	DO NOT INCLUDE BASE	FLOWS IF ANY.				ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min. IN>08:(TOT-1B)
125:0012						OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.)
*						.000 .0000E+00 .150 .4478E+00 .003 .3830E-01 .166 .5008E+00
*# SWMF #2 - NORTH S	IDE OF TOWPATH DRAIN	*****	******	******	******	.015 .1191E+00 .193 .6117E+00 .015 .1191E+00 .205 .6695E+00
*# CATCHMENT 701 - E *# CAPTURED IN REAR	XISTING LANDS WEST O YARD CB'S. THIS AREA	F PRIMONT TO HAVE ITS OWN	FUTURE SW	M WITH	OUTLET	.027 .2056E+00 .211 .6990E+00 .045 .2510E+00 .645 .7594E+00
*# TO WATECOURSE			1 (1			.068 .2979E+00 1.428 .8215E+00 .112 .3463E+00 2.439 .8852E+00
CALIB NASHYD 01:701 DT= 1.00	Area (ha)= Ia (mm)= UH_Tp(brs)=	2.43 Curve Nu 8.924 # of Lin 410	mber (C ear Res.(N)=74.0 N)= 3.0	0	.132 .3963E+00 .000 .0000E+00
Unit Hyd Opeak	(cms)= .226	.410				(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW >08: (TOT-1B) 10.71 .655 1.667 11.471
PEAK FLOW	(cms)= .010 (i)					OUTFLOW<09: (SWM2) 10.71 .014 4.050 11.470 OVERFLOW<10:
TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL	(hrs) = 2.300 (mm) = 2.465 (mm) = 25.041					TOTAL NUMBER OF SIMULATED OVERFLOWS = 0 CUMULATIVE TIME OF OVERFLOWS (hours) = 00
RUNOFF COEFFICI	ENT = .098					PERCENTAGE OF TIME OVERFLOWING (%) = .00
(i) PEAK FLOW D	OES NOT INCLUDE BASE	FLOW IF ANY.				PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%) = 2.186
125:0013 *# CATCHMENT 202 - PI	RIMONT REAR YARDS					MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=.1104E+00
CALIB STANDHYD	Area (ha)=	.12	(8)	25 00		125:0017
02:202 DT= 1.00	<pre>Total Imp(%)= TMPERVIOUS</pre>	40.00 Dir. Con	n.(%)=	25.00		*# CATCHMENT 702 - EXISTING LANDS WEST OF PRIMONT DRAINING TO TOWPATH DRAIN
Surface Area Dep. Storage	(ha) = .05 (mm) = 1.00	.07 8.92				01:702 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 8.924 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .410
Average Slope Length	(%) = 2.00 (m) = 7.00	2.00 7.00				Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .180
Mannings n Max.eff.Inten.()	= .015 mm/hr)= 55.56	8.92				PEAK FLOW (cms)= .008 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 2.300
over Storage Coeff.	(min) 1.00 (min)= .58 (7.00 ii) 7.10 (ii)				RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 2.465 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 25.041
Unit Hyd. Tpeak Unit Hyd. peak	(min)= 1.00 (cms)= 1.40	7.00 .16	+=0=1			RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .098
PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK	(cms) = .00 (hrs) = 1.62	.00	.005 1.667	(iii)		(1) PEAR FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANT.
RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL	(mm) = 24.04 (mm) = 25.04	3.59 25.04	8.702 25.041			125:0018 *# CATCHMENT 203 - PRIMONT REAR YARDS DRAINING TO TOWPATH DRAIN
RUNOFF COEFFICI	ENT = .96	.14	.348			CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .19
(1) CN FROCED CN* = 74 (11) TIME STEP	.0 Ia = Dep. Stor (DT) SHOULD BE SMAL	age (Above) LER OR EOUAL				
(iii) PEAK FLOW	STORAGE COEFFICIENT. DOES NOT INCLUDE BA	SEFLOW IF ANY.				Surface Area (ha)= .08 .11 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92
125.0014						Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Length (m)= 7.00 7.00 Montinge p = 015 250
*# CATCHMENT 201 - PI	RIMONT LANDS					Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 55.56 8.92
CALIB STANDHYD 03:201 DT= 1.00	Area (ha)= Total Imp(%)=	8.16 65.00 Dir. Con	n.(%)=	50.00		over (min) 1.00 7.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .58 (ii) 7.10 (ii)
	IMPERVIOUS	PERVIOUS (i)				Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 7.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.40 .16
Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope	(mn) = 5.30 (mm) = 1.00 (%) = .50	2.86 8.92 2.00				PEAK FLOW (cms)= .01 .00 .008 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.62 1.77 1.667

(C:\...Pri-POST.out)

RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 24.04 3.59 8.702 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 25.04 25.04 25.041	(ha) (cms) (hm) (cms) ID1 01:702 1.93 .008 2.30 2.47 .000
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .96 .14 .348	SUM 10:205 .44 .019 1.67 8.70 .000
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 74.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL 	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	 125:0024
	**
125:0019- # CATCHMENT 204 - PRIMONT REAR YARDS - VARIOUS LOCATIONS DRAINING *# DRAINING TO TOWPATH DRAIN OR WETLAND	*#************************************
CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= .66 03:204 DT=1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	*# *# CATCHMENT 301 - PRIMONT LANDS ON EAST SIDE AJACENT FIRST AVENUE
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	CALIB STANDHYD Area (ha)= 2.55 01:301 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 75.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 70.00
Surface Area (ha)= .26 .40 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Length (m)= 7.00 7.00	Surface Area (ha)= 1.91 .64 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92
Mannings n = .015 .250	Average Slope (%)= .50 2.00
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 55.56 8.92	$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{Mannings n} & = & .015 & .250 \end{array}$
over (min) 1.00 7.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .58 (ii) 7.10 (ii)	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 55.56 6.50
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 7.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.40 .16	over (min) 2.00 11.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 2.11 (ii) 11.27 (ii)
TOTALS	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 2.00 11.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 54 10
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.63 1.77 1.667	TOTALS*
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 25.04 5.59 8.702	PEAR FLOW (Cms)= .27 .01 .275 (111) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.67 1.87 1.667
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .96 .14 .348	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 24.04 3.37 17.839 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 25.04 25.04 25.041
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .96 .13 .712
(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
	THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
125:0020 ## TOTAL UNCONTROLLED FLOWS	
	125:0025
$\begin{array}{c} \text{ADD} \text{ HD} & (101-2\text{ B}) & (101-2\text$	
+ID2 02:203 .19 .008 2.30 2.47 .000	IN>01:(301) Requested routing time step = 1.0 min.
+ID3 03:204 .66 .029 1.67 8.70 .000	OUT<02:(SWM3) ======= OUTLFOW STORAGE TABLE ======== OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE
SUM 05:TOT-2B 2.78 .038 1.67 4.37 .000	(cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .000 .0000E+00 .093 .2320E+00
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	.004 .1510E-01 .103 .2752E+00 007 3250E-01 111 3257E+00
105.0001	.009 .5230E-01 .119 .3838E+00
*# TOTAL POST-DEV FLOWS TO TOWPATH DRAIN/WETLAND FROM NORTH SIDE	.010 .7470E-01 .545 .4158E+00 .027 .9990E-01 1.321 .4498E+00
*# CONTROLLED + UNCONTROLLED	.056 .1281E+00 2.325 .4857E+00 .071 .1595E+00 3.513 .5237E+00
ADD HYD (T-PST2) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF	.083 .1941E+00 .000 .0000E+00
ID1 09:SWM2 10.71 .014 4.05 11.47 .000	ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
+ID3 05:TOT-2B 2.78 .038 1.67 4.37 .000	INFLOW >01: (301) 2.55 .275 1.667 17.839
SUM 06:T-PST2 13.49 .043 1.67 10.01 .000	OUTFDUW<02:
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	TOTAL NUMBER OF SIMULATED OVERFLOWS = 0 CUMULATIVE TIME OF OVERFLOWS (bours) = 00
125:0022	PERCENTAGE OF TIME OVERFLOWING (%) = .00
e e	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Oout/Oin](%)= 2.716
*#***********************************	TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min) = 141.00
10:205 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 40.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 25.00	125:0026
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	*# AND EXTERNAL LANDS TO EXISTING WATERCOURSE (CONTROLLED + UNCONTROLLED)
Surface Area (ha)= .18 .26 Dep. Storage (mm)= 1.00 8.92	ADD HYD (PSTTOT) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Length (m)= 7.00 7.00	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) TDI 04:T-PSTI 7 56 057 1 67 14 41 000
Mannings n = .015 .250	+ID2 06:T-PST2 13.49 .043 1.67 10.01 .000
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 55.56 8.92	+ID4 03:0VF3 .00 .000 .00 .00 .00
over (min) 1.00 7.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .58 (ii) 7.10 (ii)	SUM 07:PSTTOT 23.60 .105 1.67 12.26 .000
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 7.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.40 .16	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
TOTALS*	
$\frac{1000}{\text{TIME TO PEAK}} (hrs) = 1.63 \qquad 1.77 \qquad 1.667$	125:0027
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 24.04 3.59 8.702 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 25.04 25.04 25.041	* RUN REMAINING DESIGN STORMS (WELLAND DESIGN STORMS 5 TO 100-YR) *
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .96 .14 .348	125:0002
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	*
(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL	125:0002
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	
	125:0002*
125:0023	125:0002
ADD HYD (205) D: NHYD AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWR	*

WalterFedy

(C:\...Pri-POST.out)

125:0002*	
*	
FINISH	

WARNINGS / ERRORS / NOTES

Simulation ended on 2024-05-23 at 14:45:16

APPENDIX E

FUS Fire Protection Calculations

REQUIRED FIRE FLOW Water Supply for Public Fire P	rotection (FUS 2020)		WA	LTERFE	DY
Project Project # Designer Address Description	Primont, Welland - Phase 1 South 2022-0091-10 Quaker Lane, Welland Proposed Fire Demand - Site Plan	of Townhomes			
$F = 220 \times C \times \sqrt{2}$	F = Require C = Coeffic A = Total fl basement	ed fire flow (LP ient related to oor area (inclu levels at least	M) type of co iding all sto 50% belov	onstruction oreys but excluding any v grade)	
Type of Construction Description	Ordinary Construction Brick and Masonry Walls, Combu	stible Interiors	S	C =	1.0
Total Floor Area # Storeys Fire Resistant Building? Vertical Openings and Exterior V	275 m ² 2 NO /ertical Communications protected wi	th minimum on	e (1) hr rati	ing? NO	
Area Description	550 m ² Total Floor Area				
Required Fire Flow	5000 L/min				
Occupancy Charge Fire Flow Reduction Required Fire Flow	Limited-Combustible Contents-15%OR4250L/min	L/min			
Automated Sprinker Protecti Designed to NFPA 13 Standard Standard Water Supply to Spr Fully Supervised System Fire Flow Adjustment	on d inklers and Standpipes	NO YES YES YES	0% 0% 0% 0	_/min	
Exposure 1 (North) Description	Distance 0 m SWM facility. Open space	Charge	0%		
Exposure 2 (East) Description	Distance 0 m Open space	Charge	0%		
Exposure 3 (West) Description	Distance 2.4 m Neighbouring block	Charge	25%		
Exposure 4 (South) Description	Distance18mUnits across the street	Charge	15%		
Total Exposure Charge Fire Flow Adjustment			40% 1700	_/min	
Total Required Fire Flow Total Required Fire Flow Total Required Fire Flow			6000 1585 100	_/min J.S. GPM _/s	

REQUIRED FIRE FLOW Water Supply for Public Fire P	rotection (FUS 2020)		WALTERFEDY
Project Project # Designer Address Description	Primont, Welland - Phase 1 South 2022-0091-10 Quaker Lane, Welland Proposed Fire Demand - Street T	n of ownhomes - 4 u	units
$F=220\times C\times v$	F = Requir C = Coeffi A = Total basemen	red fire flow (LP cient related to floor area (inclu t levels at least	PM) o type of construction uding all storeys but excluding any 50% below grade)
Type of Construction Description	Ordinary Construction Brick and Masonry Walls, Comb	ustible Interiors	C = 1.0
Total Floor Area # Storeys Fire Resistant Building? Vertical Openings and Exterior N	385 m ² 2 NO /ertical Communications protected w	/ith minimum on	ne (1) hr rating? NO
Area Description	770 m ² Total Floor Area		
Required Fire Flow	6000 L/min		
Occupancy Charge Fire Flow Reduction Required Fire Flow	Limited-Combustible Contents-15%OR-9005100L/min	L/min	
Automated Sprinker Protecti Designed to NFPA 13 Standar Standard Water Supply to Spr Fully Supervised System Fire Flow Adjustment	on d inklers and Standpipes	NO YES YES YES	0% 0% 0% 0 L/min
Exposure 1 (North) Description	Distance 0 m Creek block	Charge	0%
Exposure 2 (East) Description	Distance 37 m	Charge	0%
Exposure 3 (West) Description	Distance 14 m	Charge	15%
Exposure 4 (South) Description	Distance 2.4 m Neighbouring block	Charge	25%
Total Exposure Charge Fire Flow Adjustment			40% 2040 L/min
Total Required Fire Flow Total Required Fire Flow Total Required Fire Flow			7000 L/min 1849 U.S. GPM 117 L/s

REQUIRED FIRE FLOW Water Supply for Public Fire P	rotection (FUS 2020)	WALTERFEDY						
Project Project # Designer Address Description	Primont, Welland - Phase 1 South of 2022-0091-10 Quaker Lane, Welland Proposed Fire Demand - Back-to-Back Site Plan Units							
$F = 220 \times C \times \sqrt{A}$ $F = \text{Required fire flow (LPM)}$ $C = \text{Coefficient related to type of construction}$ $A = \text{Total floor area (including all storeys but excluding any basement levels at least 50% below grade)}$								
Type of Construction Description	Ordinary Construction Brick and Masonry Walls, Combustible Interio	C = 1.0						
Total Floor Area # Storeys Fire Resistant Building? Vertical Openings and Exterior V	255 m ² 1 firewall dividing block 3 NO /ertical Communications protected with minimum communications	k one (1) hr rating? NO						
Area Description	765 m ² Total Floor Area							
Required Fire Flow	6000 L/min							
Occupancy Charge Fire Flow Reduction Required Fire Flow	Limited-Combustible Contents-15%OR-9005100L/min							
Automated Sprinker Protecti Designed to NFPA 13 Standard Standard Water Supply to Spr Fully Supervised System Fire Flow Adjustment	on NO d YES inklers and Standpipes YES YES	0% 0% 0% L/min						
Exposure 1 (North)	Distance 18 m Charge	15%						
Description Exposure 2 (East) Description	Units across the street Distance 0 m Charge Open space area	0%						
Exposure 3 (West) Description	Distance 0 m Charge Firewall. Fully protected. No charge.	0%						
Exposure 4 (South) Description	Distance17mChargeUnits across street to south	15%						
Total Exposure Charge Fire Flow Adjustment	[30% 1530 L/min						
Total Required Fire Flow Total Required Fire Flow Total Required Fire Flow	-	7000 L/min 1849 U.S. GPM 117 L/s						

APPENDIX F

Geotechnical Investigation

SOIL-MAT ENGINEERS & CONSULTANTS LTD.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, ON · L8E 2Z3

🌐 www.soil-mat.ca 🖾 info@soil-mat.ca 🔇 905.318.7440 / 800.243.1922 (toll free) 🖶 905.318.7455

PROJECT NO.: SM 220530-G

April 14, 2023 Revised: June 14, 2024

PRIMONT (THOROLD/WELLAND) INC. 9130 Leslie Street, Suite 301 Richmond Hill, Ontario L4B 0B9

Attention: Ian MacPherson, P.Eng. Vice President Land Development

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION AND HYDROGEOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT QUAKER ROAD AND FIRST AVENUE WELLAND, ONTARIO

Dear Mr. MacPherson,

Further to your authorisation, SOIL-MAT ENGINEERS & CONSULTANTS LTD. has completed the fieldwork, laboratory testing, and report preparation in connection with the above noted project. The scope of work was completed in general accordance with our proposal P220530, dated October 25, 2022. Our comments and recommendations based on our findings at the thirteen [13] borehole locations are presented in the following paragraphs.

1. INTRODUCTION

We understand that the project will involve the construction of a residential development consisting of low-rise buildings [townhouse and single family dwellings], as well as possibly a number of mid-rise buildings up to perhaps 8 to 12 stories and 1 to 2 basement levels. The development will involve regrading of the site, including placement of engineered fill, installation of municipal services and roadway construction, along with associated storm water management ponds. It is noted that, a preliminary geotechnical report has been prepared for the site by DS Consultants Ltd [Project No. 21-339-300, dated July 22, 2022]. The information presented in that report has been referenced in the planning and reporting of this supplemental geotechnical investigation.

The purpose of this supplemental geotechnical investigation work is to further assess the subsurface soil conditions, and to provide our comments and recommendations with respect to the design and construction of the proposed development, from a geotechnical point of view.

This report is based on the above summarised project description, and on the assumption that the design and construction will be performed in accordance with the applicable codes



and standards. Any significant deviations from the proposed project design may void the recommendations given in this report. If significant changes are made to the proposed design, this office must be consulted to review the new design with respect to the results of this investigation.

2. PROCEDURE

A total of thirteen [13] sampled boreholes were advanced at the locations illustrated in the attached Drawing No. 1, Borehole Location Plan. These are in addition to nineteen [19] boreholes previously advanced and reported by DS Consultants Ltd. The current boreholes were advanced using continuous flight power auger equipment from December 6 to 9, 2022 under the direction and supervision of a staff member of SOIL-MAT ENGINEERS & CONSULTANTS LTD., to termination depths of approximately 6.7 to 20.4 metres below the existing ground surface.

Upon completion of drilling, ground water monitoring wells were installed at Borehole Nos. 5, 7, and 11 to allow for future measurements of the groundwater level. The monitoring wells consist of 50-millimetre diameter PVC pipe, screened in the lower 3.0 metres. The wells were encased in well filter sand up to approximately 0.3 metres above the screened portion, then with bentonite 'hole plug' up to the surface and fitted with a protective steel 'stick up' casing. The remainder of the boreholes were backfilled in general accordance with Ontario Regulation 903, and the grade reinstated even with the surrounding ground surface. It is noted that a total of thirteen [13] monitoring wells were installed as part of the previous investigation by DS Consultants Ltd. and are found to still be intact and have been utilized in preparation of this report.

Representative samples of the subsoils were recovered from the borings at selected depth intervals using split barrel sampling equipment driven in accordance with the requirements of ASTM test specification D1586, Standard Penetration Resistance Testing. After undergoing a general field examination, the soil samples were preserved and transported to the SOIL-MAT laboratory for visual, tactile, and olfactory classifications. Routine moisture content tests were preformed on all soil samples recovered from the borings, with hand penetrometer testing conducted on all cohesive samples. Additionally, six [6] selected soil samples were subject to grain size analysis.

The boreholes were located in the field by representatives of SOIL-MAT ENGINEERS & CONSULTANTS LTD., based on accessibility over the site and clearance of underground utilities. The ground surface elevation at the borehole locations has been referenced to the geodetic elevations of existing monitoring wells, installed by DS consultants Ltd., during their preliminary site investigation.

Details of the conditions encountered in the boreholes, together with the results of the field and laboratory tests, are presented in Log of Boreholes Nos. 1 to 13 inclusive, following



the text of this report. It is noted that the boundaries of soil types indicated on the borehole logs are inferred from non-continuous soil sampling and observations made while drilling. These boundaries are intended to reflect transition zones for the purpose of geotechnical design and therefore should not be construed at the exact depths of geological change.

3. SITE DESCRIPTION AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The subject site is located at the north-west of the intersection of Quaker Road and First Avenue, in Welland, Ontario. The subject site is bounded to the North by wetlands, to the east by First Avenue, to the south by Quaker Road and to the west by Rice Road and some residential properties. The subject site has relatively flat and even topography, with an overall relief of approximately 2 to 3 metres across the site. The property is largely vacant agricultural land, with a large woodlot present over the northern portion. There are two natural drainage courses crossing east-west across the property.

The subsurface conditions encountered at the borehole locations are summarised as follows:

Topsoil

A surficial veneer of topsoil approximately 150 to 300 millimetres in thickness was encountered at all borehole locations. It is noted that the depth of topsoil may vary across the site and from the depths encountered at the borehole locations. It is also noted that the term 'topsoil' has been used from a geotechnical point of view, and does not necessarily reflect its nutrient content or ability to support plant life. Given the current use of the property is for agricultural purposes the upper levels of the soils would be expected to have a reworked nature with a variable topsoil depth based on historical working of the field, ranging from undiscernible to greater depths of topsoil. As such, it is recommended that a conservative approach be taken when estimating topsoil quantities across the site.

Silty Clay/Clayey Silt

Native silty clay/clayey silt was encountered beneath the topsoil veneer at all the borehole locations. The native cohesive soil was brown to reddish brown in colour, transitioning to grey below about 2.5 to 5 metres depth in most locations, contained occasional gravel, and was firm to very stiff in consistency. Some organics were noted in upper levels of silty clay/clayey silt soils, likely associated with historical tilling of the agricultural field. The silty clay was proven to depths of between approximately 2.2 and 8.6 metres below the existing ground surface at all borehole locations, and to termination in Borehole Nos. 5, 11 and 13. The silty clay exhibits the properties of a 'weathered crust' in the upper about 2.5 to 4 metres, stiff to very stiff in consistency, and good relative shear strength. With depth the silty clay deposit tends to become firm to soft, with lower shear strengths. This firm zone is variable across the site, ranging in thickness from effectively zero to as much as perhaps 3 to 4 metres, generally more over the central and eastern portion of the site. This is fairly



typical of the overburden clays in the Niagara Peninsula, with the upper 'crust' typically being over consolidated, and the firm zone tending to be normally to only slightly over consolidated.

Sandy Silt/Silt

At all borehole locations, native sandy silt/silt soil was encountered beneath the native silty clay/clayey silt soil, with the exception of Borehole Nos. 5, 11 and 13. The native fine grained granular soil was reddish brown in colour, with occasional gravel, trace clay, and was generally in a compact state. The sandy silt/silt soil was proven to termination at depths of between approximately 6.7 and 20.4 metres at all borehole locations.

As noted above, grain size analyses were conducted on six selected samples of the native soils encountered. The results of this testing can be found appended to the end of this report, and are summarized as follows:

Sample ID	Depth	% Clay	% Silt	% Sand	% Gravel	Hydraulic Conductivity, k [cm/s]
BH1 SS6	4.5 m	60	39	1	0	10 ⁻⁷
BH1 SS9	9.1 m	14	82	4	0	10 ⁻⁷
BH3 SS5	3.0 m	11	78	11	0	10 ⁻⁶
BH6 SS4	2.2 m	45	51	4	0	10 ⁻⁸
BH7 SS3	1.5 m	61	38	1	0	10 ⁻⁸
BH11 SS4	2.2 m	66	31	2	1	10 ⁻⁸

 TABLE A – GRAIN SIZE ANALYSES

The field and laboratory testing demonstrate the native soils within the upper few metres to predominantly consist of silty clay with traces of sand to silt to sandy silt with some clay at lower levels. According to the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), the soils are classified as C.L. – inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, silty clays to M.L. – Inorganic silts, with very fine sand or clayey silts with slight plasticity. The clay and silt soils would generally behave as a cohesive material with slight to medium plasticity, and low hydraulic conductivity, on the order of 10^{-7} to 10^{-8} cm/sec, and would be of low permeability to effectively impermeable.

These low permeable cohesive soils encountered would generally be considered suitable to provide an impermeable liner for the proposed stormwater management ponds, however further assessment in the area of the SWM pond at the depths of the proposed pond base would be warranted based on the increased silt content noted at depth.



A review of available published information [Quaternary Geology of Ontario, Southern Sheet Map 2556] indicate the subsurface soils to consist of fine-textured glaciolacustrine deposits of silt and clay with minor sand and gravel. This is consistent with our experience in the area, the above analyses, and our observations during drilling, as well as the conditions reported in the previous boreholes by DS Consultants.

Groundwater Observations

Borehole Nos. 2, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 13 were recorded as being 'wet' upon completion of drilling at depths of approximately between 4.8 to 6.7 metres below existing ground surface. All other borehole locations were recorded as 'dry' upon completion of drilling. It is noted that insufficient time would have passed for the static groundwater level to stabilise in the open boreholes. As noted above, monitoring wells were installed at Borehole Nos. 5, 7 and 11 to allow for future measurements of the static groundwater level. The details of the monitoring well installation, as well as the groundwater measurements taken, have been summarised as follows:

BH No.	Ground Surface Elev. (m)	Date of Observation	Groundwater Depth (m)	Groundwater Elevation (m)
		Jan 18, 2023	0.80	182.32
BH5	183.1	Feb 24, 2023	0.57	182.55
		August 17, 2023	1.22	181.88
BH7		Jan 3, 2023	1.60	181.65
	183.5	Jan 18, 2023	1.42	182.1
		Feb 24, 2023	1.28	182.24
		Date of Observation Groun Dept Jan 18, 2023 0 Feb 24, 2023 0 August 17, 2023 1 Jan 3, 2023 1 Jan 18, 2023 1 Jan 3, 2023 1 Jan 18, 2023 1 Jan 3, 2023 1 Jan 18, 2023 1 Jan 18, 2023 1 Jan 3, 2023 1 Jan 3, 2023 1 Jan 3, 2023 0 Jan 18, 2023 0	1.29	182.21
		Jan 3, 2023	0.0	183.23
BH11	183.2	Jan 18, 2023	-0.22	183.45
		Feb 24, 2023	-0.12	183.35
		August 17, 2023	-0.11	183.31

TABLE B.1 – SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER MEASUREMENTS(SM 220530-G)

TABLE B.2 – SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER MEASUREMENTS
(DS PROJECT NO. 21-339-300)

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION AND HYDROGEOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT QUAKER ROAD AND FIRST AVENUE WELLAND, ONTARIO



	102 E	Nov 11, 2021	1.26	182.2
BH21-1	183.5	May 10, 2022	-0.80	184.3
		Nov 11, 2021	0.7	183.4
BH21-2	184.1	May 10, 2022	0.68	183.4
		June 27, 2022	0.54	183.6
	190.4	Nov 11, 2021	0.35	182.1
DH21-3	102.4	May 10, 2022	0.52	181.9
		Nov 11, 2021	0.54	183.6
BH21-6	184.1	May 10, 2022	0.66	183.4
		Nov 11, 2021 1.26 18 May 10, 2022 -0.80 18 Nov 11, 2021 0.7 18 1 May 10, 2022 0.68 18 June 27, 2022 0.54 18 4 Nov 11, 2021 0.35 18 4 Nov 11, 2021 0.35 18 4 Nov 11, 2021 0.52 18 1 May 10, 2022 0.52 18 1 May 10, 2022 0.66 18 June 27, 2022 0.78 18 6 May 10, 2022 1.11 18 June 27, 2022 1.12 18 5 May 10, 2022 0.77 18 June 27, 2022 0.97 18 June 27, 2022 0.97 18 June 27, 2022 0.57 18 June 27, 2022 0.57 18 June 27, 2022 0.57 18 June 27, 2022 0.55 18 May 10, 2022 0.56	183.3	
		Nov 11, 2021	1.37	182.2
BH21-8	183.6	May 10, 2022	1.11	182.5
		June 27, 2022	1.12	182.5
		Nov 11, 2021	0.25	183.3
BH21-9	183.5	May 10, 2022	-0.5	184.0
		June 27, 2022	0.97	182.5
BH21-11	184.3	Nov 11, 2021	3.47	180.8
		May 10, 2022	0.77	183.5
		183.5 May 10, 2022 -0.5 June 27, 2022 0.97 Nov 11, 2021 3.47 184.3 May 10, 2022 0.77 June 27, 2022 0.57 Nov 11, 2021 0.55 183.4 Nov 11, 2021 0.55	183.7	
	102 /	Nov 11, 2021	0.55	182.8
DH21-13	103.4	May 10, 2022	0.52	182.9
	192.6	Nov 11, 2021	0.56	183.1
DHZ1-14	103.0	May 10, 2022	0.67	182.9
		Nov 11, 2021	4.43	179.0
BH21-16	183.4	May 10, 2022	0.54	182.9
		June 27, 2022	0.72	182.7
		March 25, 2022	1.57	182.7
BH22-01	184.3	April 12, 2022	1.33	183.0
		June 27, 2022	1.18	183.1
BH33 03	182.6	April 12, 2022	1.15	182.4
01122-02	103.0	June 27, 2022	0.17	183.4
		March 25, 2022	0.15	183.8
BH22-03	184.0	April 12, 2022	0.28	183.7
		June 27, 2022	0.87	183.1

Data loggers were also installed at selected monitoring well locations to allow for continuous measurement of the groundwater level between January 3, 2023 and January 18, 2023. Selected monitoring wells were purged dry and reinstalled with second set of data loggers on January 18, 2023 to allow continuous measurement of groundwater level



between January 18, 2023 and August 17, 2023. The data obtained from these data loggers have been illustrated as follows:









The available ground water data to date indicates a groundwater level on the order of approximately 0.5 to 1.5 metres below the existing ground surface, varying with the physical topography. This corresponds to groundwater elevations of approximately 182.5 to 183.8 metres. It is noted that indication of a slight artesian condition was noted in well installed at Borehole No. 11 with ground water level noted above existing ground surface. Given the span of time and time of the year the readings have been taken, it is anticipated



that the observed readings would be indicative of a 'seasonal' high during the wet spring season. Depending on the depth of the proposed excavation and timing of construction, it may be prudent to advance a series of test pits to assess first hand the effect groundwater conditions on the proposed excavations during earthworks and servicing.

4. EARTHWORKS AND GRADING CONSIDERATIONS

As noted above, the subsurface soils within the depths of construction, or influence of construction, are predominantly silty clay. The silty clay has a typical very stiff 'weathered crust' in the upper about 2.5 to 4 metres, underlain by a variable firm to soft zone ranging in thickness from effectively zero to as much as perhaps 4 metres. The very stiff 'crust' tends to be over consolidated, while the 'soft' zone tends to be normally to slightly over consolidated. The presence of the underlying normally to slightly over consolidated clay layer does present the potential for consolidation settlements as a result of stress change such as from the placement of fill to raise the grade.

Reviewing the current proposed grading plan the depth of fill placement over the site is on the order of approximately 0.5 to 3 metres, with isolated peak depths of as much as 4 metres. In general, the depth of fill placement over areas of the site where the 'soft' clay zone is present tend to be on the order of 2.5 metres and less. Such a modest raise in the grade is not considered likely to mobilise significant consolidation settlements, and can be readily accommodated through suitable planning and staging of the earthworks.

The degree of potential settlements associated with the proposed grading would be relatively low, though not zero. However, any such minor settlements would occur over a wide area, based on a wide area of fill placement, such that there would be essentially negligible potential for acute or significant differential settlements over a short distance. This further reduces the degree of concern for potential consolidation settlements that would affect the support of services, roads or foundations.

A further consideration with respect to potential for consolidation settlement of the underlying soft to firm clay, is the timeline of such settlements to occur. While larger potential settlements can take several months or even years to manifest, considering the degree of load change [i.e. raise in grade] any such minor consolidation settlements would be likely to occur within a timeframe on the order of perhaps 3 to 9 months. As such, it would be prudent to consider the schedule of earthworks and site development to further minimize the potential for settlements to impact the site development. In this case, the greatest depth of fill placement should be conducted at the outset of earthworks. Where possible the fill works should be conducted to pregrade level sufficiently in advance of site servicing, ideally allowing a delay of 3 to 6 months. This will allow the majority of any



potential settlements to occur prior to the completion of site servicing and roadway construction, such that support for proposed houses would be unaffected.

As noted above, the static groundwater level tends to be relatively shallow across much of the site, on the order of 0.5 to 1.5 metres below the existing grade, in the range of 182.5 to 183.8 metres elevation. In this regard, raising the grade of the site as much as possible will be helpful to reduce the potential for interaction with the groundwater during development. It is recommended that the design basement elevations be a minimum of 0.5 metres, and preferably greater as much as possible, above the establish groundwater level. The current preliminary grading plan indicates the grade to be rising by approximately 0.5 to as much as 3 metres. This should effectively raise the level of proposed foundations for low-rise residential buildings such that there would be no long-term interaction with the groundwater. For any proposed mid-rise buildings with underground parking levels it would be recommended to conduct a more detailed specific review the grading and groundwater conditions as part of the detailed design for a given building.

5. EXCAVATIONS

Excavations for the installation of foundations and underground services are anticipated to extend to depths of up to approximately 1 to 6 metres below the existing grade. Excavations through the native silty clay/clayey silt and sandy silt/silt soils above the groundwater level should be relatively straightforward, with the sides remaining stable for the short construction period at slopes of up to 45 to 60 degrees to the horizontal, respectively. However, where excavations extend below the static groundwater level, during periods of extended precipitation or during 'wet' times of the year, increased excavation instability should be anticipated, which may cause excavations to 'slough in' to as flat as 3 horizontal to 1 vertical, and as such, wider excavations should be anticipated. and the contractor should be prepared to work in the 'wet'. Nevertheless, all excavations must comply with the current Occupational Health and Safety Act and Regulations for Construction Projects. Excavation slopes steeper than those required in the Safety Act must be supported or a trench box must be provided, and a senior geotechnical engineer from this office should monitor the work. With respect to the Act, the majority of the soils would be considered as Type 3 soil, with the stiff native silty clay soils considered as Type 2 soil. Nonetheless, considering the variable depths of materials and ground water conditions across the site, the onsite soils should be conservatively be considered Type 3 soils for the purposes of excavation planning.

Excavation bases will be prone to disturbance and localized instability, largely as a function of the prevailing weather conditions, depth of excavation, and moisture condition of the subsurface soils. It should be anticipated that it may be necessary to provide base



stabilization with additional bedding or ballast stone, or a layer of coarse crushed stone. This is best assessed in the field at the time of construction.

As noted above, the static groundwater level is estimated at a depth of approximately 0.5 to 1.5 metres below existing ground surface, elevation 182.5 to 183.8 metres, with excavations likely extending to or below this level. As noted above, where feasible, it would be prudent to raise the grade with engineered fill to raise dwelling foundations and roadways, and reduce the scale of the excavations which may be affected by shallow groundwater conditions.

Based on the preliminary grading plans provided to our office, the grade will be raised such that excavations for watermain and storm sewer installation will extend to depths on the order of 1.0 to 1.5 metres below the existing grade, at to slightly below the groundwater level. Excavations for sanitary sewers will extend as much as approximately 5 to 6 metres below the existing grade, well below the groundwater level.

Excavations should begin at the 'low end' of the sewer alignment to allow drainage away from the work area. Work should be coordinated so that a section of pipe is installed as quickly as possible after excavation, and is provided with an initial cover of at least 0.6 to 0.9 metres of backfill within the same day it is installed, in particular for sanitary sewer pipe in deeper excavations. Surface water should be directed away from the excavation.

The initial volume of infiltration may be greater, but would tend to reduce with time and pumping, depending on weather conditions during construction. The generally low permeability silty clay/clayey silt and sandy silt/silt soils should yield a relatively low rate of groundwater infiltration such that it should be possible to adequately control groundwater infiltration for the short construction period using conventional construction dewatering techniques for excavations extending near to as much as perhaps 1 to 3 metres below the static groundwater level. More water should be expected when connections are made to existing services. Surface water should be directed away from the excavations.

It is anticipated that typical temporary construction dewatering volumes would be below a rate of 50,000 L/day. For greater excavation depths, or lengths, the volume of dewatering may be greater, up to 400,000 L/day, such that an EASR notification may be warranted. The need for a Permit to Take Water [PTTW] is not expected to be required for site servicing. Buildings with basement levels to greater depth may have increased dewatering requirements, however these are best assessed during the design stage on a case by case basis for specific buildings.

Additionally, it is noted that the final grading of the site relative to the existing grade will affect the required depth of excavation below the existing grade and groundwater level, with an overall increase in the site grade being generally beneficial, tending to reduce the potential and extent of groundwater infiltration. This is particularly relevant with respect to



establishing the founding level and basement level of proposed dwellings, to be above the established static groundwater level and avoid the potential for frequent or ongoing operation of sump pumps.

The base of the excavations in the native soils, above the groundwater level, encountered in the boreholes should generally remain firm and stable. As such, standard pipe bedding material as specified by the Ontario Provincial Standard Specification [OPSS] should be satisfactory, however as noted some base stabilisation may be required where excavations extend deeper, or where shallow groundwater conditions are encountered. The bedding should be well compacted to provide sufficient support to the pipes and components (i.e. valve chambers, manholes etc.), and to minimize settlements of the roadway above the service trenches. Special attention should be paid to compaction under the pipe haunches.

For service trenches extending below the groundwater level, consideration should be made for the provision of clay 'cut-offs' within the trench backfill. In particular within the deeper sanitary sewer trenches. The clay cut-offs would typically be installed just beyond manholes for a given section of sewer, and could consist of suitably plastic silty clay soil available on site and/or the use of a bentonite clay material mixed with granular. This later option is often more effective for the replacement of bedding and initial cover over the pipe, before switching to suitable clay soil fill.

6. SEISMIC DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

The structure shall be designed according to Section 4.1.8 of the Ontario Building Code, Ontario Regulation 332/12. Based on the subsurface soil conditions encountered in this investigation the applicable Site Classification for the seismic design is Site Class D, stiff soil, based on the average soil characteristics for this site. It is noted that a seismic site class of C may be available, however would need to be confirmed via site specific shear wave velocity testing.

The seismic data from Supplementary Standard SB-1 of the Ontario Building Code for Welland are as follows;

S _a (0.2)	S _a (0.5)	S _a (1.0)	S _a (2.0)	S _a (5.0)	S _a (10.0)	PGA	PGV
0.308	0.150	0.069	0.0310	0.0074	0.0028	0.199	0.115

7. BACKFILL CONSIDERATIONS

The excavated materials will primarily consist of the silty clay/clayey silt and sandy silt/silt soils encountered in the boreholes, as described above. These soils are generally



considered suitable for use as engineered fill, trench backfill, etc., provided they are free of organics, debris, or other deleterious material, and that their moisture contents can be controlled to within 3 percent of their standard Proctor optimum moisture content. Some selective sorting to remove organics, debris, and other unsuitable materials should be expected.

It is noted that the fine grained to cohesive soils encountered are not considered to be free draining, and should not be used where this characteristic is required. It is also noted that these soils present difficulties in achieving effective compaction where access with compaction equipment is restricted. The silty clay/clayey silt and sandy silt/silt soils are generally considered to be near to slightly 'wet' of their standard Proctor Optimum moisture content. Some moisture conditioning may be required depending upon the weather conditions at the time of construction. It is noted that these soils will become nearly impossible to compact when wet of its optimum moisture content. Any material that becomes wet to saturated should be spread out to allow to dry, or removed and discarded, or utilised in non-settlement sensitive areas.

The use of free draining, well-graded granular material, such as Ontario Provincial Standard Specification [OPSS] Granular 'B' Type II (crushed limestone bedrock), is recommended for backfill against the foundation walls or to raise the interior grade to the design subgrade level. This material is more readily compacted in restricted access areas, and generally presents a more positive support condition for interior floor slabs and exterior concrete sidewalks.

We note that where the backfill material is placed near or slightly above its optimum moisture content, the potential for long term settlements due to the ingress of groundwater and collapse of the fill structure is reduced. Correspondingly, the shear strength of the 'wet' backfill material is also lowered, thereby reducing its ability to support construction traffic and therefor impacting construction. If the soil is well dry of its optimum value, it will appear to be very strong when compacted, but will tend to settle with time as the moisture content in the fill increases to equilibrium condition. The fine grained to cohesive soils may require high compaction energy to achieve acceptable densities if the moisture content is not close to its standard Proctor Optimum value. It is therefore very important that the placement moisture content of the backfill soils be within 3 percent of their standard Proctor optimum moisture content during placement and compaction to minimise long term subsidence [settlement] of the fill mass. Any imported fill required in service trenches or to raise the subgrade elevation should have its moisture content within 3 percent of its optimum moisture content and meet the necessary environmental guidelines.

A representative of SOIL-MAT should be present on-site during the backfilling and compaction operations to confirm the uniform compaction of the backfill material to project specification requirements. Close supervision is prudent in areas that are not readily accessible to compaction equipment, for instance near the end of compaction 'runs'. All



structural fill, backfill within service trenches, areas to be paved etc. should be compacted to a minimum of 98 percent of its SPMDD. The appropriate compaction equipment should be employed based on soil type, i.e., pad-toe for cohesive soils and smooth drum/vibratory plate for granular soils. A method should be developed to assess compaction efficiency employing the on-site compaction equipment and backfill materials during construction.

8. MANHOLES, CATCH BASINS AND THRUST BLOCKS

Properly prepared bearing surfaces for manholes, valve chambers, etc. in the native competent soils, stabilised where required, will be practically non-yielding under the anticipated loads. Proper preparation of the founding soils will tend to accentuate the protrusion of these structures above the pavement surface if compaction of the fill around these structures is not adequate, causing settlement of the surrounding paved surfaces. Conversely, the pavement surfaces may rise above the valve chambers and around manholes under frost action. To alleviate the potential for these types of differential movements, free-draining, non-frost susceptible material should be employed as backfill around the structures located within the paved roadway limits, and compacted to 100 per cent of its standard Proctor maximum dry density.

The thrust blocks in the native soils may be conservatively sized as recommended by the applicable Ontario Provincial Standard Specification conservatively using a horizontal allowable bearing pressure of up to 150 kPa [~3,000 psf]. Any backfill required behind the blocks should be a well-graded granular product and should be compacted to 100 per cent of its standard Proctor maximum dry density.

9. STORM WATER MANAGEMENT POND CONSIDERATIONS

It is understood that multiple Storm Water Management [SWM] ponds are proposed for the proposed site. The base of the proposed ponds within the native silty clay/clayey silt soils should generally remain firm and stable. However, depending on the proposed depth of the pond the base may potentially become locally unstable as a function of the pond base elevation versus the groundwater level and prevailing weather conditions. Such instability would be exacerbated by repeated travel of heavy construction equipment. These conditions will be made worse during wet weather, and so it is recommended that site works be conducted during the dry summer months of the year, where possible. It would be reasonable to conduct the initial grading of the SWM pond to or slightly above the final base contours. These initial 'pre-grade' contours could then be maintained during construction of site grading and servicing and then the pond completed near the end of site servicing works. This would have the benefit of providing a demonstration of how the pond can be expected to perform in the long-term and allow any necessary changes to be made to the design prior to completion of construction.



Another design consideration in the long-term performance of the SWM pond will be the need to accommodate the infiltration/exfiltration of natural groundwater to allow the pond to provide the maximum storage volume for storm water detention. Given the observed static groundwater levels it is anticipated that groundwater movement will be either infiltration into the pond or exfiltration from the pond as a function of the design base elevation, permanent pool elevation and seasonal fluctuation in groundwater levels. The low permeable silty clay soils will yield a low rate of infiltration in the short-term, in the long-term [over yearly cycles] the groundwater must be expected to stabilize near the levels as estimated above.

Based on information available to date, it would appear that the most appropriate approach to the seepage conditions and storm water management on this site would be to provide a low permeability layer over the base of the pond to resist the infiltration or exfiltration of groundwater, and of sufficient weight to resist any hydrostatic uplift pressures. This could be readily accomplished through the use of a re-compacted clay liner or with a weighed down proprietary liner system, etc. The weight of the liner system would have to exceed the uplift pressure of the ground water during the most severe periods of the year, likely when maximum storage is required. In approximate terms for example, one metre of clay liner, or equivalent, would be required for about every two meters of water storage below static ground water level, i.e., when the water level [permanent pool elevation] in the pond is 2 metres below the static ground water table, the clay liner would have to be at least 1 metre thick; if 3 metres below the static level, then 1.5 metres thick, etc.

An impermeable compacted clay liner would consist of a sufficiently plastic clay soil, with a recommended minimum clay content of 20 per cent and plasticity index of 7 or more. Based on the grain size analyses presented above, the on-site silty clay soils would be considered suitable for use in the construction of a low permeable or impermeable liner at the base of the SWM pond to resist the infiltration/exfiltration of groundwater. This may be readily accomplished by working the silty clay in the base of the pond to a depth of perhaps 0.5 metres, such as with a heavy disc, to break apart any natural structure or layering. The liner material should be moisture conditioned to be within 2 per cent below to 4 per cent above optimum moisture content and compacted in place to 95 per cent of standard Proctor maximum dry density [SPMDD].

Alternatively, weighed down proprietary liners could be considered, however the material suppliers of such materials (such as Layfield, Terrafix, Suprema) would have to be consulted for recommendations on the appropriate product and installation methods for the site conditions. Such artificial liners would not require compaction efforts and could be weighed down with practically any available soil or granular material.



The final design interior pond slopes in the native overburden or constructed using the onsite silty clay should be at 4 horizontal to 1 vertical, or flatter, and the exterior slopes of any berms, where required, at 3 horizontal to 1 vertical, or flatter. Should steeper slopes be required it will be necessary to provide some form of stabilization, such as with the placement of coarse 'rip-rap' stone, or proprietary product such as Turfstone or Cable-Crete, or constructed as a reinforced earth embankment. It is recommended that all interior pond slopes be provided with at least some form of nominal stabilization/protection to control erosion/loss of ground. Above the extended pond level this may consist of appropriate vegetation.

Material utilised in construction of pond slopes must be free of significant organic deposits, or any other deleterious materials which would affect stability of the pond walls. Our office should be retained to review any imported material to the site, as well as to provide quality control services during construction.

It is also noted that appropriate care and effort will be required by the contractor around inlet and outlet structures to ensure the impermeable liner is continuous and avoid the potential of 'piping'. In this regard the clay liner should be completely constructed prior to the installation of inlet/outlet structures. If necessary, a bentonite clay material could be utilized within the fill around any structures to provide a continuous impermeable seal.

10. PAVEMENT DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

All areas to be paved should be stripped of all organic or otherwise unsuitable materials. The exposed subgrade should be proof rolled with 3 to 4 passes of a loaded tandem truck in the presence of a representative of SOIL-MAT ENGINEERS & CONSULTANTS LTD., immediately prior to the placement of the sub-base material. Any areas of distress revealed by this or other means must be sub-excavated and replaced with suitable backfill material. Alternatively, the soft areas may be stabilized by placing coarse crushed stone and 'punching' it into the soft areas. Where the subgrade condition is poorer it may be necessary to implement more aggressive stabilization methods, such as the use of coarse aggregate [50-millimetre clear stone, 'rip rap', etc.] 'punched' into the soft areas, or the use of stabilizing geogrid and a geofabric separator. The need for the treatment of softened subgrade will be reduced if construction is undertaken during the dry summer months and careful attention is paid to the compaction operations. The fill over shallow utilities cut into or across paved areas such as telephone, hydro, gas, etc. must also be compacted to 100 per cent of its SPMDD.



Good drainage provisions will optimize the long-term performance of the pavement structure. The subgrade must be properly crowned and shaped to promote drainage to the subdrain system. Subdrains should be installed to intercept excess subsurface water and mitigate softening of the subgrade material. Surface water should not be allowed to pond adjacent to the outer limits of the paved areas.

The most severe loading conditions on the subgrade typically occur during the course of construction, therefore precautionary measures may have to be taken to ensure that the subgrade is not unduly disturbed by construction traffic. SOIL-MAT should be given the opportunity to review the final pavement structure design and subdrain scheme prior to construction to ensure that they are consistent with the recommendations of this report.

If construction is conducted under adverse weather conditions, additional subgrade preparation may be required. During wet weather conditions, such as during the Fall and Spring months, or during colder winter weather, it should be anticipated that additional subgrade preparation will be required, such as additional depth of Ontario Provincial Standard Specification [OPSS] Granular 'B', Type II (crushed limestone bedrock) subbase material. It is also important that the sub-base and base granular layers of the pavement structure be placed as soon as possible after exposure, preparation, and approval of the exposed subgrade.

Where roads are to be assumed by the City of Welland the pavement structure should confirm to the appropriate municipal standard. The suggested pavement structures outlined in Table D below may also be considered. These are based on subgrade parameters estimated on the basis of visual and tactile examinations of the on-site soils and past experience. The outlined pavement structure may be expected to have an approximate fifteen to twenty year life, assuming that regular maintenance is performed. Should a more detailed pavement structure design be required, site specific traffic information would be needed, together with detailed laboratory testing of the subgrade soils.



TABLE D – TYPICAL SUGGESTED PAVEMENT STRUCTURES

LAYER DESCRIPTION	COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS	LIGHT DUTY SECTIONS	HEAVY DUTY [TRUCK ROUTE]
Asphaltic Concrete Wearing course OPSS HL 3 or HL 3A	Min. 92 % Marshall MRD	40 millimetres	40 millimetres
Binder Course OPSS HL 8	Min. 92 % Marshall MRD	50 millimetres	65 millimetres
Base Course OPSS Granular A	100% SPMDD	150 millimetres	150 millimetres
Sub-base Course OPSS Granular B Type II	100% SPMDD	300 millimetres	450 millimetres

* Marshall MRD denotes Maximum Relative Density.

* SPMDD denotes Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density, ASTM-D698.

Depending on the anticipated traffic, a reduced light duty asphalt structure consisting of 65 millimetres of HL3 surface course may also perform sufficiently. This would be reasonable in areas subjected only to light vehicles such as cars for parking. Such a structure may have a reduced lifespan if subjected to heavier vehicles, and would also not allow for 'mill and pave' type operations for future rehabilitation.

To minimize segregation of the finished asphalt mat, the asphalt temperature must be maintained uniform throughout the mat during placement and compaction. All too often, significant temperature gradients exist in the delivered and placed asphalt with the cooler portions of the mat resisting compaction and presenting a honeycomb surface. As the spreader moves forward, a responsible member of the paving crew should monitor the pavement surface, to ensure a smooth uniform surface. The contractor can mitigate the surface segregation by 'back-casting' or scattering shovels of the full mix material over the segregated areas and raking out the course particles during compaction operations. Of course, the above assumes that the asphalt mix is sufficiently hot to allow the 'back-casting' to be performed.



11. FOUNDATION CONSIDERATIONS

11.1 HOUSE AND TOWNHOUSE CONSTRUCTION

It is anticipated that the design founding level for residential dwellings and townhouse blocks will extend to depths of up to approximately 0.5 to 1 metres below the existing grade. The construction of foundations at or above this depth should be relatively straightforward when above the static groundwater level. The static groundwater level, as noted above, is anticipated to be at depths ranging from 0.5 to 1.5 metre below the existing grade, roughly elevation 182.5 to 183.8 metres. Depending on the final grading of the property, and final founding elevations of the proposed residences, it would be prudent to raise the finish grade and/or founding level of the proposed structures to avoid potential interaction with the static groundwater level. It is noted that our office has been provided with the preliminary cut-fill plan of the proposed development, which indicates the grading to be rising such that the founding levels for townhouse blocks will be above the static groundwater level. This should be further reviewed as part of detailed design for the development.

The native soils encountered at these depths are considered capable of supporting the loads associated with typical residential dwelling and townhouse structures on conventional spread footings below any fill, organic, or otherwise unsuitable materials. Spread footings in the native silty clay/clayey silt soils, may be conservatively designed on the basis of bearing values of 150 kPa [~3,000 psf] SLS and 225 kPa [~4,500 psf] ULS. The founding surfaces must be hand cleaned of any loose or disturbed material, along with any ponded water, immediately prior to placement of foundation concrete.

In the event that site grading work results in engineered fill below founding elevations, the general recommendations presented in the Backfill Considerations above should be strictly adhered to, with compaction to 100 percent standard Proctor maximum dry density, verified by monitoring and testing by a representative of SOIL-MAT ENGINEERS present on a full time basis. The design bearing capacity for footings within the engineered fill should be limited to 100 kPa [~2,000 psf] SLS and 150 kPa [~3,000 psf] ULS, pending a more thorough evaluation of the engineered fill works. If there is a short fall in the volume of fill required, then the source of imported fill should be checked for gradation, Proctor value, and compatibility with existing fill and approved by this office.

If there is a short fall in the volume of suitable fill required, then the source(s) of imported fill should be reviewed for gradation, Proctor value, compatibility with existing fill, environmental characteristics and be approved by this office prior to use. Soil import would need to be conducted in accordance with Ontario Regulation 406/19, including the preparation of a Fill Management Plan and associated tracking, analytical testing, etc.



It is noted that the SLS value represents the Serviceability Limit State, which is governed by the tolerable deflection [settlement] based on the proposed building type, using unfactored load combinations. The ULS value represents the Ultimate Limit State and is intended to reflect and upper limit of the available bearing capacity of the founding soils in terms of geotechnical design, using factored load combinations. There is no direct relationship between ULS and SLS; rather they are a function of the soil type and the tolerable deflections for serviceability, respectively. Evidently, the bearing capacity would be lower for very settlement sensitive structures and larger for more flexible buildings.

The support conditions afforded by the native soils and/or engineered fill are generally not uniform across the building footprint, nor are the loads on the various foundations elements. As such it is recommended that consideration be given to the provision of nominal reinforcement in the footings and foundation walls to account for variable support and loading conditions. The use of nominal reinforcement is considered good construction practice as it will act to reduce the potential for cracking in the foundation walls due to minor settlements, heaving, shrinkage, etc. and will assist in resisting the pressures generated against the foundation walls by the backfill. Such nominal reinforcement is an economical approach to the reduction and prevention of costly foundation repairs after completion and later in the life of the buildings. This reinforcement would typically consist of two continuous 15M steel bars placed in the footings [directly below the foundation wall], and similarly two steel bars placed approximately 300 millimeters from the top of the foundation walls at a minimum, depending on ground conditions exposed during construction. These reinforcement bars would be bent to reinforce all corners and under basement windows, and be provided with sufficient overlap at staggered splice locations. At 'steps' in the foundations and at window locations, the reinforcing steel should transition diagonally, rather than at 90 degrees, to maintain the continuous tensile capacity of the reinforcement. Where footings are founded on, or partially on, engineered fill the above provision for nominal reinforcement would be required.

All basement foundation walls should be suitably damp proofed, including the provision of a 'dimple board' type drainage product, and provided with a perimeter drainage tile system outlet to a gravity sewer connection or positive sump pit a minimum of 150 millimetres below the basement floor slab. The clear stone material surrounding the weeping tile should be encased with a geotextile material to prevent the migration of fines from the foundation wall backfill into the clear stone product. In the event that sump pit systems are required we would recommend that the sump pump system should be constructed with an 'oversized' reservoir and a 'back-flow' prevention valve so that the sump pump will not cycle repeatedly within short time periods.

All footings exposed to the environment must be provided with a minimum of 1.2 meters of earth or equivalent insulation to protect against frost penetration. This frost protection would also be required if construction were undertaken during the winter months. All



footings must be proportioned to satisfy the requirements of the Ontario Provincial Building Code.

In areas where it will be necessary to provide adjacent footings at different founding elevations, the lower footing should be constructed before the higher footing is constructed, if possible, and the higher footing should be set below and imaginary line drawn up from the lower footing at 10 horizontal to 7 vertical. This practice will limit stress transfer from the higher footings to lower footings.

With foundations designed as outlined above and as required by the Ontario Building Code, and with careful attention paid to construction detail, total and differential settlements should be well within normally tolerated limits of 25 and 20 millimetres respectively, for the type of building and occupancy expected.

It is imperative that a soils engineer be retained from this office to provide geotechnical engineering services during the excavation and foundation construction phases of the project. This is to observe compliance with the design concepts and recommendations outlined in this report, and to allow changes to be made in the event that subsurface conditions differ from the conditions identified at the borehole locations.

11.2 MID-RISE BUILDING CONSTRUCTION

It is understood that the project may involve the construction of mid-rise residential buildings with 1 to 2 basement parking levels on east side of the site. Given the potential for up to two basement levels, is it anticipated that foundations will be on the order of approximately 3 to 6 metres below the existing grade. These depths are such that it would be expected to be below the static groundwater level. As such it is recommended that basement levels would be designed to be water tight, pending more detail building specific review.

On a preliminary basis the following design considerations are provided for foundations, noting that building specific geotechnical evaluation, including additional investigations as warranted based on building details and location on the site, and updated geotechnical reporting should be undertaken.

Spread footings in the native soils may be conservatively designed on the basis of bearing values of 100 kPa [~2,000 psf] SLS and 150 kPa [~3,000 psf] ULS. Raft slabs founded on the native sandy silt/silt soils may be designed considering an allowable bearing pressure of 75 kPa [~1,500 psf] SLS, and 100 kPa [~2,00 psf] ULS. However, it is



anticipated that these values would not be sufficient for the proposed structure, without being used in some combination with deep foundation schemes, or ground improvement methods, discussed below. Nonetheless, for lightly loaded accessory structures, these values may be acceptable.

Based on the proposed building and subsurface conditions, it is likely that a foundation design making use of ground improvement or deep foundation systems would be preferred. This would be a function of the height of the proposed buildings and is best evaluated on a building specific basis.

12. SOIL IMPORT CONSIDERATIONS

Ontario Regulation 406/19 has come into effect, which regulates the management of surplus soil generated as part of construction projects. The Regulation is volume based, requiring site specific environmental assessments and considerable background analytical testing of surplus soils to be exported from, or imported to, the site. In this case it is understood that a significant volume of fill import will be required for the project. With a fill import of greater than 10,000 m3, this would require the filling of a Notice in the Excess Soil Registry, along with proper planning documents and tracking as required by the Regulation. Soil-Mat Engineers can assist with the preparation of a formal Fill Management Plan to provide direction on the plan and requirements for managing the fill import, including review and approval of fill source sites, managing the soil registry notice, etc.



13. GENERAL COMMENTS

The comments provided in this document are intended only for the guidance of the design team. The material in it reflects SOIL-MAT ENGINEERS' best judgement in light of the information available at the time of preparation. The subsurface descriptions and borehole information are intended to describe conditions at the borehole locations only. It is the contractors' responsibility to determine how these conditions will affect the scheduling and methods of construction for the project. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. SOIL-MAT ENGINEERS accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.

We trust that this geotechnical report is sufficient for your present requirements. Should you require any additional information or clarification as to the contents of this document, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Yours very truly, SOIL-MAT ENGINEERS & CONSULTANTS LTD.

auto

Ishan Chauhan, B.Eng., EIT. Junior Engineer

Ian Shaw, P. Eng. Senior Engineer

Enclosures: Drawing No.1, Borehole Location Plan Log of Borehole Nos. 1 to 13, inclusive



Distribution: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc. [1, plus pdf]



Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765186 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E:* 641731



								SAM	PLE				Moisture Content
	epth	(m) n		Description	ta			ounts	00mm	Ŋ	(cm2)	N/m3)	▲ w% ▲ 10 20 30 40
ă		Elevatio	Symbol		Well Da	Type	Number	Blow Cc	Blows/3	Recover	PP (kgf/	U.Wt.(kl	Standard Penetration Test blows/300mm 20 40 60 80
ft	m	183.32		Ground Surface									
1-2-			Ĩ	Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of		SS	1	3,3,6,8	9		<1.0		₹
3 4 5				Silty Clay/Clayey Silt		SS	2	7,7,9,13	16		>4.5		
6	2		Ŧ	Brown to reddish brown, some organics in upper levels, firm to very stiff.		SS	3	7,11,16,19	27		>4.5		
8 9			Ħ			SS	4	5,7,10,13	17		2.5		<i>f f</i>
10- 11- 12-		179.82				SS	5	4,3,5,6	8		1.5		$ \cdot \cdot $
13- 14-	4			Transition in colour to grey.									
15- 16-	5		Ħ			SS	6	3,2,4,5	6		<1.0		
17 18- 19-													
20- 21-	6		Ŧ			SS	7	2,2,3,4	5		<1.0		+ 4
22 23 24	7												
25- 26-	8		Ŧ			SS	8	1,2,2,4	4		<1.0		
27- 28-		174.64	Ŧ										
29- 30- 31-	9		/	Sandy Silt/Silt Reddish brown, occasional gravel,		SS	9	6.6.7.8	13				
32- 33-	E 1(/	loose to very dense.				- , - , - , -					
34 35 36			/				10	6776	14				
37 38-			/			00	10	0,7,7,0	14				
39- 40-	- 12		/										
41 42 43	E 1:		/			55	11	<i>ঽ</i> , <i>ঽ</i> ,७, <i>१</i>	9				
44 45			/										
46 47 48	14 					SS	12	4,6,7,10	13				
49-	E												

Drill Method: Hollow Stem Augers Drill Date: December 07, 2022 Hole Size: 200 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Datum: Geodetic Field Logged by: IC Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 2

Project No: SM 220530-G Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765186 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E:* 641731



							SAMPLE						Moisture Content				
Depth		Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description		Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	1 Stan	20 20 dard P blows 0 40	//% 30 enetra 5/300m 60	40 tion Test im • 80	
50- 51-			1			SS	13	4,6,10,12	16								
52 53 54	16		~ / `														
55 56 57	17		/			SS	14	6,6,8,8	14								
58 59 60	18		1 1														
61 62 63	19		/ /			SS	15	5,7,13,16	20								
64 65	E 20		/			55	16	9 24 48 50/5"	72							_	
67 68 69	1 1 1 2	162.90		End of Borehole				5,24,40,50/5	12								
70- 71-	, ,			1. Borehole was advanced using													
73 74 75	22			solid stem auger equipment on December 07, 2022 to termination at a depth of 20.4 metres.													
76- 77- 78-				2. Borehole was recorded as open and 'Dry' upon completion and													
79 80 81	1 24 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			backfilled as per Ontario Regulation 903.													
82 83 84	25			3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 months unless otherwise directed													
85 86 87	20			by our chem.													
88 89	27																
91 92	28																
93 94 95	1 1 1 1 2																
90 97 98																	

Drill Method: Hollow Stem Augers Drill Date: December 07, 2022 Hole Size: 200 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Datum: Geodetic Field Logged by: IC Checked by: IS Sheet: 2 of 2

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765226 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E:* 641680



								SAM	PLE				Мо	oisture C	Conten	t
Depth		Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	▲ 10 Standa ● b 20	w% 20 rd Pene lows/30 40	30 4 etration 00mm 60 8	Test
ft n	n	183.26		Ground Surface												
		182.96	NH V	Topsoil Approximately 300 millimetres of		SS	1	2,2,3,5	5		2.0		•	Î		
4	1			Silty Clay/Clayey Silt		SS	2	5,7,11,14	18		>4.5			†		
5 6 7	2	180.83	Ħ	Brown, occasional gravel, firm to very stiff.		SS	3	6,11,16,21	27		>4.5					
8	3		H	Transition in colour to grey.		SS	4	4,5,6,7	11		1.0			À		
11	J					SS	5	4,3,4,6	7		1.5					
13 14	4		Ħ													
15 16 17	5	177 93	Ħ			SS	6	2,3,3,5	6		<1.0				<u>}</u>	
18 19		111.00	/	Sandy Silt/Silt											/	
20 21	6		/	Reddish brown, compact.		SS	7	5.5.6.10	11							
22 23 24	7		/													
25	8	175.04	/			SS	8	4,7,9,9	16							
28				End of Borehole												
29 <u>–</u> 30 – –	9			NOTEO												
32	10			NOTES:												
33 34 35				1. Borehole was advanced using solic termination at a depth of 8.2 metres.	d stem	auger	equi	pment on De	cemb	er 06	, 2022	2 to				
36 37 38	11			2. Borehole was recorded as caved to below the existing ground surface upo	o 6.0 m on com	etres pletio	and '\ n and	Wet' at a dep backfilled as	oth of s per	4.8 m Ontar	ietres io					
39 <u></u> 40 <u></u>	12			Regulation 903.												
41 42 43	13			3. Soil samples will be discarded afte	r 3 mor	nths u	nless	otherwise di	recte	d by c	our clie	ent.				
44																
46	14															
48 49																
Dri	// //	<i>letho</i>	d: So	blid Stem Augers Soil-Mat Engin	eers &	& Cor	nsult	ants Ltd.			Datu	im: G	eodetic			

Drill Date: December 06, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Field Logged by: IC Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 1

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765364 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 **E:** 641633



							_	SAMF	Moisture Content				
Depth		Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	w% A 10 20 30 40 Standard Penetration Tes blows/300mm 20 40 60 80
ft r	n	183.80		Ground Surface									
1 2 3	1		NH H	Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of topsoil.		SS	1	3,4,7,5	11		>4.5		
4 5 6 7	2	181.60	H	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt Brown, occasional gravel, firm to very stiff		SS	3	8,11,16,22	27		>4.5		
8 9 10	3			Sandy Silt/Silt Reddish brown, more silt content with		SS	4	22,26,30,44	56				
11 12 13	4		1 1	depth, trace clay, compact to dense.		SS	5	21,23,24,20	47				
14 15 16 17	5		/ / /			SS	6	5,6,5,8	11				
18 19 20 21	6					SS	7	7,17,22,22	39				
22 23 24 25	7		/										
26 27 28	8	175.58	/	End of Borehole		SS	8	13,16,18,23	34				
29 30 31	9			NOTES:									
32 33 34	10			1. Borehole was advanced using solic termination at a depth of 8.2 metres.	d stem	auger	[.] equi	pment on De	cemb	er 06	, 2022	2 to	
35 36 37 38	11			2. Borehole was recorded as open ar Ontario Regulation 903.	nd 'Dry	' upor	ı com	pletion and b	ackfil	led as	s per		
39 40 41 41 42	12			3. Soil samples will be discarded afte	r 3 mor	nths u	nless	otherwise di	recte	d by c	our clie	ent.	
43 44 45	13												
47 48 49	1-												
Dri		Vetho	d: S	olid Stem Augers Soil-Mat Engin	ieers &	s Cor	nsult	ants Ltd.	I	1	Datu	<i>m:</i> G	eodetic

Drill Date: December 06, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Field Logged by: IC Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 1
Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765208 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E*: 641522



								SAM	-LE	1			Moisture Content
	nepul	Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	10 20 30 40 Standard Penetration Test blows/300mm 20 40 60 80
ft	m	183.54	~	Ground Surface									
1			Ĩ	Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of		SS	1	4,5,6,8	11		>4.5		
4	- 1			Silty Clay/Clayey Silt		SS	2	5,7,11,16	18		>4.5		
5 6 7	2		H	Brown, firm to hard.		SS	3	8,15,19,25	34		>4.5		
8	3	180.65	H			SS	4	5,6,7,10	13		2.0		
10 11 12			Ħ	fransition in colour to grey.		SS	5	3,3,4,5	7		<1.0		
13 14 15	4		H										
16 17 18	- 5		F H			SS	6	2,3,4,4	7		<1.0		
19 20	6	177.30	Ħ										
21 22 23 24	7		XXX	Sandy Silt/Silt Reddish brown, trace clay, compact to dense.		SS	7	5,20,22,28	42				
25 26	- 8	175 32				SS	8	6,6,7,7	13				
27 – 28 –		110.02		End of Borehole									
29	9												
31				NOTES:	l	ļ			I				
32 33 34	- 10			1. Borehole was advanced using solid termination at a depth of 8.2 metres	d stem	auger	r equi	pment on De	cemb	oer 06	, 2022	2 to	
35 36 37	- 11			2. Borehole was recorded as open ar	nd 'Dry	' upor	n com	pletion and b	ackfil	lled as	s per		
38 클 39 클	- 11			Ontano Regulation 903.									
40 41 42				3. Soil samples will be discarded afte	r 3 mor	nths u	nless	otherwise di	recte	d by c	our clie	ent.	
43 44	- 13												
45 46 47	- 14												
48 49													
D	rill I	Netho	d: So	blid Stem Augers Soil-Mat Engin	eers &	& Cor	nsult	ants Ltd.			Datu	ım: G	eodetic

Drill Date: December 06, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Field Logged by: IC Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 1

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765091 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E:* 641718



								SAM	PLE				Мо	isture Cor	ntent
	Depth	Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	▲ <u>10</u> Standa ● b <u>2</u> 0	w% 20 30 d Penetra lows/300n 40 60	40 ation Test nm • 80
ft	t m	183.12		Ground Surface											
1			Ĩ	Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of		ss	1	1,1,1,4	2		>4.5				
4	<u> </u>			Silty Clay/Clayov Silt		ss	2	6,8,11,17	19		>4.5			4	
5 6 7	L L 2		H	Brown to reddish brown, firm to very stiff.		ss	3	5,8,11,17	19		>4.5		•		
8 9	3		Ħ			SS	4	5,6,8,10	14		>4.5		•		
10 11- 12-		170.01	H			SS	5	3,3,4,4,	7		<1.0				
13- 14-	4	179.01		Transition in colour to grey.											
15- 16- 17-	5		Ŧ			SS	6	3,2,3,3	5		<1.0		•		
18- 19-															
20- 21-		176.42	Ħ			SS	7	2,2,2,4	4		<1.0		•		
22- 23-	- 7			End of Borehole				-							
24 25				NOTES:											
26- 27-	8			1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem auger equipment on											
28 29 30 31	9			December 07, 2022 to termination at a depth of 6.7 metres.											
32 33	L L 1			2. Borehole was recorded as open and 'Dry' upon completion and											
34 35 36	L L 1			backfilled as per Ontario Regulation 903.											
37 38 39 40				3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 months unless otherwise directed by our client.											
41 42 43 44 45				4. A monitoring well was installed and the following groundwater level readings have been measured:											
46 47 48 49	14			January 5 2025 : 0.65 metres											

Drill Method: Solid Stem Augers Drill Date: December 07, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Datum: Geodetic Field Logged by: IC Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 1

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765198 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E:* 641374



							SAM	PLE				N	loisture C	ontent
Depth	Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	10 Stand	w% 20 3 ard Penel blows/300 40 €	40 40 tration Test 0mm ● 60 80
$\int_{0}^{\text{ft}} m$	183.83	<u> </u>	Ground Surface											
1 1 2		HH/	Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of topsoil.		SS	1	2,4,5,7	9		>4.5			†	
3 1 4 1		F H	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt Brown, firm to very stiff.		SS	2	5,8,11,14	19		>4.5				
6 7 7		H H			SS	3	6,9,19,22	28		>4.5				
8	181.09	Ħ	Transition in colour to grey.		SS	4	4,5,5,6	10		1.0				
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		HH			SS	5	2,3,4,5	7		<1.0				
13 4 14 4		HH												
15 16 17 17		¥ ¥			SS	6	2,2,3,5	5		<1.0				
18 19 19		H H												
20圭 ⁰ 21圭 22丰	177.59 177.13	££	Sandy Silt/Silt		SS	7	5,7,12,17	19						
23 7 24			End of Borehole											
25 26 8			NOTES											
27 28 29			1. Borehole was advanced using solid	stem a	uger e	equip	ment on Dec	embe	er 08,					
30 9			2022 to termination at a depth of 6.7 m	etres.										
31 32 32 - ⊥ 1(2. Borehole was recorded as 'Wet' at a ground surface upon completion and b	depth ackfille	of 5.9 d as p) metr oer O	es below the ntario Regula	e exist ation §	ing 903.					
34 34 35			3. Soil samples will be discarded after client.	3 mont	hs un	less o	otherwise dire	ected	by ou	ır				
36 1 1 37 1														
38 39														
 Drill I	Metho	d: S(blid Stem Augers							Datu	m: G	eodeti		

Drill Method: Solid Stem Augers Drill Date: December 08, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Datum: Geodetic Field Logged by: IC Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 1

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765042 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1



E: 641421

								SAM	PLE				Moisture Content
Depth	-	Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	▲ w% ▲ 10 20 30 40 Standard Penetration Test ● blows/300mm ● 20 40 60 80
ft r	n	183.52		Ground Surface									
1	0	183.27	H	Topsoil Approximately 250 millimetres of topsoil.		ss	1	1,3,5,6	8		>4.5		
3 4 5	1			Silty Clay/Clayey Silt Brown, firm to very stiff.		ss	2	5,7,12,18	19		>4.5		
6	2		HH			ss	3	6,12,14,18	26		>4.5		
8 9 10	. 3		H H H			ss	4	5,6,7,10	13		2.0		
11			HH			ss	5	3,4,4,7	8		2.0		
13 14 15	4	179.41	HH	Transition in colour to grey.									
16	5					ss	6	2,3,4,5	7		<1.0		
18 19 20	6	177.43	H H								_		
21		176.82	/	Sandy Silt/Silt Reddish brown, compact.		SS	7	8,9,9,9	18				
22 23 24	7			End of Borehole									
25 26 27 27	8			NOTES:									
28 29 30	9			1. Borehole was advanced using solid 2022 to termination at a depth of 6.7 n	stem a netres.	uger	equip	ment on Dec	embe	er 08,			
31 32 32	10			2. Borehole was recorded as caved to backfilled as per Ontario Regulation 9	5.9 me 03.	tres a	ınd 'E	Dry' upon coi	mplet	ion ar	nd		
34 35				3. Soil samples will be discarded after client.	3 mont	hs un	less o	otherwise dire	ected	by ou	ır		
36 37 38	11			4. A monitoring well was installed and have been measured: January 3 2023 : 1.6 metres	the foll	owing	grou	ndwater leve	l read	lings			
39													
Dri		<i>Metho</i>	d: S	blid Stem Augers Soil-Mat Engir	neers a		nsult	ants Ltd.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Datu	<i>m:</i> G	ieodetic

Drill Date: December 08, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Field Logged by: IC Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 1

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765199 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E:* 641192



								SAM					Mois	ture Con	tent
	Depth	Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	10 2 Standard blov 20 4	w 70 20 30 Penetrat ws/300m 40 60	40 tion Tes m • 80
f	t m	184.94	1	Ground Surface											
1-2-			NH N	Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of		SS	1	2,3,4,6	7		2.0			f	
3-4-	1		H H	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt		SS	2	4,7,7,12	14		4.0			f	
6- 7-	2		H	Brown, occasional gravel, firm to very stiff.		SS	3	5,9,15,20	24		>4.5			4	
8- 9-			H			SS	4	4,6,10,15	16		>4.5				
10 11 12			1 H			SS	5	6,7,9,10	16		4.0				
13- 14-	4	180.83		Transition in colour to grey.											
15- 16- 17-	5	179.76	H			SS	6	4,4,7,11	11		1.5				
18- 19-	6		/ /	Sandy Silt/Silt Reddish brown, compact.											
20- 21- 22-			/			SS	7	4,9,12,17	21					ł	
23- 24-	7		/												
25- 26- 27-	8	176.72	2			SS	8	6,7,9,9	16						
28- 29-				End of Borehole											
30- 31-	9			NOTES:											
32 33 34	= 10			1. Borehole was advanced using solid	d stem	augei	equi	pment on De	cemb	er 08	, 2022	2 to			
35- 36-	L L 1			 2 Borehole was recorded as caved to 	o 7 3 m	etres	and "	Wet' at a der	oth of	67 m	etres				
37 38 39				below the existing ground surface up Regulation 903.	on com	pletio	n and	l backfilled a	s per	Ontar	io				
40- 41-				3. Soil samples will be discarded afte	r 3 moi	nths u	nless	otherwise di	irecte	d by a	our cli	ent.			
42- 43- 44-	13														
45- 46-	E 14														
47 48 40					I	1	I		I			I			
		Math -		alid Stom Augoro							Det				
- -	ırill I	vinthn	A \$			~ ~					Dotu	ımı C	andatic		

Drill Method: Solid Stem Augers Drill Date: December 08, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Datum: Geodetic Field Logged by: IC Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 1

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765253 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E:* 641229



								SAM	PLE				Mois	ture Cor	ntent
ht		(m) r		Description	IJ			unts	00mm	×	sm2)	l/m3)	10 2	w% 20 30	40
Ц	2	Elevatior	Symbol		Well Dat	Type	Number	Blow Co	Blows/30	Recover	PP (kgf/c	U.Wt.(kN	Standard • blov 20 4	Penetra <i>w</i> s/300m 40 60	ation Test nm • 80
ft	m	184.05		Ground Surface											
1	Ŭ	183.75	Z/F	Topsoil Approximately 300 millimetres of		SS	1	2,2,4,6	6		4.0		•		
3 4 5	- 1		H	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt		SS	2	6,7,9,11	16		>4.5		· •		
6 7	- 2		Ĥ	Brown, firm to very stiff.		SS	3	7,9,11,14	20		>4.5				
8 9 10	- 3		HH			SS	4	5,9,12,17	21		>4.5				
10 11 12	Ŭ	180.40		Transition in colour to annu		SS	5	4,6,7,8	13		<1.0				
13 14	- 4	179.94	Ł	Sandy Silt/Silt											
15 16	- 5		/	Reddish brown, compact.		SS	6	5,11,13,16	24						
17 18 10	-		/												
20 21	- 6	177 35	/			SS	7	7,11,14,21	25				•		
22 23	- 7	177.00	<u></u>	End of Borehole											
24 25				NOTES:											
20 27 28	- 8			1. Borehole was advanced using											
29 30	- 9			December 08, 2022 to termination at a depth of 6.7 metres											
31 32	- 10			2. Borehole was recorded as caved											
33 34 35				to 5.4 metres and 'Wet' at a depth of 5.3 metres below the existing ground											
36 37	- 11			surface upon completion and backfilled as per Ontario Regulation											
38 39	- 13			903.											
40 41	12			3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 months unless otherwise											
42 43	- 13			directed by our client.											
44 45	_ 1.														
40 47 48	14	1													
49															

Drill Method: Solid Stem Augers Drill Date: December 08, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Datum: Geodetic Field Logged by: IC Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 1

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765588 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1



E: 641174

							SAMF	PLE				Moisture Content
Depth	Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	▲ w% ▲ 10 20 30 40 Standard Penetration Test ● blows/300mm ● 20 40 60 80
ft m	184.23	-	Ground Surface									
		2 H	Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of		SS	1	2,1,3,4	4		1.5		•
0 <u>1</u> 4 <u>1</u> 5 <u>1</u>			Silty Clay/Clayey Silt		SS	2	4,6,7,11	13		>4.5		
6 <u>2</u>		Ħ	Brown, some organics in upper levels, firm to very stiff.		SS	3	5,11,15,18	26		>4.5		
8 9 10 10					SS	4	5,6,7,9	13		2.5		
11 <u>-</u> 12 -	180.73		Transition in colour to grey.		SS	5	2,3,4,5	7		1.0		
13	180.12	\mathbb{Z}	Sandy Silt/Silt									
15 <u> </u>		/	Reddish brown, compact to dense.		SS	6	6,11,12,14	23				
17 <u>-</u> 18 <u>-</u> 19 -		/										
20 6 21 6		/			SS	7	10,16,19,24	35				→ ↓
22 <u>–</u> 23 – 7		/										
24 25 26 - 8	170.04	/			SS	8	6.6.9.10	15				
27 – 28 –	176.01		End of Borehole				-,-,-,-					
29 <u>+</u> 9 30 - 9												
31 32			NOTES:			I			I	1		
33 <u>-</u> 1 34 <u>-</u> 35 <u>-</u>			1. Borehole was advanced using solic termination at a depth of 8.2 metres.	d stem a	auger	equi	pment on De	cemb	oer 09	, 2022	2 to	
36 1 37 1 38 1 38 1	1		2. Borehole was recorded as caved to below the existing ground surface upo Regulation 903	o 6.4 m on com	etres pletio	and " n and	Wet' at a dep backfilled as	oth of s per	5.7 m Ontar	ietres io		
10 1 10 1 11 1	1		3. Soil samples will be discarded after	r 3 mor	iths u	nless	otherwise di	recte	d by c	our clie	ent.	
42 <u>↓</u> 43 <u>↓</u> 1 44 ↓	3											
45 46 17												
+/ 48 49			I									
<u>=</u>	Mother		alid Stem Augers						I	Date	m. C	
Drill	Date:	Dece	mber 09, 2022 Soil-Mat Engin	• Hamil	ton, C	nsult Ontari	ants Ltd. o · L8E 2Z3			Field	l Log	ged by: IC
11-1-	0:		T: 905.318.7440	· TF: 8	00.24	3.192	22 · F: 905.3	18.74	55	0		h

Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 1

Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

IF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765518 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1



E: 641270

							SAM	PLE				Мо	isture Conte	ent
Depth	Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	▲ 10 Standar ● bl 20	w% 20 30 rd Penetratio lows/300mn 40 60	40 on Test n • 80
ft m	183.23		Ground Surface											
		HHV 3	Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of topsoil.		SS	1	2,2,5,5	7		2.0				
3 1 4 1 5 1		HHH	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt Brown, some organics in upper levels, firm to your stiff		SS	2	3,4,7,11	11		>4.5			f	
6 2 7 2		F H	inn to very sun.		SS	3	4,7,12,16	19		>4.5				
8 9 10 3	180.64	HH	Transition in colour to grey.		SS	4	4,7,8,10	15		2.5				
10 = 1 11 = 1 12 = 1		H H			SS	5	3,4,3,7	9		2.0				
13 4 14		HH												
15 16 17		HH			SS	6	3,3,5,7	8		1.5				
18 18 19		H H												
20 6 21	176.53	H H			SS	7	2,3,3,4	6		<1.0		•		
22 <u>+</u> 23 - 7		~-~	End of Borehole											
23 <u> </u>														
25 26 8			NOTES:											
28 28 29			1. Borehole was advanced using solid 2022 to termination at a depth of 6.7 m	stem a netres.	uger (equip	ment on Dec	embe	er 09,					
30 - 9 31 9			2. Borehole was recorded as 'Wet' at backfilled as per Ontario Regulation 90	a depth)3.	of 5.	4 met	res upon cor	npleti	on an	ıd				
32 33 34 34			3. Soil samples will be discarded after client.	3 mont	hs un	less c	otherwise dire	ected	by ou	ır				
35 36 1 1 37			4. A monitoring well was installed and have been measured: January 3 2023 : 0.0 metres	the follo	owing	grou	ndwater leve	l read	ings					
38 39														
Drill l	Nethod	d: So	blid Stem Augers Soil-Mat Engin	ieers &	k Coi	nsult	ants Ltd.			Datu	<i>m:</i> G	eodetic		

Drill Date: December 09, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Field Logged by: IC Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 1

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765409 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E:* 641213



							SAMF	PLE	1			Moisture Content
Depth	Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	w% A 10 20 30 40 Standard Penetration Test blows/300mm 20 40 60 80
ft m	184.19	~	Ground Surface									
1		H	Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of		SS	1	0,2,3,5	5		1.5		
3 1 4			Silty Clay/Clayey Silt		SS	2	5,8,10,13	18		>4.5		
5 6 7 7	2	H H	Brown, firm to very stiff.		SS	3	5,8,12,16	20		>4.5		
8 9 10	3 4 0 0 0 4	HH			SS	4	6,10,13,16	23		>4.5		
11	180.84		Transition in colour to grey.		SS	5	4,5,7,7	12		1.5		┥ ┥ │
12 13 14	1	1 H	0,1									
15 16	179.32	Ħ			SS	6	3.5.15.19	20		1.5		
17		/	Sandy Silt/Silt Reddish brown, compact to dense.				0,0,10,10					
20 - 6 21 - 6	5	/			SS	7	12,16,21,25	37				•
23 - 7 24 - E	7	/										
25 26 <u>—</u> в 27 — в	3 175.97				SS	8	12,14,26,28	40				
28			End of Borehole									
30 <u> </u>)		NOTES:									
32 <u>+</u> 33 <u>+</u> 1 34 +	IC		1. Borehole was advanced using solid	d stem	auger	equi	pment on De	cemb	ber 09	, 2022	2 to	
35 36 手 1			termination at a depth of 8.2 metres.									
37 38			2. Borehole was recorded as open an Ontario Regulation 903.	id 'Dry'	upon	comp	etion and ba	ackfill	led as	per		
>91 1 40 1 1 41 1 1	12		3. Soil samples will be discarded afte	r 3 mor	nths u	nless	otherwise di	recte	d by c	our clie	ent.	
42 43 1	13											
+4 1 45 1 46 1 1	14											
47 48 <u>↓</u>												
49												
Drill	Metho	d: So	blid Stem Augers Soil-Mat Engin	eers &	& Cor	nsult	ants Ltd.			Datu	<i>m:</i> G	eodetic
Drill	Date:	Dece	mber 09, 2022 401 Grays Road	· Hamil	ton, C	Ontari	o · L8E 2Z3	10 74		Fiela	l Log	ged by: IC

Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

1:905.318.7440 F: 800.243.1922 · F -: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 1

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4764871 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E:* 641196



								SAM	PLE				Mois	ture Cor	ntent
:	Jepth	ion (m)	0	Description	Jata		er	Counts	/300mm	ery	jf/cm2)	kN/m3)	10 2 Standard	w% 20 30 Penetra	40
		Elevat	Symbe		Well D	Type	Numb	Blow (Blows	Recov	PP (k(U.Wt.(• blov 20 4	<i>w</i> s/300n 10 60	nm • 80
ft	m	183.94		Ground Surface											
1-2-			Ħ	Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of		SS	1	0,2,3,6	5		1.5		•	↑	
3- 4- 5-	1			Silty Clay/Clayey Silt		SS	2	5,7,8,11	15		>4.5				
6- 7-	2		Ħ	Brown, firm to very stiff.		SS	3	7,7,10,15	17		>4.5			1	
8- 9-			HH			SS	4	6,12,16,19	28		>4.5				
10 11 12	, ,		H			SS	5	4,7,8,11	15		3.0		f		
13- 14-	4		H												
15 16 17	5	178.76				SS	6	3,4,8,8	12		<1				
18- 19-	6			I ransition in colour to grey.											
20- 21- 22-		177.24	Æ			SS	7	2,2,3,4	5		<1		-		
23- 24-	7			End of Borehole											
25- 26- 27-	8			NOTES:											
28- 29-	9			1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem auger equipment on December 09. 2022 to termination at											
30 31- 32-				a depth of 6.7 metres.											
33- 34-	= 1(2. Borehole was recorded as 'Wet' at a depth of 5.6 metres upon											
35 36 37	1′			Completion and backfilled as per Ontario Regulation 903.											
38- 39-	12			3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 months unless otherwise											
40 41 42				directed by our client.											
43- 44-	13 														
45 46 47	14														
48- 49-															

Drill Method: Solid Stem Augers Drill Date: December 09, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Datum: Geodetic Field Logged by: IC Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 1

APPENDIX G

Hydrogeological Study and Wetland Water Balance



Terra-Dynamics Consulting Inc.

432 Niagara Street, Unit 2 St. Catharines, ON L2M 4W3

June 27, 2024

Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc. c/o Ian G. MacPherson, P. Eng. Vice President, Land Development 9130 Leslie Street, Suite 301 Richmond Hill, Ontario L4B 0B9

Re: Hydrogeologic Study and Wetland Water Balance, 436 Quaker Road, Welland, and Lot 228/Part Lot 174, Thorold, ON

Dear Mr. MacPherson,

1.0 Introduction and Background Information

Terra-Dynamics Consulting Inc. respectfully submits this DRAFT Hydrogeologic Study and Wetland Water Balance of 436 Quaker Road Welland, and Lot 228/Part Lot 174, Thorold (the Site, Figure 1, see attachments). The rectangular 60.8 hectare Site is located at the northwest corner of Quaker Road and First Avenue, within both the City of Welland (southern part of Site) and south of an unopened Merritt Road allowance within the City of Thorold (northern part of Site) (Figures 1 and 2, see attachments). This report has been completed as part of planning for future residential development of the currently agricultural lands. No tile-drainage is mapped for the Site (OMAFRA, 2023).

The Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF) have mapped at the Site approximately 17 hectares of Provincially Significant Wetland (PSW) associated with the Niagara Street Cataract Road Woodlot Wetland Complex (MNRF, 2009), this includes (Figure 2, see attachments):

- 1. 5.2 hectares of swamp along Quaker Road and First Avenue in the southeast corner of the Site,
- 2. 8.2 hectares of swamp in the northern portion of the Site, and
- 3. 3.6 hectares of marsh in the northeast corner of the Site close to Cataract Road.

A Wetland Water Balance was completed to:

- 1. Ensure no negative impacts to the natural heritage system; and
- 2. Inform future stormwater management design at the Site in such a manner that pre-development wetland water balance conditions are maintained.

The wetland water balance assessment evaluated the pre-development hydrologic regime of the Provincially Significant Wetland areas on-site associated with the Niagara Street Cataract Road Woodlot Wetland Complex (MNRF, 2009) as well as additional wetland vegetation mapped by GEI Consultants (2022).

2.0 Methodology

Primary tasks completed as part of the Hydrogeology Study and Wetland Water Balance included:

- A. Submission of a Water Balance Terms of Reference (Appendix A) to the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA) and Niagara Region for review and comment. NPCA indicated they were satisfied (Appendix A) with the submission of an Updated Water Balance Terms of Reference reflecting a response to initial NPCA comments on the proposed Terms of Reference, however, as of the date of this report, no response was received from Niagara Region.
- B. Initial characterization of the physical setting was completed using published information from the following government agencies: (i) the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs (OMAFRA), (ii) the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF), (iii) the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP), (iv) the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA), and (v) the Ontario Geological Survey (OGS);
- C. Field investigations to refine site conditions have included:
 - (i) 2021 and 2022 geotechnical borehole investigations including laboratory soil grain-size analyses;
 - (ii) Construction of thirteen groundwater monitoring wells between 2021 and 2022;
 - (iii) Installation of eighteen wetland staff gauges deployed with water level dataloggers monitoring from July 2022 to November 2023, as well as wetland soil hand-augering;
 - Seasonal manual groundwater level measurements at 13 locations from May 2022 to November 2023, and water level dataloggers deployed at four monitoring wells from May 2022 to November 2023;
 - (v) Hydraulic testing of groundwater monitoring wells at 11 selected locations; and
 - (vi) Tow Path Drain surface water level monitoring at four locations from August to November 2023.
- D. Modelling of pre-development monthly water balance conditions through consideration of: surface water catchments, land cover, soils, climate normals and wetland hydroperiods in order to inform future site design.

3.0 Physical Setting

According to historical aerial photos, most of the Site was cleared for farming in 1934. Aerial photos indicate that areas of the north-central and southeast portions of the Site had gradually become re-vegetated by 2000, similar to the extent of the vegetation in 2016 (Niagara Navigator, 2023).

The Site is flat-lying with ground surface at approximately 185 metres above sea level (m ASL) along the western boundary sloping to both the northeast at 182 m ASL and the southwest at 181 m ASL, (Figure 2, see attachments), with little to no slope, being less than 1% slope on average.

The Site is regionally located on the Haldimand Clay Plain (Chapman and Putnam, 1984) described in the NPCA Port Robinson Subwatershed Study (part of the Site is within that study area, Figure 1, see attachments), as a physical feature that "...prevents significant infiltration to depth..." (NPCA, 1999). However, the upgradient Fonthill Kame-Delta Complex also plays a role in the hydrology of the Site as it

"is a thick deposit consisting mainly of permeable sand and gravel which provides a significant groundwater flow system within the surrounding clay plain" (Blackport et al, 2005). This is discussed in Section 3.5 and visualized in Figure 3.

3.1 Surface Water

3.1.1 Watershed and Catchments

Overall drainage of the Site is split approximately between two subwatersheds (Figure 1, see attachments): (i) Port Robinson West (NPCA, 1999) - northern part of the Site and, (ii) the Tow Path Drain Subwatershed Catchment – southern part of the Site. The drainage divide between these two subwatersheds roughly parallels the municipal boundary between the City of Thorold to the north and the City of Welland to the south (Figure 2, see attachments). The Port Robinson West, and Tow Path Drain Subwatersheds, are 1,409 ha and 503 ha in size, respectively, consequently the Site is 2% of the Port Robinson Subwatershed (~29 ha), and 6% of the Toe Path Drain Subwatershed (~32 ha).

On-site surface water drainage can be further refined into four pre-development catchments (Figure 4): (i) Singer's Drain West; (ii) Singer's Drain East, (iii) Tow Path Drain North; and (iv) Tow Path Drain South.



Figure 3 – Schematic landscape cross-section showing the relationship of soils on the Fonthill Kame-Delta Complex and its southern slopes (Kingston and Presant, 1989)

3.1.2 Watercourses

Within the northern part of the Site, i.e. flowing to Singer's Drain, are two watercourses that begin off-site to the west of Rice Road (Figure 2, see attachments), and meet within the Provincially Significant Wetland (PSW) north of the Site, and eventually outlet north of the Site at Cataract Road. NPCA (2017) have previously mapped the northern watercourse as ephemeral and the southern watercourse as intermittent or ephemeral (Figure 2, see attachments). No baseflow was identified for these two watercourses at Rice Road during 2003 surface water monitoring completed as part of the Fonthill Kame-Delta Complex study (Blackport et al, 2005).

Within the southern part of the Site, i.e. flowing to Tow Path Drain, are also two watercourses, that meet within the PSW on-site, and flow roughly west to east from Rice Road and Quaker Road, outletting at First Avenue (Figure 2, see attachments). NPCA (2017) have previously mapped these two

watercourses as ephemeral, potentially becoming intermittent close to 1st Avenue. No baseflow was identified at upstream Rice Road during 2003 and 2004 surface water monitoring completed as part of the Fonthill Kame-Delta Complex study (Blackport et al, 2005). Investigations in 2018 by Aquafor Beech (2019) also identified dry conditions upstream of the Site (at the more southern watercourse) and intermittent conditions at 1st Avenue. These results correspond with more recent reporting for this portion of the Tow Path Drain that:

"throughout the length of the drain no signs of groundwater inputs were noted and flows in the drain are entirely dependent on precipitation surface water inputs within its catchment...the drain supports ephemeral flows with minimal flows during the spring freshet and ending by May...the drain flows through... a shallow 1-1.5 m wide straight dug channel/ditch with a shallow U shaped channel morphology...does not provide permanent fish habitat" (Beacon Environmental, 2022).

A series of four surface water staff gauges (SG-101, SG-102, SG-103 and SG-104) were installed on-site along Tow Path Drain in August 2023 (Figure 2, see attachments and Appendix F) and equipped with water level datalogger pressure transducers recording at 15-minutes intervals. The surface water monitoring was completed in addition to the original Terms of Reference (Appendix A). Clayey soils were observed beneath Tow Path Drain during the installation of the gauges via hand-augering completed to between 0.7-0.8 metres below ground surface. During the monitoring period (i.e. mid-August to mid-November 2023) August monthly precipitation was well-above average, while September, October and November monthly precipitation were well-below average (Table 1, see attachments). Water level monitoring of Tow Path Drain indicated surface water is only present intermittently as shown by dry conditions following precipitation events (Appendix F). Nearby groundwater levels were below ground surface during this monitoring period (BH21-13 and BH21-14) further confirming no groundwater discharge to surface water and an intermittent surface water classification consistent with other's investigations. Water levels at the nearby wetlands were observed to rise following precipitation events (Appendix C). After precipitation events, the water levels at nearby wetlands responded one of two ways:

- Where generally water depths were 10 cm or less, diurnal evapotranspiration water level fluctuations and dry/intermittent conditions after rain events (SW-2, SW-3, SW-4, SW-5 and SW-9); or
- Where water depths were greater than 10 cm, diurnal transpiration fluctuations overlaid on a gradual decline, similar to predicted evaporation rates (Schroeter & Associates, 2007) (SW-6 and SW-8).

Wetlands near the Tow Path Drain are primarily sustained by precipitation (Sections 3.6.2 and 4.2), not sustained by backflow retained in the Tow Path Drain, as shown in September 2023 when limited standing water was still present at wetland gauges (i.e. SW-3, SW-4, SW-5, SW-6, SW-8 and SW-9, Appendix E) while dry conditions were observed downgradient at SG-102 and SG-103 (Appendix F).

Surface water flows were measured to decrease downstream on August 10, 2023 (Table 2). This indicated a losing reach and this is presumed to be as a result of infiltration of surface water and/or water uptake of adjacent vegetation.

Date/Station	SG-101	SG-102	SG-103	SG-104
August 10	No flow	2.3	2.2	1.8
September 6	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
October 3	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
October 23	Dry	No flow*	Dry	No flow*
November 20	No flow*	No flow*	No flow*	0.6

Table 2 - 2023 Tow Path Drain Surface Water Flow Measurements (L/

Note: * - water present but not flowing

Staff gauge SG-102 was removed by wildlife or vandalism in November 2023.

3.2 Soils

The Site soils are mapped as silty clays: (i) Toledo – Loamy Phase, and (ii) Beverly – Loamy Phase, with (iii) a small portion of Berrien soils (Figure 4, see attachments), details include (Kingston and Presant, 1989):

- i. Toledo Loamy Phase: silty clay texture (Table 3), (38% of site, 23.1 ha in northern part of Site and 2.26 ha in the southern part of the Site), poorly drained, slowly permeable, water levels stay near the surface much of the year, relatively high water-holding capacity and moderate to high surface runoff (HSG D, Table 4). The Singer's Drain PSW is perched on these low permeability soils.
- ii. Beverly Loamy Phase: silty clay texture (Table 3), (50% of site, 30.3 ha in central to southern part of Site), imperfectly drained, moderately to slowly permeable, water occupies the surface horizons for a period of time each year and is prolonged where subsoil has been overcompacted by heavy machinery, water-holding capacity ranges from medium to high, and surface runoff is moderate to high, (HSG C, Table 4).
- iii. Berrien: 40 to 100 cm sandy sediments over silty clay (Table 3), (6% of Site, 3.9 ha in southeast corner of Site), imperfectly drained, rapidly permeable but water perches because of underlying clayey soils, and slow surface runoff (HSG C, Table 4). However sandy sediments were not encountered during hand-augering in this area in 2023.
- 6% of the Site's soils are not mapped (NM, Figure 4, see attachments) but are likely partially Toledo loamy phase and partially Beverly loamy phase, as silty clay/clayey silt/silt was recorded at boreholes within (i.e. BH21-3 and BH22-01) or immediately adjacent (e.g. BH21-16 and BH22-03) these areas (Section 3.3, Appendix B).

The Tow Path Drain PSW is mostly underlain by Berrien and Beverly loamy phase (soils >90%, i.e. HSG C) with <10% Toledo loamy phase (HSG D).

Soil Name/Location	Sand%	Silt%	Clay%	Texture ²
Beverly – Loamy Phase	7	49	44	
Toledo – Loamy Red Phase	9	50	41	Silty Clay
Berrien	8	46	46	

Table 3 – Horizon C Grain-size Analyses Summary¹

Note: ¹ - Kingston and Presant, 1989, ² - Texture as per Fetter (1994)

10	
HSG Group	Soil description
А	sand, loamy sand or sandy loam
В	silt loam or loam
С	sandy clay loam
D	clay loam, silty clay loam, sandy clay, silty clay or clay

Table 4 - Hydrologic Soil Groups (USDA, 1986)

3.3 Surficial Geology

The Ontario Geological Survey (OGS) have mapped the Site as being covered by a layer of low permeability soils (clayey silt to silty clay) (Feenstra, 1984). This general characterization was confirmed by two geotechnical investigations of the Site (DS Consultants, 2022 and Soil-Mat Engineers and Consultants, 2023). These geotechnical investigations involved sixteen boreholes in 2021 and another sixteen boreholes in 2022 (Figure 2, see attachments). The boreholes are summarized on two geologic cross-sections (Figures 5 and 6, see attachments) which show the Site as underlain by silty clay to clayey silt, with some limited seams of fine sand to silt. It is noted that 0.5 m of silty sand was noted at surface at three locations in the northwest part of the Site (BH21-1, BH21-2 and BH21-12) overlying the clayey silt to silty clay to clayey silt that covers most of the Site is often underlain by a sandy silt to silt at 5-6 metres below ground surface (m BGS). Most of the geotechnical boreholes were completed to between 7 and 8 m BGS. Four deep boreholes were also completed (i.e. 20 to 36 m BGS) without encountering bedrock but confirming that the underlying soils consist of silty clay, silt/sandy silt and clayey silt till. The thickness of the overburden ranges between 45 to 40 m, across the Site from west to east, respectively (NPSPA, 2013).

3.4 Bedrock

The Site is underlain by two bedrock formations, (i) Lockport Formation dolostone – northwest part of the Site, and (ii) Guelph Formation dolostone – the southeast part of the Site (NPSPA, 2013).

3.5 Hydrogeologic Setting

The Site is located on the Haldimand Clay plain which is a regional aquitard (Gartner Lee Limited, 1987). This aquitard consists of the Upper Whittlesey, Halton, Lower Whittlesey and Wentworth Aquitards (Burt, 2016). An aquitard is "a low-permeability geologic unit that can store groundwater, but that transmits groundwater slowly" (Niagara Peninsula Source Protection Authority, 2013). Upgradient of the Site is the Fonthill Kame-Delta Complex (Figure 7, schematic below) which is a regional groundwater recharge area (NPCA and AquaResource Inc., 2010).

The Port Robinson Subwatershed Study (NPCA, 1999) previously described the hydrostratigraphy as follows (the numbered bullets below correspond with the numbers on schematic Figure 7 as modified from NPCA, 1999):

- 1. The upper end of the subwatershed consists of permeably (sandy) deposits which often extend to bedrock;
- 2. The eastern part of the subwatershed is relatively flat, and is predominantly underlain by aquitard (clay) materials, with sandy pockets;
- 3. A thin, sand aquifer unit may separate the aquitard (Unit 2) from bedrock. This unit may be hydraulically connected to the sand deposits to the west;
- 4. The subwatershed is underlain by dolostone bedrock;
- 5. Precipitation falling within the western half of the subwatershed, could (a) runoff, (b) recharge radially from the topographic height, to the deeper aquifer units, such as bedrock, or the lower sand, or (c) discharge to surface in the vicinity of the clay contact, providing baseflow to area tributaries; and
- 6. The majority of precipitation falling within the eastern half of the subwatershed, will become runoff, rather than infiltration, however the limited precipitation that does infiltrate is expected to discharge to local tributaries.



Figure 7 - Conceptual Hydrostratigraphy (modified after NPCA, 1999, section numbers are explained in Section 3.5)

3.5.1 Overburden Aquitard

The hydraulic conductivity of the regional Haldimand Clay Plain overburden aquitard is reported as $7x10^{-7}$ m/s, or less (GLL, 1987). Hydraulic conductivity testing at the Site generally confirmed similar or lower hydraulic conductivities with an average value of $3x10^{-9}$ m/s, with two minor exceptions in the northeast portion of the Site (i.e. BH21-1 and BH21-6) that had slightly higher values which is inferred at these locations to be as a result of fine sand or silt seams.

Two methods were used to determine on-site hydraulic conductivities:

- (i) Laboratory grain size analyses and the Excel-tool HydrogeoSieveXL (Devlin, 2015); and
- (ii) Hydraulic conductivity of select monitoring wells previously constructed on-site as part of the geotechnical investigations (Figure 2, see attachments).

These results are presented in Table 5 (below) and the analyses are provided in Appendix C.

Geologic Unit(s)	Location	Hydraulic	Depth	Analysis Method			
		Conductivity	(m BGS)				
		(m/s)					
	BH22-2	1x10 ⁻⁹	5	-			
	BH22-1	4x10 ⁻⁸	5	-			
	BH22-3	1x10 ⁻⁹	5	-			
	BH21-2	6x10 ⁻⁹	3				
	BH21-3	1x10 ⁻⁹	3				
Boorly sorted clay with finas	BH21-6	3x10 ⁻⁹	3	Daylin (2015)			
Poorly solled clay with lines	BH21-8	3x10 ⁻¹⁰	3	Deviiii (2015)			
	BH21-9	5x10 ⁻¹⁰	3				
	BH21-11	1x10 ⁻⁹	3				
	BH21-13	3x10 ⁻¹⁰	3				
	BH21-14	5x10 ⁻¹⁰	3				
	BH21-16	1x10 ⁻⁹	3				
Silty Clay with fine sand	BH21-1	5x10 ⁻⁷	4.1-6.1				
seams or	BH21-2	4x10 ⁻⁹	2161				
Silt with some sand	BH21-6	3x10 ⁻⁷	3.1-0.1				
	BH21-3	3x10 ⁻⁹					
	BH21-11	1x10 ⁻⁹					
	BH21-13	4x10 ⁻⁹		Bouwer and Rice (1989)			
Silty Clay	BH21-14	1x10 ⁻⁸	2161				
Silty Clay	BH21-16	4x10 ⁻¹⁰	5.1-0.1				
	BH22-02	4x10 ⁻⁸					
	BH22-03	2x10 ⁻⁹					
	MW-11	8x10 ⁻⁹					
Geome	etric Mean	3x10 ⁻⁹					

Table 5 – Hydraulic Conductivity Analyses

Note: BGS - Below ground surface

The infiltration rates of the on-site soils are calculated as less than 15 mm/hour according to the relationship between soil hydraulic conductivity (Appendix C) and infiltration rate as provided by Credit Valley Conservation (2012). Consequently, the native soils are considered unsuitable for infiltration trenches, soakaway pits and pervious pipes (MECP, 2003).

3.5.2 Overburden Groundwater Flow

The regional groundwater table was previously modelled as towards the Site from the Fonthill Kame-Delta Complex groundwater recharge area (Blackport & Associates, and Waterloo Hydrogeologic Inc., 2005). Groundwater flow in the water table is generally from northwest to southeast as shown on Figure 8 (see attachments) for November 2022. The horizontal gradient in November 2022 was low at approximately 0.005 to 0.008 m/m.

3.5.3 Overburden Groundwater Levels

Manual groundwater level measurements were collected seasonally at thirteen monitoring wells (Figure 2, see attachments, DS Consultants, 2022). The monitoring wells are generally screened in silty clay between 3.1 to 6.1 m BGS (Appendix B). Manual groundwater level measurements (Table 6, see attachments) were collected in spring, summer and fall of 2022 and 2023. Water level dataloggers were deployed collecting measurements every 15-minutes at four monitoring wells MW21-01, MW21-03, M21-13 and MW21-14.

The 'spring-high' groundwater levels were measured very close to surface in April, 2023 as generally less than 1 m BGS (Figure 9, see attachments). In August, 2022, the depth to groundwater increased across the Site from west to east from ~1 m BGS to 2.5 m BGS despite '*Abnormally dry to moderate*' drought climate conditions reported by Agriculture Canada (2023) for August 2022.

The greatest seasonal groundwater level variations were noted for the downgradient monitoring locations, while less seasonal variation was noted for the upgradient western locations (Figures 10, 11 and 12, see attachments). Dampened seasonal variation for the upgradient western locations is inferred to be as a result of horizontal groundwater recharge from the Fonthill Kame-Delta Complex Recharge Area to the Site. Observations from the groundwater levels include:

- Upgradient western groundwater levels showed similar limited seasonal variation between spring season highs and summer season lows of 0.5 to 0.8 m (i.e. MW21-1, MW21-2, MW21-6 and MW21-11).
- 2. Downgradient eastern groundwater levels showed similar greater seasonal variation between spring season highs and fall season lows of 1.1 to 2.7 m (i.e. MW21-3, MW21-8, MW21-9, MW21-13 and MW21-4).

Observations from the water level dataloggers in the four groundwater monitoring wells include (Appendix D):

i. Monitor MW21-01 (west/upgradient): The groundwater level in the monitoring well was consistently above ground surface, at above 184.3 m ASL except during the summer and early fall seasons, however, there were not ponded conditions at surface. With only about 0.5 m of seasonal

change from spring to August 2022, it is believed the fine sand seams noted on the borehole log receive lateral recharge from the Fonthill Kame-Delta Complex as limited drawdown only occurred during the summer growing season.

- ii. Monitor MW21-13 (located mid-site): The groundwater level showed a distinct seasonal decline of about 2.5 m from the spring season to October 2022, with groundwater level recovery beginning in the fall season of 2022, with some responsiveness to precipitation noted.
- Monitor MW21-03 (located downgradient/east): A seasonal decline of groundwater levels of about 1 m from spring to October 2022, with groundwater level recovery beginning in the season of fall 2022 with limited responsiveness to precipitation events.
- iv. Monitor MW21-14 (located in the southwest): A seasonal decline of groundwater levels of about
 1.5 m from the spring season to August/October 2022, with groundwater level recovery completed
 before winter 2023, and was very responsive to precipitation events.

Monitoring wells BH21-16 and BH22-03 were decommissioned by a licensed Ontario water well contractor in May 2023 in order to accommodate activities on-site.

3.5.3 Bedrock Aquifer

The confined dolostone bedrock aquifer underlying the Site is the primary local supply for private wells that may be located west, north or east of the Site as Niagara Region has mapped the Welland portion of the Site and south as part of the municipally serviced area. Regional groundwater flow modelling completed for NPCA of the Fonthill Kame-Delta Complex (Blackport et al, 2005) maps the bedrock potentiometric surface as flowing from the west towards the Site. Additional regional contouring of the potentiometric surface of the bedrock aquifer (and other water wells completed greater than 15 metres below ground surface) map it as west (176 m ASL) to east (175.5 m ASL) across the Site, with a groundwater divide to the north (175 m ASL) and south (174.5 m ASL) similar to the surface water divides (WHI, 2005). This suggests a downwards vertical gradient at the Site between the overburden water table and the bedrock aquifer.

3.6 Wetlands

The Site includes 17 ha of the Provincially Significant Niagara Street Cataract Road Woodlot Wetland Complex (MNRF, 2009), mapped to cover 27% of the Site (Figure 2, see attachments). The MNRF have described that the "dominant wetland type … (is) swamp, situated through a slough forest ecosystem. A slough forest ecosystem is characteristic of the Haldimand and Niagara Clay plain physiographic regions and consists of shallow to deep depressions…" (MNRF, 2009). It is noted an area of marsh was also mapped by the MNRF in the northeast corner of the Site. General information regarding the Provincially Significant Wetlands mapped by the MNRF at the Site are summarized in Table 7. For example, the wetlands have been mapped as Palustrine wetlands, based upon having intermittent or no inflow, and either permanent or intermittent outflow and may rely on rainfall and some overland flow (MNRF, 2014).

Area	Size (ha)	Туре	Dominant	Wetland	Soils
			Vegetation	Hydrology	
North Swamp Singers Drain	7.84	Swamp	Red maple	Palustrine	Clay/Loam
North Marsh Singers Drain	3.62	Marsh	Broadleaf cattail	Palustrine	Clay/Loam
South Toe Path Drain	5.21	Swamp	Rice cut grass	Palustrine	Clay/Loam

Table 7 – Provincially Significant Wetland Information (MNRF, 2009)

Additional wetland vegetation at the Site has been mapped by GEI Consultants (2022) and is summarized in Section 3.6.1 with respect to their Ecological Land Classifications and shown on Figure 13 (see attachments). It is our understanding the wetland extents were staked in June 28, 2021 with the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA) and Niagara Region.

3.6.1 Wetland Ecological Land Classification (ELC) Mapping

The Wetland Ecological Land Classifications (ELCs) from GEI Consultants (2022) are summarized below in Table 8 with the associated wetland monitoring staff gauges listed and shown on Figure 13 (see attachments). However, it is our understanding that the wetlands monitored by staff gauges SW-1, SW-2, SW-13 and SW-14 are not being kept as part of the development plan.

ELC	Description	Hydrologic	Staff Gauges
		Sensitivity*	U
MAS2-1	Cattail Mineral Shallow Marsh	Medium	SW-1, SW-13,
			SW-14, SW-15
SWT2-9	Grey Dogwood Mineral Thicket Swamp	Low**	SW-2, SW-17
SWD3-3/	Swamp Maple Mineral Deciduous Swamp/	Medium	SW-3, SW-7
CUW1/ SWT2-8/	Mineral Cultural Woodland/ Silky Dogwood		
CUT1-1	Mineral Thicket Swamp/ Sumac Cultural Thicket		
SWT2-8/	Silky Dogwood Mineral Thicket Swamp/	Medium/	SW-16
MAM2-10	Forb Mineral Meadow Marsh	Low	
SWT2-8/	Silky Dogwood Mineral Thicket Swamp/	Medium	SW-4, SW-5,
MAS2-1	Cattail Mineral Shallow Marsh		SW-6, SW-8,
			SW-9,
MAM2-10/	Forb Mineral Meadow Marsh/Dry and European	Low**	SW-10, SW-
MAS2-11	Reed Shallow Marsh		11, SW-12
SWD3-2	Silver Maple Mineral Deciduous Swamp	Medium	SW-18

Table 8 – Wetland Ecological Land Classifications (ELCs)

Notes: * - Wetland Sensitivity from TRCA (2017), ** - ELC not listed in TRCA (2017) and assigned based upon previous investigations in the Niagara Peninsula and/or correspondence with GEI

3.6.2 Wetland Water Level/Hydroperiod Monitoring

A hydroperiod is defined as "the seasonal pattern of the water level of a wetland...It characterizes each type of wetland, and the constancy of its pattern from year to year ensures a reasonable stability for that wetland. It defines the rise and fall of a wetland's surface and subsurface water by integrating all of the inflows and outflows" (Mitsch and Gosselink, 2007).

Eighteen wetland water level staff gauges were installed by Terra-Dynamics between May 10 and 24, 2022 to monitor wetland hydroperiods at locations chosen by GEI Ecological Staff (Figure 13, see attachments, Appendix E Location Photos). During installation of the staff gauges, silty clay soils were confirmed to be between 0.4 and 0.5 m BGS by hand-augering at each of the eighteen locations.

Manual water level measurements began at all locations in the spring season of 2022 on May 24, with some locations also monitored earlier on May 10, 2022 (Table 9, see attachments). Water level data loggers were deployed at each staff gauge beginning July 21, 2022 to measure water levels at 15-minute intervals, and the water level plots are located in Appendix E. The staff gauges for wetland water level monitoring were constructed with well-points that allowed measurement of both surface water levels and shallow water levels to 0.1 m below ground surface.

Surface water was present at each monitored wetland staff gauge on May 10, 2022 with three locations becoming dry by May 24, 2022 (SW-2, SW-5 and SW-16) (Table 9, see attachments), following three months of below average precipitation (Table 1, see attachments). The wetland hydrographs from July 2022 to November 2023 using the water level datalogger information are presented in Appendix E. The primary influence of precipitation in supplying water to the wetlands is supported in comparison of the water levels to the monthly wetland water balance modelling (Section 4.2).

The observed wetland hydrographs at the Site were fairly similar in overall patterns over the July 2022 to November 2023 monitoring period (Appendix E). These perched surface water level patterns are summarized below:

- a) Summer 2022: Dry during Summer 2022,
- b) Fall 2022: Surface water levels recovered in Fall 2022,
- c) Winter 2022-2023: Surface water levels maintained
- d) Spring 2023: Surface water levels declined to dry
- e) Summer 2023: Mostly wet conditions attributed to above-average July/August precipitation (Table 1, see attachments).
- f) Fall 2023: Surface water level decline and recovery. It is noted that as of the November 20, 2023 datalogger download, recovery was not yet noted at SW-5, SW-9 or SW-10.

Mitsch and Gosselink (2007) report that the "hydroperiods of many bottomland hardwood forests and swamps have distinct periods of surface flooding in the winter and early spring due to snow and ice conditions followed by spring floods but otherwise have a water table that can be a meter or more below the surface" (Figure 14), this characterization appears reasonable for the wetlands at the Site.



Note: arrow indicates wetland ground surface

3.6.3 Wetland Characterization

The wetlands are proposed classified as a *surface water depression wetlands* (Figure 15) (Mitsch and Gosselink, 2007).

A surface water depression wetland is summarized as a: "wetland...dominated by surface runoff and precipitation, with little groundwater outflow due to a layer of low-permeability soils...". Low permeability silty clay soils have been noted beneath the wetlands as per this description.



Figure 15 - Surface water depression wetland (Mitsch and Gosselink, 2007)

3.6.3 Soil Water Holding Capacity

The wetlands are primarily underlain by Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) C soils with the northern wetlands underlain by HSG D or a combination of C/D soils (Section 3.2). The wetlands underlain by HSG C are assigned a soil water holding capacity (SWHC) of 400 mm, where underlain by HSG D 350 mm, and where underlain by HSG C/D 375 mm. These SWHC values are based upon previous swamp wetland values used by NPCA in their water budgeting study (AquaResource Inc. and NPCA, 2009).

3.6.4 Wetland Surface Water Catchments

As described in Section 3.1.1, and shown on Figure 4, the Site can be subdivided into four catchments: (i) Singer's Drain West, (ii) Singer's Drain East, (iii) Tow Path Drain North and (iv) Tow Path Drain South.

Smaller catchments were not modelled as the wetland water level monitoring (Section 3.6.2) and wetland modelling (Section 4.2) support precipitation as the primary source of water sustaining the wetlands.

3.7 Pre-development Subwatershed Water Balance Modelling

NPCA previously completed pre-development water balance modelling for 1991-2005, as part of provincial water budgeting for the source water protection program (AquaResource Inc. and NPCA, 2009). This modelling was completed at 1-hour time steps with a filled-in meteorological dataset including solar radiation and a crop coefficient for improved calculation of evapotranspiration. The modelling used lumped parameter catchments incorporating data such as soils, land cover and slope.

The Site is located within two NPCA modelled catchments: (i) Beaversdam Shriners Creek Welland Canal North W320 (BDSC_WCN_W320) and (ii) Central Welland River Tow Path Drain W100 (CWR_TPD_W100) (Figure 1, see attachments). It was determined that the modelled results for Catchment BDSC_WCN_W320 best suit the Site for application with respect to pre-development water balance conditions (i.e. slope, soils, land cover and evapotranspiration).

Modelled annual and monthly water balance results were obtained for Catchment BDSC_WCN_W320 (Tables 10 and 11, respectively, without decimal places) (AquaResource Inc. and NPCA, 2009). The annual surplus as shown on Table 10 is precipitation minus evapotranspiration, i.e. the water available for runoff and recharge.

Catchment	Precipitation	Actual	Annual	Infiltration*	Recharge	Runoff							
		Evapotranspiration	Surplus										
	(mm/year)												
BDSC_WCN_W310	968	650	318	76	38	242							
CWR_TPD_W100	968	469	499	97	49	401							

Table 10 - Water Balance 15-year (1991-2005) Averages

Notes: * - Infiltration is interflow plus recharge

14						1011/00						
Month	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Runoff (mm)	38	27	54	45	17	9	3	3	4	3	18	20
Infiltration												
(mm)	13	12	23	16	5	1	0	0	0	0	3	7

Table 11 - Monthly Runoff and Infiltration (Catchment BDSC_WCN_W310)

4.0 Wetland Water Balance Assessment

A monthly wetland water balance assessment has been completed for the Site's wetlands, as informed by the Conservation Authority Guidelines for Development Applications (Conservation Ontario, 2013) and TRCA's guidance for water balances (2012).

It is noted that the MECP (2003) water balance approach is typically concerned with the evaluation of post-development to prevent (i) increased runoff, and/or (ii) reduction in groundwater recharge. However, given the current wetland characterization, any on-site water surplus contribution to hydrologic function with respect to the wetlands is via additional surface water flow, not groundwater discharge. Consequently, the purpose of the pre-development on-site water balance assessment modelling is to evaluate if runoff maintains monthly saturated conditions at the wetlands.

4.1 Monthly Water Balance Example

An example of water balance modelling from the University of Waterloo is shown below (Figure 16). Annual groundwater recharge begins in the fall following 'soil water utilization' and 'deficit' in the summer. Soil water utilization corresponds with evapotranspiration exceeding the precipitation supply. Annual groundwater recharge occurs during the same time period that groundwater levels rise. However, in this example it is noted that the soil water holding capacity (SWHC) modelled was only 100 mm compared to the higher SWHC 350 to 400 mm for the on-site wetlands (Section 3.6.3) which retain a greater amount of water.



Figure 16 – Brantford Average Water Balance (Sanderson, 2004)

4.2 Wetland Monthly Water Balance

A monthly water balance for the wetlands was completed using the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Monthly Water Balance Model (McCabe and Markstrom, 2007), which only considers direct precipitation to the wetland as a water supply. For temperature and precipitation, three time intervals were modelled for the three soil water holding capacities identified (Section 3.6.3) (a) climate normal inputs (1981-2010) from Welland Station ID 6139445 (Environment Canada, 2023a), (b) 2022, and (c) 2023. Monthly wetland water balance modelling results are presented in a series of attached tables for (a) the climate normals (Tables 12a, 12b and 12c), (b) 2022 (Tables 13a, 13b and 13c) and (c) 2023 (Tables 14a, 14b and 14c).

In summary, the average/climate normal results (1981-2010) were:

- 1. Potential evapotranspiration exceeded precipitation for June, July and August, i.e. soil water utilization occurred on average;
- 2. Soil water holding capacities were less than saturated for the months of June to October; and
- 3. Soil water recharge occurred in September and October.

These conditions are presented below in Tables 15a, 15b and 15c:

Month	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Precipitation (mm)	78	61	70	75	85	83	86	82	97	89	99	92
Evapotranspiration (mm)	10	12	21	40	72	106	122	97	60	32	17	11
Soil Moisture (mm)	400	400	400	400	400	373	333	314	346	398	400	400
Soil Water ¹ Depletion (mm)	0	0	0	0	0	27	67	86	54	2	0	0

Table 15a – Average Monthly Wetland Water Balance (mm), HSG C (SWHC 400 mm)

Notes: ¹ Difference between the SWHC and the modelled soil moisture

Table 15b – Average Monthly Wetland Water Balance (mm), HSG D (SWHC 350 mm)

Month	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Precipitation (mm)	78	61	70	75	85	83	86	82	97	89	99	92
Evapotranspiration (mm)	10	12	21	40	72	106	122	97	60	32	17	11
Soil Moisture (mm)	350	350	350	350	350	323	283	265	297	349	350	350
Soil Water ¹ Depletion (mm)	0	0	0	0	0	27	67	85	53	1	0	0

Notes: ¹ Difference between the SWHC and the modelled soil moisture

Table 15c – Average Monthly Wetland Water Balance (mm), HSG C/D (SWHC 375 mm)

Month	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Precipitation (mm)	78	61	70	75	85	83	86	82	97	89	99	92

Month	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Evapotranspiration (mm)	10	12	21	40	72	106	122	97	60	32	17	11
Soil Moisture (mm)	375	375	375	375	375	348	308	289	321	374	375	375
Soil Water ¹ Depletion (mm)	0	0	0	0	0	27	67	86	54	1	0	0

Notes: ¹ Difference between the SWHC

The monthly soil water modelling of 2022 and 2023 (Tables 13/14, attached) differed from average conditions as a function of the primary influence of precipitation not being average (Table 1, attached):

- Potential evapotranspiration exceeded precipitation earlier in May 2022 and 2023 (below average precipitation), and later in September 2023 (below average precipitation) but not in July/August (above average precipitation);
- 2. Soil water holding capacities were also less than saturated for May 2022 and 2023 (below average precipitation) but not less than saturated for August 2023 (above average precipitation);
- 3. Soil water recharge occurred earlier in July 2023 (above average precipitation) but not in September 2023 (below average precipitation).

The 2022 and 2023 modelled results reasonably match the observed wetland hydroperiod monitoring water levels (Appendix F), further supporting the primary role of precipitation in sustaining the wetland hydroperiods. It is noted that the hydrographs extend to November 20, 2023 prior to most of the November 2023 precipitation recharging to a "surplus" condition (Tables 14a/14b).

4.3 Wetland Water Balance Assessment

The extensive investigation and monitoring program and water balance modelling support the wetlands at the Site being supported primarily by precipitation. Consequently, development of the Site is not predicted to negatively affect the wetland hydroperiods as long as the proposed Environmental Impact Study buffers are observed.

4.4 Wetland Risk Evaluation

4.4.1 Magnitude of Hydrological Change

TRCA's wetland risk evaluation (2017) decision tree (Figure 17) includes four key hydrological change criteria:

- 1) Impervious cover in catchment;
- 2) Change in catchment size;
- 3) Dewatering; and
- 4) Impact to recharge areas.

The magnitude of hydrological change assessment was completed of the proposed southern development within the City of Welland of about 30.2 hectares.

(1) The amount of impervious cover within the areas proposed for development in Tow Path Drain South is calculated to be between 10 and 25% (A.T. McLaren Limited, 2024, WalterFedy, 2024a, Appendix G). Development of Tow Path Drain North as proposed (A.T. McLaren Limited, 2024 and WalterFedy, 2024a, Appendix G) is calculated to be greater than 25%.

(2) The post-development surface water catchments are proposed as follows: (a) Tow Path Drain North:9% reduction in catchment area and (d) Tow Path Drain South: no reduction in catchment area(WALTERFEDY, 2024b).

(3) Construction dewatering is not expected to affect wetlands due to the low permeability of the soils on-site (Section 3.5.1). The aquitard underlying the Site is generally of sufficiently low permeability that groundwater control pumping methods are likely not feasible (Preene, 2020). However, an exception to this may be the northwest corner of the Site where the most permeable materials were identified at-surface and in the water table. Development in this area may require exclusion methods (e.g. cut-off collars for municipal servicing) to prevent long-term dewatering of adjacent wetlands.

(4) No impacts to wetland recharge areas are predicted as TRCA (2017) defines this as "*replacement of existing soils with significantly less permeable materials*" and the on-site soils are already of low permeability. In addition, there are no locally significant recharge areas to be impacted as these are defined by TRCA (2017) as "*highly porous sedimentary deposits or otherwise having high hydraulic conductivity*".

"The highest magnitude category with one or more criteria satisfied determines the potential magnitude of change" with the magnitude thresholds of less than 10% change as low, 10-25% as medium and greater than 25% as high (TRCA, 2017). Hydrologic risk is assigned based upon the magnitude of impervious cover to be introduced in upgradient catchment areas; medium in Tow Path Drain South and high in Tow Path Drain North. However, as discussed in Section 4.3.2, negative hydrologic impacts to the downgradient wetlands are not predicted with the implementation of wetland buffers as recommended by GEI in their future Environmental Impact Study.

4.4.2 Sensitivity of the Wetlands

The risk assignment (Figure 17) is to consider the type of wetlands, and their hydrological sensitivity (TRCA, 2017) which is tabulated in Table 6. None of the wetlands were classified as high hydrologic sensitivity, however, some were classified as medium (i.e. Cattail Mineral Shallow Marsh, Swamp Maple Mineral Deciduous Swamp, Silky Dogwood Mineral Thicket Swamp, Silver Maple Mineral Deciduous Swamp) and others as low (i.e. Grey Dogwood Mineral Thicket Swamp, Forb Mineral Meadow Marsh).

4.4.3 Risk Assignment

As per Figure 17, a medium risk is assigned based upon (i) either a high or medium magnitude of hydrological change, and (ii) a medium wetland sensitivity. The TRCA recommended study, modelling and mitigation requirements are:

(i) Pre-development monitoring as outlined in the Wetland Water Balance Monitoring Protocol (TRCA, 2016).

- Pre-development monitoring has occurred and informed the conceptual model and impact assessment for the Site.
- (ii) Continuous hydrological modelling at daily aggregated to weekly resolution.
 - Existing modelling (completed at 1-hour time steps) completed by NPCA was utilized for this report (AquaResource Inc. and NPCA, 2009) as part of a monthly analysis. Re-visiting this modelling to extract weekly results would not provide discernable benefit.
- (iii) Design of a mitigation plan to maintain the wetland water balance, in some cases an interim mitigation plan may also be required.
 - Mitigation is not indicated to be required as precipitation is the primary water supply for the wetlands. EIS proposed buffers are predicted to be sufficient to maintain pre-development conditions for the wetlands.



Figure 17 - Wetland Risk Evaluation Decision Tree (TRCA, 2017)

5.0 Key Hydrologic Areas and Features

The Niagara Region Official Plan (2022) lists under Policy 3.1.10.1 that:

Development or site alteration shall not be permitted unless it can demonstrated that it will not have negative impacts on:

a. the quantity and quality of water in key hydrologic areas, key hydrologic features, sensitive surface water features, and sensitive ground water features;

- b. the hydrologic functions of key hydrologic areas, key hydrologic features, sensitive surface water features, and sensitive groundwater features;
- c. the interaction and linkage between key hydrologic areas, key hydrologic features, sensitive surface water features, and sensitive groundwater features and other components of the natural environment system;
- d. the natural hydrologic characteristics of watercourses such as base flow, form and function, and headwater drainage areas;
- e. natural drainage systems and shorelines areas; and
- f. flooding or erosion.

Key hydrologic areas have been defined as "Significant groundwater recharge areas, highly vulnerable aquifers, and significant surface water contribution areas that are necessary for the ecological and hydrologic integrity of a watershed"

Key Hydrologic Features have been defined as "*Permanent streams, intermittent streams, inland lakes and their littoral zones, seepage areas and springs and wetlands*" (Niagara Region, 2022).

5.1 Highly Vulnerable Aquifers (HVAs)

There are no Highly Vulnerable Aquifers (HVAs) mapped at the Site. It is noted that potential HVAs are mapped adjacent to the Site, however, these are related to potential historical water wells requiring decommissioning at those lands (NPCA, 2011). The off-site HVA mapping has no bearing on development at the Site. However, it should be noted that as monitoring wells at the Site are no longer required, they must be decommissioned by a licensed water well contractor (MECP, 2023).

5.2 Significant Groundwater Recharge Areas (SGRAs)

Significant Groundwater Recharge Areas (SGRAs) have been mapped at the Site which were mapped based upon prescribed Technical Rules for source water protection studies (MECP, 2009). However, site-level investigations have confirmed the Site as underlain by a low permeability aquitard unsuitable for on-site infiltration activities (i.e. <15 mm/hour infiltration and often high water table conditions). No negative impacts to the ecological and hydrologic integrity of the watershed are predicted and additional groundwater recharge mitigation measures are not required.

The NPCA SGRA mapping was intended as a screening layer to be informed by site-level investigations. NPCA had recommended two levels of SGRA significance (NPCA, 2009) however that is not currently reflected in SGRA mapping. Two levels of significance were recommended as the Source Protection Committee chose an MECP SGRA threshold that is very low and includes clayey silt where infiltration may not be suitable. During development of the Niagara Peninsula Source Protection Plan, no policies were included for SGRAs (NPSPC, 2014).

5.3 Key Hydrologic Features

No negative impacts to the Tow Path Drain are predicted as it has been identified as intermittent and having no baseflow or groundwater inputs.

No negative impacts to the wetlands are predicted as they are primarily sustained by precipitation.

6.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

6.1 Conclusions

The following conclusions are provided:

- The Site is 60.8 hectares in area. Within the Site the Ministry of Natural Resources & Forestry have mapped Provincial Significant Swamp Wetland associated with the Niagara Street Cataract Road Woodlot Wetland Complex, and GEI Consultants have also mapped additional non-PSW wetlands at the Site. The coverage of wetlands on-site mapped by GEI is currently 27.2 hectares, with 17 hectares being provincially significant (63%).
- 2. The Site is located on the Haldimand Clay Plain, a regional thick aquitard of silty clay/clayey silt soils and downgradient of the Fonthill Kame-Delta Complex which is considered a regional groundwater recharge area.
- 3. Native soils are low permeability and not suitable for infiltration trenches, soakaway pits or pervious pipes.
- 4. Surface water drainage is almost evenly split and roughly along the municipal boundary with flow to the north and Thorold via Singer's Drain, and flow to the south and Welland via Tow Path Drain.
- 5. Watercourse monitoring at the Site has identified intermittent or ephemeral conditions.
- 6. Shallow groundwater flow is generally from northwest to southeast across the Site. The high water table in the spring season of 2023 was generally less than 1 m below natural ground surface while during August, 2022 the depth to the water table increased from west to east.
- 7. Groundwater levels are consistently above ground surface at monitoring well BH21-01 in the northwest corner of the Site. With only about 0.5 m of seasonal change in summer 2022, it is believed fine sand seams receive lateral recharge from the upgradient/off-site Fonthill Kame-Delta Complex.
- 8. The wetlands are on low permeability silty clay, consisting of surface water depression wetlands.
- 9. Wetland water levels monitored at eighteen locations, selected by GEI Consultants, since the summer season of 2022 resemble published hydroperiods for Canadian swamps and reasonably match modelled monthly water balance results for being sustained by precipitation alone.
- 10. A monthly water balance for the wetlands identified, on average, potential evapotranspiration as exceeding precipitation for June, July and August, with soil water holding capacities less than saturated also in September and October.

- 11. Pre-development monthly water balance modelling reasonably matches wetland water level monitoring supporting the conceptual model of palustrine wetlands (e.g. intermittent or no inflow) supported primarily by precipitation.
- 12. The Toronto Region Conservation Authority wetland risk screening tool assigned a 'potential' medium risk to the hydrological and ecological integrity of the wetlands, based upon either a high or medium magnitude of hydrological change and medium wetland sensitivity. However, the risk protocol does not include scoping precipitation supplied wetlands.
- 13. Residential development of the Site should not negatively impact the hydrology of the wetlands because the wetlands are primarily supplied by precipitation and therefore implementation of buffers as prescribed in the Environmental Impact Study should be sufficient.
- 14. No negative impacts to to the ecological and hydrologic integrity of the watershed are predicted and additional groundwater recharge mitigation measures are not required.

6.2 Recommendations

The following recommendations are provided:

- 1. Evaluate continuance of the wetland and surface water monitoring programs at the Site following acceptance of this report by NPCA and Niagara Region; and
- 2. Decommission the on-site monitoring wells once no longer required using a licensed in Ontario water well contractor.

We trust this information is sufficient for your present needs. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions.

Yours truly,

TERRA-DYNAMICS CONSULTING INC.

Coystell howe

Jayme D. Campbell, P. Eng. Senior Water Resources Engineer

cc. Daniel Stummer, Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc. Eric Salembier, WALTERFEDY Antonette Zimic/Rick Hubbard, GEI



Attachments Figure 1 – Location of Subject Lands Figure 2 – Site Details Figure 4 – Soils and Surface Water Catchments Figure 5 – Hydrogeologic Cross-Section North-South A-A' Figure 6 – Hydrogeologic Cross-Section West-East B-B' Figure 8 – Water Table Flow, November 2022 Figure 9 – Depth to Water Table, April 2023 Figure 10 – Western Upgradient Groundwater Levels Figure 11 – Eastern Downgradient Groundwater Levels Figure 12 – Southwest Groundwater Levels Figure 13 – Wetland and Surface Water Monitoring Table 1 – Precipitation Analyses Table 6 – Monitoring Well Details and Manual Water Levels Table 9 – Early Wetland Manual Water Levels Tables 12a/12b/12c - USGS Monthly Wetland Water Balance (1981-2010) Tables 13a/13b/13c - USGS Monthly Wetland Water Balance (2022) Tables 14a/14b/14c - USGS Monthly Wetland Water Balance (2023) Appendix A – Terms of Reference Appendix B – Borehole and Monitoring Well Logs Appendix C – Hydraulic Conductivity Analyses Appendix D – Groundwater Datalogger Charts Appendix E – Wetland Monitoring Appendix F – Tow Path Drain Surface Water Monitoring

Appendix G – Supporting Information

7.0 References

Agriculture Canada, 2023. Canada Drought Monitor.

Aquafor Beech Limited, 2019. Welland Northwest Area Secondary Plan Phase 1: Background Studies, Natural Heritage and Natural Hazards Existing Conditions, submitted to the City of Welland.

AquaResource Inc. and Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA), 2009. Water Availability Study for the Central Welland River, Big Forks Creek, and Beaverdams Shriners Creeks, Watershed Plan Areas, Niagara Peninsula Source Protection Area.

A.T. McLaren Limited, 2024. Draft Plan of Subdivision, Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Bair, S.E., 2005. Analysis and Design of Aquifer Tests including Slug Tests and Fracture Flow. National Groundwater Association course, Dublin, Ohio.

Beacon Environmental Limited, 2022. Memorandum, Bio-Physical Assessment of the Tow Path Drain within the Northwest Welland Secondary Plan Area. From Ron Huizer (Beacon Environmental Limited) to Upper Canada Consultants and LCA Environmental.

Blackport & Associates, and Waterloo Hydrogeologic Inc., 2005. Hydrogeologic Assessment of the Fonthill Kame-Delta Complex, Technical Appendix of the NPCA Groundwater Study.

Bouwer, H., 1989. The Bouwer and Rice Slug Test – An Update. Vol.27, No.3, Groundwater, p.7-9.

Burt, A.K., 2016. The Niagara Peninsula in three dimensions: A drilling update; in Summary of Field Work and Other Activities, 2016, Ontario Geological Survey, Open File Report 6323, p.30-1 to 30-13.

Chapman, L.J., and Putnam, D.F., 1984. The Physiography of Southern Ontario. Ontario Geological Survey, Special Volume 2, 270 p

Conservation Ontario, 2013. Hydrogeological Assessment Submissions, Conservation Authority Guidelines for Development Applications.

Credit Valley Conservation, 2012. Stormwater Management Criteria.

Devlin, J.F., 2015. HydrogeoSieveXL: an Excel-based tool to estimate hydraulic conductivity from grainsize analysis.

DS Consultants Ltd., 2022. Updated Report on Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation, Quaker Road and First Avenue, Welland, Ontario. Prepared for Primont Homes.

Environment and Climate Change Canada, 2023a. Climate Normals 1981-2010 Welland Station, ID 6139445.

Environment and Climate Change Canada, 2023b. Welland-Pelham Station, ID 6139449.

Feenstra, B.H., 1984. Quaternary Geology of the Niagara-Welland Area, Map 2496. Ontario Geological Survey.

Fetter, C.W., 1994. Applied Hydrogeology, 3rd Edition.

Gartner Lee Limited (GLL), 1987. Water Resources of the Niagara Frontier and the Welland River Drainage Basin. Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of the Environment.

GEI Consultants, 2022. Vegetation Communities, Prepared for Primont Homes, Welland.

Kingston, M.S. and Presant, E.W., 1989. The Soils of the Regional Municipality of Niagara, Report No.60 of the Ontario Institute of Pedology, Volume 1.

McCabe, G.J., and Markstrom, S.L., 2007. A monthly water-balance model driven by a graphical user interface. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File report 2007-1008, 6p.

Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF), 2014. Ontario Wetland Evaluation System, Southern Manual, 3rd Edition, Version 3.3.

Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF), 2009. Niagara Street – Cataract Road Wetland Complex, 3rd Wetland Evaluation Edition.

Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP), 2023. Test holes and dewatering wells – Requirements and Best Management Practices <u>https://www.ontario.ca/document/test-holes-and-dewatering-wells-requirements-and-best-management-practices</u>.

Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP), 2009. Technical Rules: Assessment Report.

Ministry of the Environment, (Conservation and Parks), 2003. Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual.

Mitsch, W.J., and Gosselink, J.G., 2007. Wetlands, 4th Edition.

Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA), 2017. Contemporary Watercourse Mapping.

Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority, 2011. Groundwater Vulnerability Analysis, Niagara Peninsula Source Protection Area. Prepared for the Niagara Peninsula Source Protection Authority.

Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA), 2009. Significant Groundwater Recharge Area Delineation, Niagara Peninsula Source Protection Area. Prepared for the Niagara Peninsula Source Protection Authority.

Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA), 1999. Port Robinson West Subwatershed Study.

Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA) and AquaResource Inc., 2010. Niagara Peninsula Tier 1, Water Budget and Water Quantity Stress Assessment, Final Report, Niagara Peninsula Source Protection Area. Prepared for Niagara Peninsula Source Protection Authority.

Niagara Peninsula Source Protection Authority, 2013. Assessment Report.

Niagara Peninsula Source Protection Committee (NPSPC), 2014. Source Protection Plan for the Niagara Peninsula Source Protection Area.

Niagara Region, 2022. Niagara Official Plan.

Ontario Geological Survey (OGS), 2003. Surficial geology of southern Ontario. Miscellaneous Release Data – 128. Project Summary and Technical Document, 53 pp.

Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs (OMAFRA), 2023. AgMaps https://www.gisapplication.lrc.gov.on.ca/AIA/index.html?viewer=AIA.AIA&locale=en-US

Preene, M., 2020. Conceptual modelling for the design of groundwater control systems. Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology.
Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc. June 27, 2024 Page 26

Sanderson, M., 2004. Weather and Climate in Southern Ontario. Department of Geography, University of Waterloo, Publication Series Number 58.

Schroeter & Associates, 2007. Schroeter & Associates, 2007. Environment Canada Pan Evaporation, Southern Ontario. Deterministic Surface Water Modelling Course.

Soil-Mat Engineers and Consultants, 2023. Proposed Residential Development, Quaker Road and First Avenue, Welland, Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, 2017. Wetland Water Balance Risk Evaluation.

Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, 2016. Wetland Water Balance Monitoring Protocol.

Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, 2012. Appendix D, Water Balance for Protection of Natural Features, Stormwater Management Criteria.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), 2007. Chapter 7, Hydrologic Soil Groups, Part 630 Hydrology, National Engineering Handbook, Natural Resources Conservation Service.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), 1986. Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds.

WALTERFEDY, 2024a. Post-Development Storm Drainage Area Plan, Welland. Project 436 Quaker Road, Welland, Figure 7.2.

WALTERFEDY, 2024b. E-mail from Eric Salembier (Senior Civil Designer) to Jayme Campbell (Terra-Dynamics Consulting Inc.).

Waterloo Hydrogeologic Inc. (WHI), 2005. NPCA Groundwater Study Final Report.



Path: H:\TERRA_DYNAMICS\9647 - TD 436 Quaker Rd\gis\mxd\Figure 1 Location of Subject Lands.mxd Revised: March 11, 2024



Path: H:\TERRA_DYNAMICS\9647 - TD 436 Quaker Rd\gis\mxd\Figure 2 Site Details.mxd Revised: March 11, 2024



Path: H:\TERRA_DYNAMICS\9647 - TD 436 Quaker Rd\gis\mxd\Figure 4 Soils and Catchments.mxd Revised: March 11, 2024



H:\TERRA_DYNAMICS\9647 - TD 436 Quaker Rd\corel\Figure 5 Hydrogeologic Cross-section north-south.cdr May 8, 2024



H:\TERRA_DYNAMICS\9647 - TD 436 Quaker Rd\corel\Figure 6 Hydrogeologic Cross-section west-east.cdr May 8, 2024



Path: H:\TERRA_DYNAMICS\9647 - TD 436 Quaker Rd\gis\mxd\Figure 8 Water Table Flow.mxd Revised: March 11, 2024



Path: H:\TERRA_DYNAMICS\9647 - TD 436 Quaker Rd\gis\mxd\Figure 9 Depth to Water Table.mxd Revised: March 11, 2024









Path: H:\TERRA_DYNAMICS\9647 - TD 436 Quaker Rd\gis\mxd\Figure 13 Wetland and Surface Water Monitoring.mxd Revised: March 13, 2024

Table 1
Welland-Pelham Precipitation Analyses

Month	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year Sum
Average* Precipitation (mm)	78.2	61.3	69.7	75.4	85.2	82.9	85.9	82.4	96.8	89.3	98.5	92	998
2021 Welland-Pelham	40.9	47.7	35.2	48.4	39.8	52.2	163.3	63.1	177	124.6	67.1	65.2	925
1-month Average +/-	52%	78%	51%	64%	47%	63%	190%	77%	183%	140%	68%	71%	0.2%
3-Month Average +/-	67%	67%	59%	64%	54%	58%	101%	111%	152%	136%	130%	92%	95%
2022 Welland-Pelham	62.1	99.7	59.2	53.4	63.7	72.6	72.5	51.2	86	107.5	104.9	64.4	897
1-month Average +/-	79%	163%	85%	71%	75%	88%	84%	62%	89%	120%	106%	70%	0.0%
3-Month Average +/-	72%	98%	106%	103%	77%	78%	82%	78%	79%	91%	105%	99%	90%
2023 Welland-Pelham	86.8	68.6	109.8	98.1	34.6	74.4	163	138.7	30.1	62.9	53.6	110.3	1031
1-month Average +/-	111%	112%	158%	130%	41%	90%	190%	168%	31%	70%	54%	120%	11/0/
3-Month Average +/-	99%	98%	127%	134%	105%	85%	107%	150%	125%	86%	52%	81%	114%

Notes: * - Welland Environment Canada (1981-2010, ID 6139445), Grey shading - monthly value between 95-105%, Blue shading >105%, Orange < 95%

Table 6Monitoring Well Details and Water Levels

Well I.D.	Ground	Stick-Up	TOC	Well Depth	Well Depth	Date	Water level (m	Water Level	Water Level
	Elevation	(m)	Elevation	Below TOC	below ground		below TOC)	below	Elevation (m
	(m ASL)		(m ASL)	(m)	(m)			ground (m)	ASL)
BH21-1	183.5	0.82	184.32	6.17	5.35	10-May-22	0.02	-0.80	184.30
	-					24-Aug-22	0.48	-0.34	183.84
						9-Nov-22	0.09	-0.73	184.23
						5-Apr-23	0.02	-0.80	184.30
						3-Aug-23	0.03	-0.79	184.29
						20-Nov-23	0.13	-0.70	184.20
BH21-2	184.1	0.80	184.90	7.26	6.46	11-Nov-21	1.50	0.70	183.40
						10-May-22	1.48	0.68	183.42
						27-Jun-22	1.34	0.54	183.56
						24-Aug-22	1.88	1.08	183.02
						9-Nov-22	1.45	0.65	183.45
	-					5-Apr-23	1.25	0.45	183.66
	-					3-Aug-23	1.82	1.02	183.09
						20-Nov-23	2.04	1.24	182.87
BH21-3	182.4	0.82	183.22	7.12	6.31	10-May-22	1.34	0.52	181.88
						24-Aug-22	1.93	1.12	181.29
						9-Nov-22	2.32	1.50	180.90
						5-Apr-23	1.45	0.63	181.77
						3-Aug-23	1.40	0.59	181.81
	104.1	0.02	104.02	F 40	4.67	20-Nov-23	1.83	1.02	181.39
BH21-0	184.1	0.82	184.92	5.49	4.67	11-NOV-21	1.36	0.54	183.56
						10-1VIdy-22	1.40	0.00	103.44
						27-Juli-22	2.06	1.24	183.32
						9-Nov-22	1.61	0.79	182.30
						5-Anr-23	1.01	0.75	183.70
						3-Aug-23	1.50	0.68	183.42
						20-Nov-23	1.61	0.79	183.31
BH21-8	183.6	0.85	184.45	6.42	5.57	11-Nov-21	2.22	1.37	182.23
						10-May-22	1.95	1.11	182.49
						27-Jun-22	1.97	1.12	182.48
						24-Aug-22	2.77	1.93	181.68
						9-Nov-22	3.14	2.30	181.30
						5-Apr-23	2.10	1.26	182.35
						3-Aug-23	2.19	1.35	182.26
						20-Nov-23	2.78	1.94	181.67
BH21-9	183.5	0.94	184.44	7.24	6.30	11-Nov-21	1.19	0.25	183.25
						10-May-22	1.86	0.92	182.58
						27-Jun-22	1.91	0.97	182.53
						24-Aug-22	3.14	2.21	181.30
						9-Nov-22	4.02	3.09	180.41
						5-Apr-23	1.32	0.39	183.11
						3-Aug-23	1.82	0.89	182.62
						20-Nov-23	3.28	2.35	181.16

Table 6Monitoring Well Details and Water Levels

Well I.D.	Ground	Stick-Up	TOC	Well Depth	Well Depth	Date	Water level (m	Water Level	Water Level
	Elevation	(m)	Elevation	Below TOC	below ground		below TOC)	below	Elevation (m
	(m ASL)		(m ASL)	(m)	(m)			ground (m)	ASL)
BH21-11	184.3	0.68	184.98	6.99	6.31	10-May-22	1.45	0.77	183.53
						27-Jun-22	1.25	0.57	183.73
						24-Aug-22	1.61	0.93	183.37
						9-Nov-22	1.65	0.97	183.33
						5-Apr-23	1.10	0.42	183.88
						3-Aug-23	1.40	0.72	183.58
						20-Nov-23	1.71	1.03	183.27
BH21-13	183.4	0.82	184.22	7.13	6.31	11-Nov-21	1.37	0.55	182.85
						10-May-22	1.34	0.52	182.88
						27-Jun-22	1.36	0.54	182.86
						24-Aug-22	2.89	2.07	181.33
						9-Nov-22	3.17	2.35	181.05
						5-Apr-23	1.09	0.27	183.13
						3-Aug-23	1.72	0.90	182.50
						23-Oct-23	2.83	2.01	181.40
						20-Nov-23	2.64	1.82	181.58
BH21-14	183.6	0.89	184.49	7.15	6.26	11-Nov-21	1.45	0.56	183.04
						10-May-22	1.56	0.67	182.93
						24-Aug-22	2.44	1.55	182.05
						9-Nov-22	1.59	0.71	182.89
						5-Apr-23	1.12	0.23	183.37
						3-Aug-23	1.61	0.72	182.88
						23-Oct-23	1.97	1.09	182.52
						20-Nov-23	1.55	0.66	182.94
BH21-16	183.4	0.93	184.33	7.20	6.27	10-May-22	1.36	0.43	182.97
						27-Jun-22	1.65	0.72	182.68
						24-Aug-22	2.09	1.16	182.24
						9-Nov-22	2.23	1.30	182.10
						5-Apr-23	2.41	1.48	181.92
						31-May-23	1.84	0.90	182.50
BH22-01	184.3	1.14	185.44	7.12	5.99	25-Mar-22	2.71	1.57	182.73
						12-Apr-22	2.47	1.33	182.97
						27-Jun-22	2.32	1.18	183.12
						24-Aug-22	5.38	4.25	180.06
						9-Nov-22	3.86	2.72	181.58
	ļ					5-Apr-23	1.36	0.22	184.08
						3-Aug-23	1.96	0.83	183.48
	102.0	0.05	104 55	7 4 0	6.16	20-INOV-23	3.68	2.54	181./6
BH22-02	183.6	0.95	184.55	7.10	6.16	12-Apr-22	2.10	1.15	182.45
						27-JUII-22	2.12	1.70	103.43
						24-Aug-22	2.71	1.70	101.04
ļ	ļ					5 Apr 22	2.8/	1.92	101.08
ļ	ļ					2 Aug 22	2.04	1.09	101.91
ļ	ļ					20 Nov 22	2.29	1.54	102.20
						20-INOV-23	2.52	1.57	182.03

Table 6 Monitoring Well Details and Water Levels

Well I.D.	Ground Elevation (m ASL)	Stick-Up (m)	TOC Elevation (m ASL)	Well Depth Below TOC (m)	Well Depth below ground (m)	Date	Water level (m below TOC)	Water Level below ground (m)	Water Level Elevation (m ASL)
BH22-03	184.0	1.01	185.01	7.10	6.10	25-Mar-22	1.16	0.15	183.85
						12-Apr-22	1.29	0.28	183.72
						27-Jun-22	1.88	0.87	183.13
						24-Aug-22	2.56	1.56	182.45
						9-Nov-22	1.53	0.53	183.47
						5-Apr-23	1.27	0.26	183.74
						31-May-23	1.91	0.90	183.10
SM MW-5	183.1	1.34	184.46	7.54	6.20	5-Apr-23	1.43	0.09	183.04
SM MW-7	183.5	1.13	184.65	6.82	5.69	5-Apr-23	2.93	1.80	181.72
SM MW-11	183.2	1.17	184.40	7.10	5.93	5-Apr-23	0.69	-0.49	183.72
SG-101		0.55		0.78	0.22	10-Aug-23	0.53	N/A	
						6-Sep-23	0.00	N/A	
						3-Oct-23	0.00	N/A	
						23-Oct-23	0.00	N/A	
						20-Nov-23	0.54	N/A	
SG-102		0.54		0.78	0.24	10-Aug-23	0.43	N/A	
						6-Sep-23	0.00	N/A	
						3-Oct-23	0.00	N/A	
						23-Oct-23	0.50	N/A	
						20-Nov-23	0.43	N/A	
SG-103		0.56		0.79	0.23	10-Aug-23	0.40	N/A	
						6-Sep-23	0.00	N/A	
						3-Oct-23	0.00	N/A	
						23-Oct-23	0.00	N/A	
						20-Nov-23	0.42	N/A	
SG-104		0.54		0.78	0.24	10-Aug-23	0.43	N/A	
						6-Sep-23	0.00	N/A	
						3-Oct-23	0.00	N/A	
						23-Oct-23	0.51	N/A	
						20-Nov-23	0.45	N/A	

Table 9 - Early Manual Wetland Water Level Measurements

		Depth May 10,	Depth May 24,	Depth July 21,
Location ID	Soil Type	2022 (m)	2022 (m)	2022 (m)
SW-1	Silty Clay	0.13	0.07	0.00
SW-2	Silty Clay	0.14	0.00	0.00
SW-3	Silty Clay	N/A	0.14	0.06
SW-4	Silty Clay	0.05	0.03	0.00
SW-5	Silty Clay	0.04	0.00	0.00
SW-6	Silty Clay	0.13	0.30	0.02
SW-7	Silty Clay	0.07	0.12	0.00
SW-8	Silty Clay	N/A	0.13	0.00
SW-9	Silty Clay	N/A	0.03	0.00
SW-10	Silty Clay	N/A	0.02	0.00
SW-11	Silty Clay	0.16	0.08	0.00
SW-12	Silty Clay	0.14	0.06	0.03
SW-13	Silty Clay	0.18	0.05	0.00
SW-14	Silty Clay	0.26	0.29	0.00
SW-15	Silty Clay	0.17	0.27	0.00
SW-16	Silty Clay	0.05	0.00	0.00
SW-17	Silty Clay	0.20	0.05	0.00
SW-18	Silty Clay	0.30	0.18	0.01

TABLE 12a400 mm USGS Wetland Monthly Water Balance (1981-2010)

				Soil			Snow			
Date	Р	PET	P-PET	Moisture	AET	PET-AET	Storage	Surplus	ROtotal	Comments
=======	===========		========		========		=========	=======	=======	
January	78.2	9.7	45.6	400	9.7	0	31.3	45.6	50.9	Surplus
February	61.3	11.6	48.1	400	11.6	0	31.3	48.1	50.2	Surplus
March	69.7	21.3	68.1	400	21.3	0	8.8	68.1	61.2	Surplus
April	75.4	39.6	40.8	400	39.6	0	0	40.8	53.4	Surplus
May	85.2	71.6	9.3	400	71.6	0	0	9.3	33.7	Surplus
June	82.9	105.8	-27.1	372.9	105.8	0	0	0	18.9	Soil Water Utilization
July	85.9	124.8	-43.2	332.6	121.9	2.9	0	0	11.7	Soil Water Utilization
August	82.4	100.9	-22.6	313.9	97.1	3.8	0	0	7.8	Soil Water Utilization
September	96.8	60.2	31.7	345.6	60.2	0	0	0	6.7	Soil Water Recharge
October	89.3	32.2	52.6	398.2	32.2	0	0	0	5.4	Soil Water Recharge
November	98.5	17.2	76.4	400	17.2	0	0	74.6	42.7	Surplus
December	92	10.9	67.9	400	10.9	0	10.1	67.9	55.9	Surplus
December	92	10.9	67.9	400	10.9	0	10.1	67.9	55.9	Surplus

Sum 997.6

599.1

TABLE 12b350 mm USGS Wetland Monthly Water Balance (1981-2010)

				Soil			Snow			
Date	Р	PET	P-PET	Moisture	AET	PET-AET	Storage	Surplus	ROtotal	Comments
	======= ==		========		========	========		==================	=======	
January	78.2	9.7	45.6	350	9.7	0	31.3	45.6	51	Surplus
February	61.3	11.6	48.1	350	11.6	0	31.3	48.1	50.3	Surplus
March	69.7	21.3	68.1	350	21.3	0	8.8	68.1	61.2	Surplus
April	75.4	39.6	40.8	350	39.6	0	0	40.8	53.4	Surplus
May	85.2	71.6	9.3	350	71.6	0	0	9.3	33.7	Surplus
June	82.9	105.8	-27.1	323	105.8	0	0	0	18.9	Soil Water Utilization
July	85.9	124.8	-43.2	283	121.5	3.3	0	0	11.7	Soil Water Utilization
August	82.4	100.9	-22.6	265	96.5	4.3	0	0	7.8	Soil Water Utilization
September	96.8	60.2	31.7	297	60.2	0	0	0	6.7	Soil Water Recharge
October	89.3	32.2	52.6	349	32.2	0	0	0	5.4	Soil Water Recharge
November	98.5	17.2	76.4	350	17.2	0	0	75.5	43.1	Surplus
December	92	10.9	67.9	350	10.9	0	10.1	67.9	56.2	Surplus

Sum 997.6

598.1

TABLE 12c375 mm USGS Wetland Monthly Water Balance (1981-2010)

				Soil			Snow			
Date	Р	PET	P-PET	Moisture	AET	PET-AET	Storage	Surplus	ROtotal	Comments
	======= ==		=======		========			========	======	
January	78.2	9.7	45.6	375	9.7	0	31.3	45.6	51	Surplus
February	61.3	11.6	48.1	375	11.6	0	31.3	48.1	50.2	Surplus
March	69.7	21.3	68.1	375	21.3	0	8.8	68.1	61.2	Surplus
April	75.4	39.6	40.8	375	39.6	0	0	40.8	53.4	Surplus
May	85.2	71.6	9.3	375	71.6	0	0	9.3	33.7	Surplus
June	82.9	105.8	-27.1	347.9	105.8	0	0	0	18.9	Soil Water Utilization
July	85.9	124.8	-43.2	307.8	121.7	3.1	0	0	11.7	Soil Water Utilization
August	82.4	100.9	-22.6	289.3	96.8	4	0	0	7.8	Soil Water Utilization
September	96.8	60.2	31.7	321	60.2	0	0	0	6.7	Soil Water Recharge
October	89.3	32.2	52.6	373.7	32.2	0	0	0	5.4	Soil Water Recharge
November	98.5	17.2	76.4	375	17.2	0	0	75	42.9	Surplus
December	92	10.9	67.9	375	10.9	0	10.1	67.9	56	Surplus

Sum 997.6

598.6

TABLE 13a400 mm USGS Wetland Monthly Water Balance (2022)

				Soil			Snow			
Date	Р	PET	P-PET	Moisture	AET	PET-AET	Storage	Surplus	ROtotal	Comments
	======= ==		========		========			:=====:	=======	
January	62.1	7.9	11.9	400	7.9	0	41.7	11.9	29.8	Surplus
February	99.7	11.2	75.1	400	11.2	0	52.9	75.1	54.4	Surplus
March	59.2	22.9	80.3	400	22.9	0	6.2	80.3	68.9	Surplus
April	53.4	38.4	18.6	400	38.4	0	0	18.6	45.1	Surplus
May	63.7	80.1	-19.5	380.5	80.1	0	0	0	24.4	Soil Water Utilization
June	72.6	104.5	-35.6	346.6	102.8	1.7	0	0	14.2	Soil Water Utilization
July	72.5	122.5	-53.6	300.2	115.3	7.2	0	0	8.9	Soil Water Utilization
August	51	104.7	-56.2	258	90.6	14	0	0	5.2	Soil Water Utilization
September	86	60.2	21.5	279.4	60.2	0	0	0	5.6	Soil Water Recharge
October	107.5	31.2	70.9	350.3	31.2	0	0	0	6	Soil Water Recharge
November	104.9	18.2	81.5	400	18.2	0	0	31.8	21.5	Surplus
December	64.4	11.9	46.7	400	11.9	0	3.3	46.7	34	Surplus

Sum 897

590.7

318

TABLE 13b 350 mm USGS Wetland Monthly Water Balance (2022)

				Soil			Snow			
Date	Р	PET	P-PET	Moisture	AET	PET-AET	Storage	Surplus	ROtotal	Comments
	======	-========			=======				=======	
January	62.1	7.9	11.9	350	7.9	0	41.7	11.9	29.9	Surplus
February	99.7	11.2	75.1	350	11.2	0	52.9	75.1	54.4	Surplus
March	59.2	22.9	80.3	350	22.9	0	6.2	80.3	68.9	Surplus
April	53.4	38.4	18.6	350	38.4	0	0	18.6	45.1	Surplus
May	63.7	80.1	-19.5	330.5	80.1	0	0	0	24.4	Soil Water Utilization
June	72.6	104.5	-35.6	296.9	102.5	2	0	0	14.2	Soil Water Utilization
July	72.5	122.5	-53.6	251.4	114.4	8.1	0	0	8.9	Soil Water Utilization
August	51	104.7	-56.2	211	88.8	15.8	0	0	5.2	Soil Water Utilization
September	86	60.2	21.5	232.5	60.2	0	0	0	5.6	Soil Water Recharge
October	107.5	31.2	70.9	303.4	31.2	0	0	0	6	Soil Water Recharge
November	104.9	18.2	81.5	350	18.2	0	0	34.8	23	Surplus
December	64.4	11.9	46.7	350	11.9	0	3.3	46.7	34.7	Surplus
Sum	897				587.7				320.3	

TABLE 13c375 mm USGS Wetland Monthly Water Balance (2022)

				Soil			Snow			
Date	Р	PET	P-PET	Moisture	AET	PET-AET	Storage	Surplus	ROtotal	Comments
=======	======= ==		========		========			:=====:	=======	
January	62.1	7.9	11.9	375	7.9	0	41.7	11.9	29.9	Surplus
February	99.7	11.2	75.1	375	11.2	0	52.9	75.1	54.4	Surplus
March	59.2	22.9	80.3	375	22.9	0	6.2	80.3	68.9	Surplus
April	53.4	38.4	18.6	375	38.4	0	0	18.6	45.1	Surplus
May	63.7	80.1	-19.5	355.5	80.1	0	0	0	24.4	Soil Water Utilization
June	72.6	104.5	-35.6	321.7	102.7	1.9	0	0	14.2	Soil Water Utilization
July	72.5	122.5	-53.6	275.7	114.9	7.6	0	0	8.9	Soil Water Utilization
August	51	104.7	-56.2	234.4	89.8	14.9	0	0	5.2	Soil Water Utilization
September	86	60.2	21.5	255.9	60.2	0	0	0	5.6	Soil Water Recharge
October	107.5	31.2	70.9	326.8	31.2	0	0	0	6	Soil Water Recharge
November	104.9	18.2	81.5	375	18.2	0	0	33.2	22.2	Surplus
December	64.4	11.9	46.7	375	11.9	0	3.3	46.7	34.3	Surplus

Sum 897

589.4

TABLE 14a400 mm USGS Wetland Monthly Water Balance (2023)

				Soil			Snow			
Date	Р	PET	P-PET	Moisture	AET	PET-AET	Storage	Surplus	ROtotal	Comments
=======	======= ==		========		======= :		========	========	=======	
January	86.8	12.4	67.2	400	12.4	0	7.2	67.2	52.5	Surplus
February	68.6	13.3	50	400	13.3	0	10.3	50	51.9	Surplus
March	109.8	22.1	89.3	400	22.1	0	4	89.3	74.2	Surplus
April	98.1	42.9	54.3	400	42.9	0	0	54.3	66.8	Surplus
May	34.6	64	-31.2	368.8	64	0	0	0	32.7	Soil Water Utilization
June	74.4	102.6	-31.9	339.4	100.1	2.5	0	0	19.2	Soil Water Utilization
July	163	122.5	32.4	371.7	122.5	0	0	0	15.9	Soil Water Recharge
August	138.7	91.9	39.9	400	91.9	0	0	11.6	16.6	Surplus
September	30.1	63.3	-34.7	365.3	63.3	0	0	0	6.3	Soil Water Utilization
October	62.9	36.5	23.3	388.6	36.5	0	0	0	5.6	Soil Water Recharge
November	53.6	16.5	34.5	400	16.5	0	0	23	15.4	Surplus
December	110.3	14.4	90.3	400	14.4	0	0	90.3	57	Surplus

Sum 1030.9

599.9

TABLE 14b350 mm USGS Wetland Monthly Water Balance (2023)

				Soil			Snow				
Date	Р	PET	P-PET	Moisture	AET	PET-AET	Storage	Surplus	ROtotal	Comments	
	======= ==	=========	========		======= :		========	========	=======		
January	86.8	12.4	67.2	350	12.4	0	7.2	67.2	52.9	Surplus	
February	68.6	13.3	50	350	13.3	0	10.3	50	52.1	Surplus	
March	109.8	22.1	89.3	350	22.1	0	4	89.3	74.3	Surplus	
April	98.1	42.9	54.3	350	42.9	0	0	54.3	66.9	Surplus	
May	34.6	64	-31.2	318.8	64	0	0	0	32.7	Soil Water Utilization	
June	74.4	102.6	-31.9	289.7	99.8	2.8	0	0	19.2	Soil Water Utilization	
July	163	122.5	32.4	322.1	122.5	0	0	0	15.9	Soil Water Recharge	
August	138.7	91.9	39.9	350	91.9	0	0	12	16.8	Surplus	
September	30.1	63.3	-34.7	315.3	63.3	0	0	0	6.4	Soil Water Utilization	
October	62.9	36.5	23.3	338.6	36.5	0	0	0	5.6	Soil Water Recharge	
November	53.6	16.5	34.5	350	16.5	0	0	23	15.4	Surplus	
December	110.3	14.4	90.3	350	14.4	0	0	90.3	57.1	Surplus	

Sum 1030.9

599.6

TABLE 14c375 mm USGS Wetland Monthly Water Balance (2023)

				Soil			Snow				
Date	Р	PET	P-PET	Moisture	AET	PET-AET	Storage	Surplus	ROtotal	Comments	
=======	======= ::		========		========	========		========	=======		
January	86.8	12.4	67.2	375	12.4	0	7.2	67.2	52.7	Surplus	
February	68.6	13.3	50	375	13.3	0	10.3	50	52	Surplus	
March	109.8	22.1	89.3	375	22.1	0	4	89.3	74.2	Surplus	
April	98.1	42.9	54.3	375	42.9	0	0	54.3	66.8	Surplus	
May	34.6	64	-31.2	343.8	64	0	0	0	32.7	Soil Water Utilization	
June	74.4	102.6	-31.9	314.6	100	2.7	0	0	19.2	Soil Water Utilization	
July	163	122.5	32.4	346.9	122.5	0	0	0	15.9	Soil Water Recharge	
August	138.7	91.9	39.9	375	91.9	0	0	11.8	16.7	Surplus	
September	30.1	63.3	-34.7	340.3	63.3	0	0	0	6.4	Soil Water Utilization	
October	62.9	36.5	23.3	363.6	36.5	0	0	0	5.6	Soil Water Recharge	
November	53.6	16.5	34.5	375	16.5	0	0	23	15.4	Surplus	
December	110.3	14.4	90.3	375	14.4	0	0	90.3	57.1	Surplus	

Sum 1030.9

599.8

Appendix A

Terms of Reference



Terra-Dynamics Consulting Inc.

432 Niagara Street, Unit 2 St. Catharines, ON L2M 4W3

August 2, 2022

Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority 250 Thorold Road West, 3rd Floor Welland, ON L3C 3W2

Re: Updated Water Balance Terms of Reference, Residential Subdivision, 436 Quaker Road, Welland, and Lot 228 /Part Lot 174, Thorold, ON

1.0 Introduction and Background Information

Terra-Dynamics Consulting Inc. respectfully submits this updated Terms of Reference (TofR) responding to comments provided by the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority on the TofR submitted April 13, 2022. This TofR is to complete a Site, and wetland feature-based, water balance assessment for the proposed Primont Homes Welland/Thorold Residential Subdivisions. It is our understanding the Site is approximately 64 hectares in size within the City of Welland and the Town of Thorold, and includes 436 Quaker Road in Welland, and Lot 228 and Part Lot 174, Town of Thorold.

The Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF) have mapped approximately 17 hectares of provincially significant wetland at the Site associated with the Niagara Street Cataract Road Woodlot Wetland Complex (MNRF, 2009) including:

- 1. 5.2 hectares of swamp along Quaker Road and First Avenue in the southeast corner of the Site,
- 2. 8.2 hectares of swamp in the northern portion of the Site, and
- 3. 3.6 hectares of marsh in the northeast corner of the Site along Cataract Road.

This scope of work is based upon our experience with the NPCA, and Niagara Region, requiring water balances and our experience studying Niagara's physical environment. Our current understanding of the study requirements are detailed below after a review of information provided by Walter Fedy including a geotechnical investigation report (DS Consultants, 2021), a Conceptual Wetland Restoration Plan (GEI Consultants, 2022) and discussions with GEI Consultants biologists.

2.0 Water Balance Scope of Work

A water balance assessment, both Site and feature-based wetland, will be completed to:

- 1. Ensure no negative impacts to the natural heritage system;
- 2. Inform stormwater management design at the Site in such a manner that pre-development water balance conditions are maintained for all wetlands in the Natural Heritage System Designation. A detailed water balance will be required as part of a stormwater management plan submission; and
- 3. PSW Wetlands be conserved, with the successful matching of pre- and post-development water balances, as best as practical.

Our water balance will address these requirements and be completed following the Conservation Authority Guidelines for Hydrogeological Assessments (see attached Table 1, Conservation Ontario, 2013) and include (i) a description of pre-development conditions, (ii) impact assessment and (iii) recommended mitigation measures for a subdivision on municipal servicing.

The feature-based wetland water balance assessment will evaluate the pre-development hydrologic regime of the Provincially significant wetland areas on-site associated with the Niagara Street Cataract Road Woodlot Wetland Complex (MNRF, 2009).

2.2.1 Field Investigation

Wetland hydroperiod characterization from hydrologic field monitoring includes a year of monitoring at the following locations (Figure 1):

- a) eighteen (18) wetland monitoring staff gauges with datalogging pressure transducers (water level loggers);
- b) datalogging pressure transducers (water level loggers) installed in four (4) on-site shallow monitoring wells (BH21-1, BH21-3, BH21-13 and BH21-14) constructed by DS Consultants (2021) corresponding with the three primary MNRF wetland polygons; and
- c) installation of a barometric pressure data logger to correct for barometric pressure changes on water levels.

Groundwater levels will also be manually measured at the existing on-Site ten (10) monitoring wells in the spring, summer and fall seasons.

Hydraulic conductivity testing will be completed of the four monitoring wells with datalogging pressure transducers installed adjacent the wetlands and compared to hydraulic conductivities calculated from grain-size analyses completed during the geotechnical analyses.

2.2.2 Water Balance/Wetland Modelling

The water balance assessment will use existing long-term water balance modelling by NPCA (AquaResource Inc. and Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA), 2009). This modelling was completed at an hourly interval over a fifteen-year period (1991-2005) providing baseline pre-development water balance values. This approach exceeds the minimum requirements for a "low risk" water balance (Figure 2). Results will be refined using information obtained during the geotechnical investigation and our own field investigations to further refine the hydrogeological characterization.

A water balance model will be completed for the wetland using the United States Geological Survey (USGS) Thornthwaite Monthly Water Balance (McCabe and Markstrom, 2007). The model provides:

i. A number of adjustable parameters for calibration of pre-development conditions to Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA) water balance modelling (AquaResource Inc. and NPCA, 2009); and

ii. A monthly water balance, as this is commonly sufficient detail for assessing wetland hydrologic function during summer months on low permeability soils.

Pre- and post-development wetland catchments will be determined, mapped and used for the wetland water balance analyses.

2.2.4 Wetland Risk Evaluation

Since early 2021, NPCA has been requiring water balances conform to the guidelines (2012), monitoring protocols (2016) and risk evaluations (2017) developed by the Toronto Region Conservation Authority (TRCA). This work program will exceed the requirements for "low risk" evaluation as specified by the TRCA and include a risk evaluation (Figure 2, 2017).

2.2.5 Mitigation

The post-development water balance will consider the proposed storm drainage plan and recommendations provided for the Stormwater Management Plan to improve post-development water management completing the water balance requirement for a "mitigation plan" (Figure 2, TRCA, 2017). It is expected that a mitigation plan can be developed to avoid any requirements for new continuous water balance modelling.



Figure 2 – Wetland Risk Evaluation Decision Tree (TRCA, 2017)

We trust this information is sufficient for your present needs. Thank you for the opportunity to submit this proposed Terms of Reference. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions.

Yours truly,

TERRA-DYNAMICS CONSULTING INC.

pope D. Capill

Jayme D. Campbell, P. Eng. Senior Water Resources Engineer

cc. Eric Salembier, WalterFedy

<u>Attachments</u> Figure 1 – Monitoring Locations Table 1 – Hydrogeological Assessment Check List intended to Support Development Applications

7.0 References

AquaResource Inc. and Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA), 2009. Water Availability Study for the Central Welland River, Big Forks Creek, and Beaverdams Shriners Creeks Watershed Plan Areas, Niagara Peninsula Source Protection Area.

Conservation Ontario, 2013. Hydrogeological Assessment Submissions, Conservation Authority Guidelines for Development Applications.

DS Consultants Ltd., 2022. Report on Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation, Quaker Road and First Avenue, Welland, Ontario. Prepared for Primont Homes.

GEI Consultants, 2022. Conceptual Wetland Restoration Plan for removal of unevaluated wetlands on lands owned by Primont Homes within the City of Welland and City of Thorold, Ontario. Prepared for lan MacPherson, Primont Homes.

McCabe, G.J., and Markstrom, S.L., 2007. A monthly water-balance model driven by a graphical user interface. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File report 2007-1008, 6p.

Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF), 2009. Niagara Street – Cataract Road Wetland Complex, Wetland Evaluation Edition 3rd.

Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority, 2022. RE: Primont Homes Welland/Thorold Hydrogeological Water Balance Terms of Reference. E-mail from Nicholas Godfrey (Watershed Planner) to Jayme Campbell (Senior Water Resource Engineer).

Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority and AquaResource Inc., 2010. Niagara Peninsula Tier 1 Water Budget and Water Quantity Stress Assessment Final Report, Niagara Peninsula Source Protection Area.

Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA), 2017. Wetland Water Balance Risk Evaluation.

Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA), 2016. Wetland Water Balance Monitoring Protocol.

Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA), 2012. Water Balance Guidelines for the Protection of Natural Features.



Path: H:\TERRA_DYNAMICS\9563 - TD Primont\gis\mxd\Figure 1 Monitoring Locations.mxd Revised: August 2, 2022

Subdivision or Site Plan Master Environmental Condominium Environmental Commercial, Single lot Groundwater Dewatering Assessment Development Residential **Servicing Plan** Institutional, Assessment Private (EA) Municipal or Equivalent or Industrial Servicing Servicing 1. EXISTING CONDITIONS: Introduction and background \square \square \square \square Site location and description Description of: Topography & Drainage • Physiography • Geology & Soils ٠ Test pits/Boreholes GNR Monitoring Wells GNR Private Well Survey GNR Hydrostratigraphy/Hydrogeology: Aquifer properties ٠ Groundwater Levels ٠ Groundwater flow direction • Description of surface water features and functions \square Water Taking Permit details GNR GNR GNR GNR GNR GNR \square \square \square Water Quality GNR D-5-5 (Water Supply) GNR GNR GNR GNR GNR GNR

Table 1: Hydrogeological Assessment Check List intended to Support Development Applications

Groundwater Assessment	Master Environmental Servicing Plan	Environmental Assessment	Site Plan Commercial, Institutional,	Subdivision or Condominium Development		Single lot Residential	Dewatering
	or Equivalent	(EA)	or Industrial	Municipal Servicing	Private Servicing		
2. IMPACT ASSESSMENT:							
Groundwater Levels						GNR	
Pumping Tests*			GNR	GNR		GNR	
Groundwater Discharge (Baseflow)						GNR	
Water Balance						GNR	GNR
Groundwater Quality						GNR	
D-5-4 (Onsite Sewage Systems)	GNR	GNR	GNR	GNR		GNR	GNR
3. MITIGATION MEASURES:	•						
Maintenance of Infiltration/Recharge						GNR	GNR
Maintenance Groundwater Quality						GNR	
Monitoring Program						GNR	
Contingency Plans**	GNR	GNR	GNR			GNR	

NOTES: This table outlines the type of planning application and associated requirements most conhmonly required by Conservation Authorities in the review of Hydrogeological Assessments. This table is not a complete list of all types of applications dealt with by each Conservation Authority nor is the checklist appropriate for every development situation. Individual Conservation Authorities should be consulted with for specific requirements.

- Recommended

GNR – Generally Not Required

* Where development is municipally serviced, these tests will be necessary on a case by case basis (sensitive aquifer/ aquatic considerations). **May be scoped, Contingency Plans will not be needed in most cases.

jcampbell@terra-dynamics.com

From:	Taran Lennard <tlennard@npca.ca></tlennard@npca.ca>
Sent:	August 9, 2022 12:46 PM
То:	jcampbell@terra-dynamics.com
Cc:	'Eric Salembier'
Subject:	RE: Primont Homes Welland/Thorold Hydrogeological Water Balance Terms of Reference

Hi Jayme,

The previous comments have been addressed to the satisfaction of NPCA. Staff do not offer further comment on the ToR for the Water Balance.

Thank you.

Taran Lennard Watershed Planner Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA) 250 Thorold Road West, 3rd Floor | Welland, ON L3C 3W2 Tel: 905-788-3135 | extension 277 email: <u>tlennard@npca.ca</u>

NPCA Watershed Explorer

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the NPCA has taken measures to protect staff and public while providing continuity of services. The NPCA main office is open by appointment only with limited staff, please refer to the <u>Staff Directory</u> and reach out to the staff member you wish to speak or meet with directly.

Updates regarding NPCA operations and activities can be found at <u>Get Involved NPCA Portal</u>, or on social media at <u>facebook.com/NPCAOntario</u> & <u>twitter.com/NPCA_Ontario</u>.

For more information on Permits, Planning and Forestry please go to the Permits & Planning webpage at https://npca.ca/administration/permits.

For mapping on features regulated by the NPCA please go to our GIS webpage at https://gis-npca-camaps.opendata.arcgis.com/ and utilize our Watershed Explorer App or GIS viewer.

To send NPCA staff information regarding a potential violation of Ontario Regulation 155/06 please go to the NPCA Enforcement and Compliance webpage at https://npca.ca/administration/enforcement-compliance

From: jcampbell@terra-dynamics.com < jcampbell@terra-dynamics.com>

Sent: Tuesday, August 2, 2022 3:27 PM

To: Taran Lennard <tlennard@npca.ca>

Cc: Sarah Mastroianni <smastroianni@npca.ca>; 'Eric Salembier' <esalembier@walterfedy.com> **Subject:** RE: Primont Homes Welland/Thorold Hydrogeological Water Balance Terms of Reference

Good afternoon Taran,

Please find attached the updated water balance Terms of Reference with the additional information requested by NPCA.

Jayme D. Campbell, P.Eng.
Senior Water Resource Engineer Terra-Dynamics Consulting Inc. 432 Niagara Street, Unit 2, St. Catharines, Ontario L2M 4W3 Phone: 289-407-0915 https://terra-dynamics.com/

Common sense solutions to environmental challenges

From: Nicholas Godfrey <<u>ngodfrey@npca.ca</u>>
Sent: May 25, 2022 1:07 PM
To: jcampbell@terra-dynamics.com
Cc: Taran Lennard <<u>tlennard@npca.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: Primont Homes Welland/Thorold Hydrogeological Water Balance Terms of Reference

Good afternoon Jaymee,

Our office has reviewed the Terms of Reference and offers the following comments:

- 1. The Terms of Reference has identified that 4 wetland monitoring staff gauges and 4 shallow groundwater monitoring wells (BH21-1, BH21-3, BH21-13 and BH21-14) will be established and/or instrumented with datalogging pressure transducers within the study area. Please provide a figure which identifies the proposed monitoring locations.
- 2. The Terms of Reference has identified that 10 existing monitoring wells will be manually monitored in spring, summer, and fall, please include the location of these wells on a figure.
- 3. The Terms of Reference does not specify the intended duration of monitoring. Please identify the duration of monitoring.
- 4. The Terms of Reference does not discuss catchments of the wetlands present within the study area. Pre and post development catchments must be clearly identified and documented in the report and within a figure.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Best,

Nicholas Godfrey, M.A. Watershed Planner Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA) 250 Thorold Road West, 3rd Floor, Welland, ON, L3C 3W2 905-788-3135, ext. 278 ngodfrey@npca.ca www.npca.ca

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the NPCA has taken measures to protect staff and public while providing continuity of services. The NPCA main office is currently closed with limited staff, please refer to the <u>Staff</u> <u>Directory</u> and reach out to the staff member you wish to speak or meet with directly. Our Conservation Areas are currently open, but may have modified amenities and/or regulations.

Updates regarding NPCA operations and activities can be found at <u>Get Involved NPCA Portal</u>, or on social media at <u>NPCA's Facebook Page</u> & <u>NPCA's Twitter page</u>.

From: jcampbell@terra-dynamics.com <jcampbell@terra-dynamics.com> Sent: April 19, 2022 10:31 AM To: 'Eric Salembier' <<u>esalembier@walterfedy.com</u>>
 Cc: Sarah Mastroianni <<u>smastroianni@npca.ca</u>>; Nicholas Godfrey <<u>ngodfrey@npca.ca</u>>
 Subject: FW: Primont Homes Welland/Thorold Hydrogeological Water Balance Terms of Reference

Good morning Eric,

Would you be able to answer Sarah's question? Thank you.

Jayme D. Campbell, P.Eng. Senior Water Resource Engineer Terra-Dynamics Consulting Inc. 432 Niagara Street, Unit 2, St. Catharines, Ontario L2M 4W3 Phone: 289-407-0915 https://terra-dynamics.com/

Common sense solutions to environmental challenges

From: Sarah Mastroianni <<u>smastroianni@npca.ca</u>>
Sent: April 19, 2022 10:23 AM
To: Nicholas Godfrey <<u>ngodfrey@npca.ca</u>>
Cc: jcampbell@terra-dynamics.com
Subject: FW: Primont Homes Welland/Thorold Hydrogeological Water Balance Terms of Reference

Hi Nick,

As discussed, please take the lead on this one for the NPCA.

Jayme, I don't actually see a file number internally for this one (and Jessica is no longer here to ask), did this one have a municipal preconsultation meeting that you know of?

Thanks.

Sarah Mastroianni Manager, Planning and Development Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA) 250 Thorold Road West, 3rd Floor | Welland, ON L3C 3W2 Tel: 905-788-3135 | extension 249 smastroianni@npca.ca www.npca.ca

NPCA Watershed Explorer

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the NPCA has taken measures to protect staff and public while providing continuity of services. The NPCA main office is open by appointment only with limited staff, please refer to the <u>Staff Directory</u> and reach out to the staff member you wish to speak or meet with directly.

Updates regarding NPCA operations and activities can be found at <u>Get Involved NPCA Portal</u>, or on social media at <u>facebook.com/NPCAOntario</u> & <u>twitter.com/NPCA_Ontario</u>.

For more information on Permits, Planning and Forestry please go to the Permits & Planning webpage at <u>https://npca.ca/administration/permits</u>.

For mapping on features regulated by the NPCA please go to our GIS webpage at <u>https://gis-npca-camaps.opendata.arcgis.com/</u> and utilize our Watershed Explorer App or GIS viewer.

To send NPCA staff information regarding a potential violation of Ontario Regulation 155/06 please go to the NPCA Enforcement and Compliance webpage at https://npca.ca/administration/enforcement-compliance.

From: jcampbell@terra-dynamics.com <jcampbell@terra-dynamics.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 1:23 PM
To: 'Lampman, Cara' <<u>Cara.Lampman@niagararegion.ca</u>>; <u>Adam.Boudens@niagararegion.ca</u>; Sarah Mastroianni
<<u>smastroianni@npca.ca></u>
Cc: 'Eric Salembier' <<u>esalembier@walterfedy.com</u>>
Subject: Primont Homes Welland/Thorold Hydrogeological Water Balance Terms of Reference

Good afternoon Sarah, Cara and Adam,

Please find attached a proposed hydrogeology water balance terms of reference regarding Primont Homes Welland/Thorold Residential Subdivisions for your review and comment.

If you have any questions regarding the attached please feel free to contact me directly.

Jayme D. Campbell, P.Eng. Senior Water Resource Engineer Terra-Dynamics Consulting Inc. 432 Niagara Street, Unit 2, St. Catharines, Ontario L2M 4W3 Phone: 289-407-0915 https://terra-dynamics.com/

Common sense solutions to environmental challenges



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software. <u>www.avast.com</u>

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the NPCA has taken measures to protect staff and public while providing continuity of services. The NPCA main office is open by appointment only with limited staff, please refer to the <u>Staff Directory</u> and reach out to the staff member you wish to speak or meet with directly. Our Conservation Areas are currently open, but may have modified amenities and/or regulations.

Updates regarding NPCA operations and activities can be found at <u>Get Involved NPCA Portal</u>, or on social media at <u>NPCA's Facebook Page</u> & <u>NPCA's Twitter page</u>.

The information contained in this communication, including any attachment(s), may be confidential, is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure of this communication, or any of its contents, is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender and permanently delete the original and any copy from your computer system. Thank-you. Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority.

Appendix B

Borehole and Monitoring Well Logs

LOG OF BOREHOLE BH21-1

PROJ	ECT: Preliminary Geotechnical Investig	ation	, We	lland T	Thorol	d Site		DRIL	LING E	DATA												
CLIEN	IT: Primont Homes							Metho	od: Sol	id Ster	n Aug	ers										
PROJ	ECT LOCATION: Welland, Ontario							Diam	eter: 1	50 mm	ı					R	EF. NC).: 2′	1-339	-300		
DATU	IM: Geodetic							Date:	Oct-2	29-202	1					E١	ICL N	D.: 2				
BORE	HOLE LOCATION: See Drawing 1 N 4	7656	85 E	64119	99			D)/01/0				TION										
	SOIL PROFILE		5	SAMPL	.ES	с		RESIS	STANCE	E PLOT		ATION		PLASTI	C NAT	URAL	LIQUID		Υ	ME	HAN	Ξ
(m)		5			ပ၊	E S		2	20 4	0 6	08	80 1	00		CON	NTENT	LIMIT	r PEN. Pa)	UNIT)	A GRA	.ND IN SIZ	Έ
	DESCRIPTION	APL	Ř		0W .3 m		Mary 1	1 9416 / 0,202			TH (ki +	Pa) FIELD V	ANE	⊷		°		Cu) (k	(kN/n	DISTR	IBUTI	ON
		[RAT	JMBE	Ц			EVA	e q	UICK T	RIAXIAI	L X	& Sensiti LAB V	ANE	WA	TER CO	ONTEN	T (%)	80	NAT		%)	
183.5	TOPSOIL : 300 mm	5	ž	F	ŗ	ΰŭ	ш		20 4	0 6	ο ε	80 1 	00	1	0 2	20 3	30			GR SA	SI	CL
183.2			1	ss	5			-								0						
- 0.3	trace organics, brown, moist, loose						183															
182.7	SILTY CLAY: trace sand. fine		 					-														
2	sand seams, brown, very moist to		2	SS	13			Ē								0						
-						<u> </u>	W.L.	182.2	m 1													
-				~~~			NOWF	i, 202° F								_		0.05				
2			3	55	20			E.								0		225				
								-														
E				22	17		181	-								0		175				
-								E								Ŭ		1/13				
-	grey below 3m							E														
			5	SS	14		100	-								0		150				
							180	-										1				
- - 4								Ē														
-							·.	-														
-			1				. 179															
-	wet, firm below 4.6m					[:目:		-														
5			6	SS	6	[:目:		F												0 5	56	40
		R.				に目		-														
Ē				VANE		日	. 178	-	+	1.8												
64 77 4								Ŀ														
- 6.1	SANDY SILT: trace gravel, trace						2	-														
	clay, brown, wet, compact		7	SS	16		177									0				4 26	63	8
176.8 6.7	END OF BOREHOLE	<u> </u>				1002	KI															
	Notes:															1						
	 50mm dia. monitoring well installed upon completion. Water Level Readings: 																					
2	Date: Water Level(mbgl):																					
77	Nov. 11, 2021 1.26 May 10, 2022 -0.8																					
j j																						
5																						
																1						
8																1						
8																1						
4																						
																1						

DS SOIL LOG 21-339-300 BOREHOLE LOGS.GPJ DS.GDT 22-7-19

O ^{8=3%} Strain at Failure

DS CONSULTANTS LTD. LOG OF BOREHOLE BH21-2 PROJECT: Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation, Welland Thorold Site DRILLING DATA Method: Solid Stem Augers **CLIENT:** Primont Homes PROJECT LOCATION: Welland, Ontario

DATUM: Geodetic

BOREHOLE LOCATION: See Drawing 1 N 4765487 E 641216

Diameter: 150 mm

Date: Oct-29-2021

REF. NO.: 21-339-300 ENCL NO.: 3

	00# 5505# 5				50	1			DYNAI		ONE PE	NETRA	ATION								
	SOIL PROFILE	-		SAMPL	.ES	- H			RESIS	TANCE	E PLOT	\geq			PLASTI		URAL	LIQUID		¥	METHANE
(m)		01			ပ	ATA A			2	0 4	10 6	8 0	80 1	00		CON	TENT	W.	T PEN (Pa)	nut (ژ	GRAIN SIZE
ELEV	DESCRIPTION	APL	Ľ.		<u>3 m</u>				SHEA			TH (kF	Pa) FIELD V	ANE	_₽			—–I	ы К Ш С К Ш	(kN/r	DISTRIBUTION
DEPTH		ZAT,	MBE	щ	夁				• QI	JICK T	RIAXIAI	L X	& Sensit LAB V	vity ANE	WA	TER CO	ONTEN	T (%)	e e	NATL	(%)
184.1		STF	N	Ϊ	ŗ	GR	3 3		2	0 4	40 6	8 0	80 1	00	1	0 2	20 3	30			GR SA SI CL
- 0.0	TOPSOIL: 300 mm	<u>7, 1</u>					1	84													
- 0.3	SILTY SAND: disturbed/reworked,	hri	1	SS	4			Ē									0				
183.3	trace organics, brown, moist, loose	Hil	-			Ť	W.	L. 1	83.5 r	n											
- 0.8	SILTY CLAY: fine sand seams,	17					Win	1271, / 10)	88042	n											
F	brown, moist to very moist, very stiff	K	2	SS	16		1	83	; E9 E4	-							0		-		
	10 11111	1						ŀ													
-		K						Ē	-												
E		1	3	SS	21												0		225		
-		K					1	82													
	vory moist bolow 2.2m	1X						E	-												
F	very moist below 2.5m	K	4	SS	9			þ	-								0		150		
-		KK	1					Ē													
3	arev below 3m	Ŵ	⊨			1.		81													
	gicy below on	K	5	SS	8	ŀΕ		Ĭ								⊢⊢	- •		100		0 0 67 33
F		W.				11		E	-							-	-				
						1:E		ł													
4		KX.	1			ŀΕ	1	مأ													
		W.	1			ŀ₽	:¦ '														
		K	1			ŀΕ			:												
-	firm below 4.6m	1				1:8		Ē	-												
5		K	6	SS	4	İΕ											- C	þ			
		Ŵ	╞			ŀE	1	79 E		2.5									1		
E		K		VANE		小日				+											
		K	1			ŀΕ		ŀ	-												
6		Ŵ	1			ΙΈ															
	silt layers, trace gravel	K	┢				1	78													
		12	7	SS	6		31	E									o				
E						60	8	ł	-												
- -		KX.	1			60	29	Ē													
176 0		W.		VANE		R	5 1	77						->100							
7.3	END OF BOREHOLE					600		-													
	Netec																				
	Notes:																				
7-19	1. 50mm dia. monitoring well																				
22-1	2. Water Level Readings:																				
5																					
S.G	Nov. 11. 2021 0.7																				
	May 10, 2022 0.68																				
5.6	June 27, 2022 0.54																				
ÖÖ																					
Ц																					
9																					
			1				1													1	
ă			1																	1	
0-30																					
1-33																					
N U			1				1													1	
ā l			1																	1	
S S																					

LOG OF BOREHOLE BH21-3

PR	OJECT: Preliminary Geotechnical Investig	ation	, We	lland 1	horol	d Site		DRIL	LING D	ATA										
CL	IENT: Primont Homes							Meth	od: Sol	id Stei	n Aug	ers								
PR	OJECT LOCATION: Welland, Ontario							Diam	neter: 1	50 mn	ı					RE	EF. NC).: 2	1-339	9-300
DA	TUM: Geodetic							Date	: Oct-2	7-202	1					EN	ICL N	0.: 4		
BO	REHOLE LOCATION: See Drawing 1 N 4	7654	61 E	64163	81															
	SOIL PROFILE		5	SAMPL	ES	<u>م</u>		RESI	STANCE	E PLOT		ATION		PLASTI		JRAL			⊧	METHANE
(m) <u>ELE</u> DEP1		RATA PLOT	JMBER	РЕ	BLOWS 0.3 m	ROUND WATE	EVATION	SHE OU	20 4 AR STI INCONF	0 6 RENG INED RIAXIA	0 8 TH (kF + L ×	Pa) FIELD V & Sensit LAB V	00 ANE ivity ANE				LIMIT W _L 	POCKET PEN. (Cu) (kPa)	NATURAL UNIT V (kN/m ³)	AND GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION (%)
<u>182</u>	.4 .0 TOPSOIL : 350 mm	5 . <u></u> .	ž	Ĥ.	Z.	50	Ш	-	20 4	06	8 0	80 1	00	1	0 2	:0 3	30	$\left \right $		GR SA SI CL
- 182 - 0	.0 .4 CLAYEY SILT: disturbed/reworked, trace organics, brown, moist, firm			SS	4	⊥ Ţ	W.182 MAQVL1	L 182.1 1,821092	 m 1m							0				
<u>181</u> 0	.5 SILTY CLAY: trace sand, silt seams/layers, brown, moist to very moist, very stiff to firm		2	SS	14		May 1), 202 - - - -	2							Þ				
- - - - 2			3	SS	18		101	-							o					
	grey, very moist below 2.3m		4	SS	17		180	- - - - -								0				
- - - -			5	SS	11		179	-							F	0	-1			0 2 48 50
- - - 4 - - -							470	-												
- - - - - -	wet, firm below 4.6m		6	SS	7			-								o				
- - - - - - -				VANE			177	- - - -	+	7.0										
			7	SS	4		176	- - - - -									0			
7		R					Č,	-	20											
175	.1			VANE			<u> </u>	-	+											
S SOIL LOG 21-339-300 BOREHOLE LOGS.GPJ DS.GDT 22-7-19	 Since in the image of the image							-												

DS CONSULTANTS LTD. LOG OF BOREHOLE BH21-4 PROJECT: Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation, Welland Thorold Site DRILLING DATA **CLIENT:** Primont Homes Method: Solid Stem Augers PROJECT LOCATION: Welland, Ontario Diameter: 150 mm

SAMPLES

DATUM: Geodetic

(m)

ELEV DEPTH

184.1 0.0 183.8

0.3

stiff

183.2 0.9

2

179.5 4.6

177.4 6.7

BOREHOLE LOCATION: See Drawing 1 N 4765324 E 641710

SOIL PROFILE

Date: Oct-27-2021

20

DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION RESISTANCE PLOT

40 60 80 100 REF. NO.: 21-339-300 ENCL NO.: 5

LIQUID LIMIT

WL

PLASTIC NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT

WP

w

GROUND WATER CONDITIONS POCKET PEN. (Cu) (kPa) NATURAL UNIT M (kN/m³) STRATA PLOT BLOWS 0.3 m ELEVATION SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa) O UNCONFINED + FIELD VANE & Sensitivity DISTRIBUTION -0 -1 DESCRIPTION NUMBER WATER CONTENT (%) TYPE QUICK TRIAXIAL × LAB VANE z 20 40 60 80 100 10 20 30 GR SA SI CL TOPSOIL: 300 mm <u>``</u>`*`*, 184 2 1 SS 0 CLAYEY SILT: disturbed/reworked, И trace organics, brown, moist, soft SILTY CLAY: trace sand, silt 2 SS 19 175 seams/layers, brown, moist, very 183 SS 3 27 0 225 182 4 SS 27 225 grey below 3m 181 5 SS 19 175 0

SOIL LOG 21-339-300 BOREHOLE LOGS.GPJ DS.GDT 22-7-19

SD

					180	-										
SILT: reddish brown, moist, compact to dense	6	SS	20		179	-							o		225	
						-										
	7	SS	36		178								0		-	
END OF BOREHOLE																
Notes: 1. Borehole dry upon completion.																
IDWATER ELEVATIONS			!	GRAPH NOTES	+ 3,	׳: ∦	lumber o Sensi	s refer tivity	0	8 =3%	Strain	at Failu	re			

METHANE

AND

GRAIN SIZE

(%)

05	CONSOLIANTS LID.				LU	J OF	BOR	EHC		SHZ	1-5									1 OF 1
PROJ	ECT: Preliminary Geotechnical Investiga	ation	, We	elland 1	Thorolo	d Site		DRILL	ING D	ATA										
CLIEN	IT: Primont Homes							Metho	od: Sol	id Ster	n Aug	ers								
PROJ	ECT LOCATION: Welland, Ontario							Diame	eter: 1	50 mm	ı					RE	EF. NC).: 2′	1-339	-300
DATU	M: Geodetic							Date:	Oct-2	7-202	1					EN	ICL N	O.: 6		
BORE	HOLE LOCATION: See Drawing 1 N 4	7653	53 E	64150)3															
	SOIL PROFILE		5	SAMPL	ES	~		DYNAI RESIS	MIC CC	NE PE		TION				URAL			F	METHANE
(m)		5				ATEF		2	0 4	06	0 8	0 10	00	LIMIT	MOIS CON	TURE	LIMIT	PEN.	LNI (
ELEV	DESCRIPTION	A PLO	~		3 m	NOI-	NOL	SHEA	RST	RENG	TH (kF	Pa)	ANE	w _P 		v >	WL	CKET Su) (kF	RAL L (kN/m ³	DISTRIBUTION
DEPTH		RAT/	MBE	Щ	<u> </u>		EVAJ	0 UN • QI	JICK TI	INED RIAXIAI	+ - ×	& Sensitiv	ity ANE	WAT	ER CO	ONTEN	T (%)	8 <u>0</u>	NATU	(%)
183.9		ST	Ŋ	F	ŗ	GR CO	ELI	2	0 4	0 6	0 8	0 10	00	1	0 2	20 3	30			GR SA SI CL
0.0	TOPSOIL: 300 mm	<u>× / /</u>	1	22	5			-												
0.3	CLAYEY SILT: disturbed/reworked, trace organics brown moist firm																Ĩ			
183.1							102	-												
- 0.8	brown, moist, compact		2	ss	19		105	-							0					
-			_					-												
-																				
-			3	SS	20		182								0					
-			<u> </u>					-												
								-												
-			4	SS	23										0					
3							181	-												
	grey below 3m		5	ss	24			-							0			225		
-			ľ		2.															
-							180	_												
4							100	-												
								-												
-																				
-			6	ss	20		179	-							0			225		
-					_			-												
-								-												
								E												
6							178	-												
	wet							-												
-			7	SS	18										0			150		
6.7	END OF BOREHOLE							-												
	Notes:																			
	1. Borehole wet at 6m depth during																			
	ariiing.																			
I		1	1	1	1									1		1	1	1		

DS SOIL LOG 21-339-300 BOREHOLE LOGS.GPJ DS.GDT 22-7-19

+ ³, × ³: Numbers refer to Sensitivity <u>GRAPH</u> NOTES

O ^{8=3%} Strain at Failure

DS CONSULTANTS LTD. LOG OF BOREHOLE BH21-6 PROJECT: Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation, Welland Thorold Site DRILLING DATA **CLIENT: Primont Homes** Method: Solid Stem Augers

PROJECT LOCATION: Welland, Ontario

DATUM: Geodetic

BOREHOLE LOCATION: See Drawing 1 N 4765297 E 641336

Diameter: 150 mm

Date: Oct-28-2021

REF. NO.: 21-339-300 ENCL NO.: 7

DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION RESISTANCE PLOT SAMPLES SOIL PROFILE PLASTIC NATURAL MOISTURE LIMIT CONTENT METHANE GROUND WATER CONDITIONS LIQUID LIMIT POCKET PEN. (Cu) (kPa) AND 40 60 100 NATURAL UNIT ((kN/m³) 20 80 (m) STRATA PLOT GRAIN SIZE BLOWS 0.3 m Wp w WL ELEVATION SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa) O UNCONFINED + FIELD VANE & Sensitivity ELEV DEPTH DISTRIBUTION -0 -1 DESCRIPTION NUMBER (%) WATER CONTENT (%) TYPE QUICK TRIAXIAL × LAB VANE ż 20 40 60 80 100 10 20 30 GR SA SI CL 184.1 0.0 183.8 TOPSOIL: 300 mm <u>``</u>`*`*, 184 1 SS 5 0 CLAYEY SILT: disturbed/reworked, 0.3 trace organics, brown, moist, firm .. 183.6 m 1183.5 m 1082022n 183.2 0.9 SILTY CLAY: trace sand, silt /⊾ Juni 18 2 SS 17 seams/layers, brown, moist to very moist, very stiff to firm 3 SS 13 0 182 4 SS 20 200 с grey, very moist below 3m 181 7 SS 5 0 2 56 41 H 0 đ VANE 180 179.5 SILT: some sand, trace clay, grey, 4.6 wet, compact 6 SS 26 0 14 81 5 179 178 7 SS 28 177.4 END OF BOREHOLE 6.7 Notes: 1. 50mm dia. monitoring well installed upon completion. 2. Water Level Readings: 22-7-19 Date: Water Level(mbgl): Nov. 11, 2021 0.54 May 10, 2022 0.66 June 27, 2022 0.78



DS CONSULTANTS LTD. LOG OF BOREHOLE BH21-7 PROJECT: Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation, Welland Thorold Site DRILLING DATA CLIENT: Primont Homes Method: Solid Stem Augers

PROJECT LOCATION: Welland, Ontario

DATUM: Geodetic

BOREHOLE LOCATION: See Drawing 1 N 4765120 E 641247

Diameter: 150 mm Date: Oct-28-2021 REF. NO.: 21-339-300 ENCL NO.: 8

DOILE	TIGEE LOOM TION. OCC Drawing 1 114	100	120 6	- 0412-	Ŧ <i>1</i>	_														
	SOIL PROFILE		5	SAMPL	ES			DYNA	MIC CO	NE PE	NETRA	ATION			NAT					METHANE
						ЦЩ					\geq		00	PLASTI LIMIT	C MOIS	TURE		z	T VI	AND
(m)		0			<u>က</u>	VAT VS	7	2	20 4	06	0 8	30 1	00	W ₀	CON	N	W	T PEI	ي» دا	GRAIN SIZE
ELEV	DESCRIPTION	A PI	ц		NO MO MO MO MO MO MO MO MO MO MO MO MO MO	2 €	0Ľ	SHEA	AR STI	RENG	TH (ki	Pa) FIELD V	ANE	⊢ —		0		Ы Ш Ш С	IRAL (KN/r	DISTRIBUTION
DEPTH		AT/	ABE	ш	<u> </u>		LAY				+	& Sensiti		WA	TER CO		T (%)	8 O	ATU	(%)
104.0		STR	Ş	μ	z	NON OC	Ш.		010 K 11	0 6	0 8	1 LAD V	ANE 00	1	0 2	20 3	30		2	
184.2	TOPSOIL: 300 mm	1.11/2.	-															-		GIT SA SI CL
- 183.9			1	SS	3		184	-								0		-		
- 0.3	CLAYEY SILT: disturbed/reworked,	HH I						-												
-	trace organics, brown, moist, son	HH.	1			1		-												
183.3	CILITY CLAY: trace cond cilt	ΗĄ.				1		-												
- 0.9	seams/lavers, brown, moist to very	12	2	SS	15		400	-								0				
E I	moist, very stiff to firm	11	1				183	-												
E I		K.	\vdash					-												
E		Ŵ	3	SS	24			E										225		
2		K	1		27			-								Ĭ		220		
		K	\vdash			1	182	-												
-		11				1	102	-												
-		KK	4	SS	16			-							0			225		
-		ĥ	1					-												
3	arey very moist stiff below 3m	KK	\vdash					-												
E I	grey, very molat, attributow and	12	5	22	۵		181									0		75		
E		11	1		5			E								Ŭ		1,2		
-		K.	┢					E												
E.		ĥ	1					-												
-		K	1					-												
F		12	1				180	-												
-		11	1					-												
E I	firm below 4.6m	K.						-												
5		Ŵ	6	SS	7			E							- c	×				
E		KK	1				179	-												
F		12					110	-												
-		Ŵ						-	+	1										
F		K	1	V/ UNL		-		F	'											
- ₆ 1/8.2	SILT: some sand brown wet	fili	1					-												
E	compact					1	178											-		
E			7	SS	28			E							· ·	þ				
177.5								-												
6.7	END OF BOREHOLE																			
	Notes:																			
	1. Borehole wet at 6m depth during																			
	unning.																			
			1																1	
																		1		
																		1		
																		1		
			1																1	
																		1		
																		1		
																		1		
																		1		
			1																1	
			1																1	
			1																1	
																		1		
																		1		

O ^{€=3%} Strain at Failure

LOG OF BOREHOLE BH21-8

|--|

PROJ	ECT: Preliminary Geotechnical Investig	ation	, We	lland 1	horol	d Site		DRIL	LING D	DATA												
CLIEN	IT: Primont Homes							Metho	od: Sol	id Ster	n Aug	jers										
PROJ	ECT LOCATION: Welland, Ontario							Diam	eter: 1	50 mm	ו					RE	EF. NO	0.: 21	1-339	-300		
DATU	IM: Geodetic							Date:	Oct-2	27-202	1					EN	ICL NO	D.: 9				
BORE	HOLE LOCATION: See Drawing 1 N 4	7652	01 E	64160)1						NETR	ΔΤΙΟΝ										
	SOIL PROFILE	1	5	SAMPL	ES	н.		RESIS	STANCE	PLOT	\geq			PLASTI		URAL	LIQUID	_	WT	м	ETHAN	E
(m)		-01			<u>ଚ</u> ା_	VATE	z	2	20 4	0 6	0 8	30 1	00	LIMIT Wp	CON	TENT		T PEN kPa)	. UNIT ")	GR	AND AIN SIZ	Έ
ELEV DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	TA PI	Ш		0.3 n		0IT4	O U	AR STI NCONF	RENG	IН (кн +	Pa) FIELD V. & Sensiti	ANE	<u>-</u>		э——-		OCKE (Cu) ((kN/	DIST		ON
		TRA.	UMB	γpe	 .≂	ROL	LEV,	• Q				LAB V	ANE	WA	TER CC	ONTEN	T (%)	Ľ	'AN		(70)	
183.6	TOPSOIL: 300 mm	0	z	-	÷	00	ш	- 4	4	0 0				'			1			GR \$	SA SI	CL
183.3	SANDY SILT: disturbed/reworked		1	SS	7			-								0						
-	trace topsoils, brown, moist, loose						183	-														
182.7			-					-														
- 0.9	brown, moist, hard to firm		2	SS	18	¥	wi	- 182 <u>&</u> i	 m						0							
Ē						⊻	May 27), 202	≱ m													
E		H.	3	22	32		NoVE	l, 202′ F	1						0							
2				00	52			-														
-								-														
-			4	SS	16		181								0							
Ē		R.						-														
-	grey, very moist, firm below 3m							-									44					
-			5	SS	5	 :目:		-							þ					0	0 34	66
Ē			├──			[:目:	180	-														
4			1			[:目:		-	30													
			1	VANE		ŀ∃;		-	+	ĺ												
-		H.				「目	179	-														
		R.	6	22	1	[:目:	1	-														
5			Ŭ	00	-			-														
-						[:目:		-														
		H		VANE		 : 目:	178		+5.0-													
-₀177.6						[:目:		-														
- 6.0	SILI: some sand, trace clay, brown, wet, compact							Ē														
176.0			7	SS	10		177	-								0						
6.7	END OF BOREHOLE							-														
	Notes:																					
	1 50mm dia monitoring well																					
	installed upon completion. 2. Water Level Readings:																					
	Date: Water Level(mbgl):																					
- 77	Nov. 11, 2021 1.37 May 10, 2022 1.11																					
	June 27, 2022 1.12																					
ŝ																						
5																						
2																						
8																						
31										1		1		1			1		1			



O ^{8=3%} Strain at Failure

LOG OF BOREHOLE BH21-9

	PROJ	ECT: Preliminary Geotechnical Investig	ation	, We	lland 1	horol	d Site	;		DRILL	ING I	DATA										
	CLIEN	IT: Primont Homes								Metho	d: So	lid Ster	n Aug	ers								
	PROJ	ECT LOCATION: Welland, Ontario								Diame	eter: 1	50 mm	ı					RE	F. NC).: 2 ⁻	1-339	-300
	DATU	M: Geodetic								Date:	Oct-2	27-202	1					EN	ICL N	0.: 1	0	
ļ	BORE	HOLE LOCATION: See Drawing 1 N 4	7651	47 E	64170)7								TION						-	_	
		SOIL PROFILE		5	SAMPL	ES	~			RESIS	TANCI	E PLOT	\geq	ATION		PLASTI		JRAL	LIQUID		M	METHANE
	(m) <u>ELEV</u> DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	RATA PLOT	IMBER	ЬE	BLOWS 0.3 m	ROUND WATE			2 SHEA 184.0 r	0 4 R ST NCONF	10 6 RENG FINED RIAXIAI	0 8 TH (kF + - ×	Pa) FIELD V & Sensiti LAB V	OO ANE vity ANE	LIMIT W _P I			LIMIT WL T (%)	POCKET PEN. (Cu) (kPa)	NATURAL UNIT ' (kN/m ³)	AND GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION (%)
	183.5	TOPSOIL : 300 mm	<u></u>	ž	≽	Ż	5	5		2	ō ∠	10 6	8 0	80 1	00	1	0 2	0 3	0			GR SA SI CL
	183.2	CANDY CIL To disturb ad/resusaluad		1	SS	5	Ÿ		N I -	1833	n						o					
	0.3	trace topsoils, brown, moist, loose						Ň	Volv8B	l, 2021										-		
	182.6 1 0.9	SILTY CLAY: trace sand, silt seams/layers, brown, moist, very stiff to firm		2	SS	23	- V	V J	V. L. Iun 27	182.6 r 2022	n						o					
	2			3	SS	20			182	- - - -							o					
	-			4	SS	10			181	-								>				
		grey, firm below 3m		5	SS	6			180	-							\$ -					0 3 41 56
	- <u>4</u> - -				VANE					-	+	3.5										
	- - - - - -	wet below 4.6m		6	SS	4			179	-								Þ		-		
-	• • • •				VANE				178	-		2.7										
-	<u>6</u> - -			7	SS	6		080	477	-								o				
								808	177	-												
	<u>7</u>									-	, 3.	D										
ŀ	176.2	END OF BOREHOLE	[X]X	1	VANE			<u>j</u>		-	+											
		Notes [.]																				
JT 22-7-19		 50mm dia. monitoring well installed upon completion. Water Level Readings: 																				
3S SOIL LOG 21-339-300 BOREHOLE LOGS.GPJ DS.GD		Date: Water Level(mbgl): Nov. 11, 2021 0.25 May 10, 2022 -0.5 June 27, 2022 0.97																				

O ^{8=3%} Strain at Failure

DS CONSULTANTS LTD. LOG OF BOREHOLE BH21-10 PROJECT: Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation, Welland Thorold Site DRILLING DATA CLIENT: Primont Homes

PROJECT LOCATION: Welland, Ontario

DATUM: Geodetic

BOREHOLE LOCATION: See Drawing 1 N 4765113 E 641466

Method: Solid Stem Augers

Diameter: 150 mm

Date: Oct-27-2021

REF. NO.: 21-339-300 ENCL NO.: 11

	SOIL PROFILE		5	SAMPL	ES	~		DYNAI RESIS	MIC CC	NE PE		ATION		NATI	JRAL		F	METHANE
(m) <u>ELEV</u> DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	TRATA PLOT	UMBER	YPE	v" <u>BLOWS</u> 0.3 m	ROUND WATER	LEVATION	2 SHEA OUN	0 4 R STF NCONF JICK TF	0 6 RENG INED RIAXIAI	50 8 TH (kF + L ×	Pa) FIELD V & Sensiti LAB V	ANE vity ANE			POCKET PEN. (Cu) (kPa)	NATURAL UNIT W (KN/m ³)	AND GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTIOI (%)
183.7 0.0 183.4 0.3 182.9	TOPSOIL: 300 mm CLAYEY SILT: disturbed/reworked, trace topsoils, brown, moist, firm SILTY CLAY: trace sand, silt	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	1	SS	6	00	ш 183	-	0 4					0 2	0 3	-		GR SA SI C
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	seams/layers, brown, moist, very stiff to firm		2	SS SS	21 21		182	-						 0				
2 			4	SS	12		181	-						0				
<u>3</u>	grey, wet, firm below 3m		5	SS	4		180								0			
				VANE			179	-	+									
<u>5</u>			6	SS VANE	5		178	-	5.0						>			
6.0 177.7	SANDY SILT: brown, wet, compact		7	SS	12		177	-							0			
6.7	END OF BOREHOLE Notes: 1. Borehole wet below 3m depth during drilling.																	

DS SOIL LOG 21-339-300 BOREHOLE LOGS.GPJ DS.GDT 22-7-19

DS CONSULTANTS LTD. LOG OF BOREHOLE BH21-11 PROJECT: Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation, Welland Thorold Site DRILLING DATA **CLIENT: Primont Homes** Method: Solid Stem Augers PROJECT LOCATION: Welland, Ontario Diameter: 150 mm DATUM: Geodetic Date: Oct-27-2021 BOREHOLE LOCATION: See Drawing 1 N 4765056 E 641193 DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION RESISTANCE PLOT SAMPLES SOIL PROFILE PLASTIC NATURAL MOISTURE LIMIT CONTENT GROUND WATER CONDITIONS LIQUID LIMIT 40 60 100 20 80 (m) STRATA PLOT BLOWS 0.3 m SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa) O UNCONFINED + ^{FIELD VANE} & Sensitivity Wp w WL ELEVATION ELEV DEPTH -0 -1 DESCRIPTION NUMBER WATER CONTENT (%) TYPE QUICK TRIAXIAL × LAB VANE ż

V

V

<u>۱</u>، 1 SS 4

12

2 SS 14

3 SS 18

4 SS 11

5

SS 10

SS 6

VANE

VANE

7 SS 7

4

20 40 60 80 100

184

183

182

181

180

179

178

+2.3

+3.0

W. L. 180.8 m Nov 11, 2021

V

W. L. 183.7 m

₩₽L274,830,82m

May 10, 2022

+ ³,×³: Numbers refer GRAPH NOTES to Sensitivity

REF. NO.: 21-339-300 ENCL NO.: 12

POCKET PEN. (Cu) (kPa)

10 20 30

o

0

o

0

0

NATURAL UNIT ((kN/m³)



177.0

7.3

184.3 0.0

183.8

0.5 183.5

0.8

TOPSOIL: 450 mm

moist, very stiff to firm

CLAYEY SILT: disturbed/reworked,

seams/layers, brown, moist to very

trace topsoil, brown, moist, firm

SILTY CLAY: trace sand, silt

very moist, stiff below 2.3m

grey below 3m

wet, firm below 4.6m

END OF BOREHOLE

1. 50mm dia. monitoring well

Date: Water Level(mbgl): Nov. 11, 2021 3.47 May 10, 2022 0.77 June 27, 2022 0.57

installed upon completion. 2. Water Level Readings:

silt layers

Notes:

METHANE

AND

GRAIN SIZE

DISTRIBUTION

(%)

GR SA SI CL

0 3 48 50

DS CONSULTANTS LTD. LOG OF BOREHOLE BH21-12 PROJECT: Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation, Welland Thorold Site DRILLING DATA **CLIENT:** Primont Homes

PROJECT LOCATION: Welland, Ontario

DATUM: Geodetic

BOREHOLE LOCATION: See Drawing 1 N 4765024 E 641312

Method: Solid Stem Augers

Diameter: 150 mm Date: Oct-28-2021 REF. NO.: 21-339-300 ENCL NO.: 13

	SOIL PROFILE		5	SAMPL	ES			DYNA RESIS	MIC CO	DNE PE E PLOT		ATION			- NAT	URAL			F	METHANE
(m)		F				ATER		2	20 4	0 6	50 8	30 1	00	LIMIT	MOIS CON	TURE	LIQUID	a) EN.	NT V	AND
ELEV	DESCRIPTION	PLO	l m		3 m	IONS	NOI	SHEA	AR STI	RENG	TH (kl	Pa)		W _P	\	v >c	WL	u) (kP.	RN/m ³	GRAIN SIZE
DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	ATA	ABEF	щ	BLO		VAT			INED RIAXIA	+ ×	& Sensiti		WA	ER CO	ONTEN	T (%)	80 20	ATUR	(%)
183.8		STF	Ŋ	ΤΥΡ	ż	C O GR	E	2	20 4	0 6	50 E	30 1	00	1	0 2	20 3	30		ſ~	GR SA SI C
0.0	TOPSOIL: 300 mm	<u>×1 //</u>			_			_												
- 0.3	SILTY SAND: disturbed/reworked,	17	1	SS	5			-								0				
183.0	trace topsoil, brown, moist, loose							-												
- 0.8	SILTY CLAY: trace sand, brown,	R				1	183													
F	moist to very moist, very stiff to firm	K	2	SS	16											0				
		K	┢					-												
-		Ŕ			26			-												
- 2		12	, s	55	20		182	_								1				
E		K						-												
E	stiff below 2.3m	Hł.						-												
Ē		R.	4	55	14		101	-								o				
3	grov wat firm balow 2m	11					101	-												
	grey, wet, intri below Sin	K	5	SS	6			-								0				
-		12						_												
-		Ĥ					180	-												
4		K				-		-												
-		Ĥ	1	VANE				-		+										
		12																		
-		K	6		6		179	-												
-		12		33	0															
Ē		12				1		-												
-		Ĥ	┢──					_		+	i i									
Ē.		K	}—	v/ u t E		-	178	_												
-		Ŕ	<u> </u>					-												
-		B	7	SS	7			-									Þ			
Ē		K																		
-,		H.	1				177	-												
176 5		12		VANE					+	1										
7.3	END OF BOREHOLE																			
	Notes:																			
	1. Borehole wet below 3m depth																			
	during drining.																			

DS CONSULTANTS LTD. LOG OF BOREHOLE BH21-13 PROJECT: Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation, Welland Thorold Site DRILLING DATA CLIENT: Primont Homes Method: Solid Stem Augers PROJECT LOCATION: Welland, Ontario Diameter: 150 mm DATUM: Geodetic Date: Oct-27-2021 BOREHOLE LOCATION: See Drawing 1 N 4765022 E 641489

BURE		7650)22 E		69 ES		1	DYNA	MIC CO	ONE PE	NETR	ATION						<u> </u>		
	GOILTHOTILL		<u> </u>			Ë		RESI			\geq	80 1	00	PLAST LIMIT	IC NAT	URAL	LIQUID LIMIT	z	T WT	METHANE AND
(m)		LOT			SNE	NSNS NS	Z	SHE	AR ST		TH (k	Pa)	00	W _P	CON	W	WL	(kPa)	VL UNI	GRAIN SIZE
DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	ATA F	BER		0.3		ATI0	0 0	NCONF	INED	+	FIELD V & Sensiti	'ANE ivity				T (0()	(CU)	ATURA (Kh	UISTRIBUTION (%)
183 /		STR/	NUM	ТУРЕ	z	GRO	ELE		UICK T	RIAXIAL 10 61	_ × 0 8	LAB V. 80 1	ANE 00		10 2	20 (1 (%) 30		≥	GR SA SI CL
- 0.0	TOPSOIL: 300 mm	<u>×1 /7</u>			-			-												
- 0.3	CLAYEY SILT: disturbed/reworked,	hii	1	SS	7		18	3	-						0	>				
182.6	trace topsoil, brown, moist, firm		1			<u> </u>	W. L	182.8	'n											
- <u>0.8</u>	SILTY CLAY: trace sand, brown,						NØV 1	Ψ, 202 F	¥ ∣											
	moist to very moist, very suff to soft	12	2	SS	15			Ē								0				
E			⊨				18	2 [
		H.	3	SS	20			F								0				
-								-												
	stiff below 2.3m		╞				18	1												
-	Still Below 2.511	12	4	SS	12		:	Ē								0				
-		1	1					F												
	grey, wet, firm below 3m	H.				1.1	:	Ē									41			
E			5	SS	5	l:目:	. 18	ɔ <u></u> Ē−−−									•			0 3 37 60
F						[:]目:	:	E												
- - 4		12				に目		-	6											
		1		VANE		l:目:	·	Ē	+	Ĭ										
		H.]:目:	1/9	<u>ا</u>										1		
-]:目:	:	E												
-		Ĥ		55	b b	に目	·.	F												
		R				1:目:	17	3Ē										4		
E		1	┢	VANE		目		Ē	+2.5											
6		H.	<u> </u>			お目	÷	E												
	soft	K	┢				2	E												
			7	SS	3		17	7									>			
176.7 6.7	END OF BOREHOLE	ri⁄ri	1—			1002	<u> </u>	-												
	Notes																			
	1. 50mm dia. monitoring well installed upon completion.																			
	2. Water Level Readings:																			
	Date: Water Level(mbgl): Nov 11 2021 0 55																			
	May 10, 2022 0.52																			
	June 27, 2022 0.54																			
							1													
							1													
							1													
							1													
							1													
																			<u> </u>	

1 OF 1

REF. NO.: 21-339-300

ENCL NO.: 14

DS CONSULTANTS LTD. LOG OF BOREHOLE BH21-14 PROJECT: Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation, Welland Thorold Site DRILLING DATA CLIENT: Primont Homes Method: Solid Stem Augers PROJECT LOCATION: Welland, Ontario Diameter: 150 mm DATUM: Geodetic Date: Oct-28-2021

BOREHOLE LOCATION: See Drawing 1 N 4764872 E 641346

		_	_											_		_		-	_	
	SOIL PROFILE		s	SAMPL	ES	~		DYNA RESIS	MIC CC	NE PE		ATION		DI 10-		JRAL			F	METHANE
(m)		⊢				TER		2	20 4	0 6	0 8	80 1	00	PLASTI LIMIT	C MOIS	TURE	LIQUID	Ľ.	×	AND
(m)		-O_			SN SN SN SN SN SN SN SN SN SN SN SN SN S	WA	z	SHEA	AR STR		ї́	2a)	<u> </u>	WP	V	v	W_{L}	(KPa)	N (W	GRAIN SIZE
DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	TAF	ЯË R		3LO/		ATIC		NCONF	INED	+	FIELD V & Sensiti	ANE vity		(>		Ϋ́ς	L AN	DISTRIBUTION (%)
		TRA	NM	ΥΡΕ	5	ROL ONE	LEV	• Q			L X	LAB V	AŃE	WA	rer cc	ONTEN	T (%)	–	¥	(,,,)
183.6		0	z	Г.	£	00	ш	- 4	20 4	0 6	08	1		1		:0 3	50 			GR SA SI C
183.3			1	SS	4			Ē								0				
- 0.3	CLAYEY SILI: disturbed/reworked, trace topsoil. brown, wet, firm					∇		È.												
182.8	,,,					Y	W183	183.1 i 183n Gi	m m									1		
- 0.8	SILTY CLAY: trace sand, trace			~~~	10		May 10), 2022 F	2							_				
	very stiff to soft	ŔX	2	55	13			-								0				
-		W						E												
			3	SS	18		182	-												
2		XX	Ĭ					-								Č				
-								-												
-	stiff below 2.3m	X	1.					Ē												
-		W	4	SS	13		181									0		1		
3								E												
-	grey, firm below 3m	X	_	~~~	6	! :⊟:		-												1 6 26 5
			5	33	0	[:目:	:	-												1 0 30 5
-		KX.				1:目:	180													
- - <u>4</u>		H.				に目	:	-												
-				VANE				Ē		-	3.5									
-		X				:目:		-												
-	wet below 4.6m		-			[:目:	179													
- 5		K.	6	SS	3	1:1月:1		-								0				
		ĥ				に目	:	E												
-						:目:		È .												
-		1X		VANE		に目の	. 178			+	2.2							-		
-		Ŵ	-			[:目:		-												
	soft	K	┣──				2	-												
-		X	7	SS	3			-									,			
						602	177											-		
-		KX.	1			665	Į.	-												
- 176 3		K.		VANE		665		-		+5.0) 									
7.3	END OF BOREHOLE						1													
	Notes:																			
	1. 50mm dia. monitoring well installed upon completion.																			
	2. Water Level Readings:																			
	Date: Water Level(mbgl):																			
	Nov. 11, 2021 0.56																			
	May 10, 2022 0.67																			
							1											1	1	
																		1		
																		1	1	



REF. NO.: 21-339-300

ENCL NO.: 15

DS CONSULTANTS LTD. LOG OF BOREHOLE BH21-15 PROJECT: Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation, Welland Thorold Site DRILLING DATA **CLIENT: Primont Homes** Method: Solid Stem Augers PROJECT LOCATION: Welland, Ontario Diameter: 150 mm REF. NO.: 21-339-300 DATUM: Geodetic Date: Oct-28-2021 ENCL NO.: 16 BOREHOLE LOCATION: See Drawing 1 N 4764896 E 641312 DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION RESISTANCE PLOT SOIL PROFILE SAMPLES PLASTIC NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT GROUND WATER CONDITIONS LIQUID LIMIT ₹ POCKET PEN. (Cu) (kPa) NATURAL UNIT M (kN/m³) 20 40 60 80 100 (m) STRATA PLOT BLOWS 0.3 m w WL SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa) O UNCONFINED + ^{FIELD VANE} & Sensitivity WP ELEVATION ELEV DEPTH DISTRIBUTION -0 -1 н DESCRIPTION NUMBER WATER CONTENT (%) ТҮРЕ QUICK TRIAXIAL × LAB VANE z 20 40 60 80 100 10 20 30 GR SA SI CL 184.1 0.0 183.8 TOPSOIL: 300 mm <u>``</u>`*`*, 184 5 1 SS 0 CLAYEY SILT: disturbed/reworked, 0.3 a trace topsoil, brown, wet, firm 183.3 SILTY CLAY: trace sand, trace 0.8 1 gravel, brown, moist to very moist, 2 SS 17 183 very stiff to soft 3 SS 23

182

181

180

4 SS 17

5 SS 11

DS SOIL LOG 21-339-300 BOREHOLE LOGS.GPJ DS.GDT 22-7-19

	grey, wet, soft below 4.6m	6	SS VANE SS	3		179 178			-	3.0					0	0		
76.8			VANE		-	177	- - - -	+										
7.3	END OF BOREHOLE Notes: 1. Borehole wet below 4.6m during drilling.																	
	NDWATER ELEVATIONS				GRAPH NOTES	+ 3,	× ³ :	Number o Sensi	s refer itivity	0	8 =3%	Strain	at Failu	re			 	



METHANE

AND

GRAIN SIZE

(%)

0

ο

DS CONSULTANTS LTD. LOG OF BOREHOLE BH21-16 1 OF 1 PROJECT: Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation, Welland Thorold Site DRILLING DATA Method: Solid Stem Augers **CLIENT: Primont Homes** PROJECT LOCATION: Welland, Ontario Diameter: 150 mm REF. NO.: 21-339-300 DATUM: Geodetic Date: Oct-28-2021 ENCL NO.: 17 BOREHOLE LOCATION: See Drawing 1 N 4764776 E 641281 DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION RESISTANCE PLOT SAMPLES SOIL PROFILE PLASTIC NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT METHANE GROUND WATER CONDITIONS LIQUID LIMIT POCKET PEN. (Cu) (kPa) NATURAL UNIT M (kN/m³) AND 40 60 80 100 20 (m) STRATA PLOT GRAIN SIZE BLOWS 0.3 m Wp w WL SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa) O UNCONFINED + FIELD VANE & Sensitivity ELEVATION ELEV DEPTH DISTRIBUTION -0 -1 DESCRIPTION NUMBER (%) WATER CONTENT (%) TYPE QUICK TRIAXIAL × LAB VANE ż 20 40 60 80 100 10 20 30 GR SA SI CL 183.4 0.0 TOPSOIL: 600 mm <u>۱</u>، 1 SS 4 ŀ, 183 182.8 W. L. 182.9 m CLAYEY SILT: disturbed/reworked, 0.6 1 V Way 10,82022h trace topsoil, brown, wet, firm 182.4 Jun 27, 2022 SILTY CLAY: trace sand, trace 2 SS 11 1.0 о gravel, brown, moist to very moist, very stiff to firm 182 3 SS 18 0 stiff below 2.3m 181 4 SS 9 0 grey, firm below 3m 5 SS 0 0 49 51 5 Н A 180 đ +^{3.2}

_

5

179 W. L. 179.0 m Nov 11, 2021

178

4.0

o

VANE

6 SS

SOIL LOG 21-339-300 BOREHOLE LOGS.GPJ DS.GDT 22-7-19

DS

-			VANE				-		-	4.0							
<u>6</u> - - - - - 176 7		7	SS	6		177	-								0		
6.7	END OF BOREHOLE Notes: 1. 50mm dia. monitoring well installed upon completion. 2. Water Level Readings: Date: Water Level(mbgl): Nov. 11, 2021 4.43 May 10, 2022 0.54 June 27, 2022 0.72																
GROUN	IDWATER ELEVATIONS			<u>-</u>	<u>GRAPH</u> NOTES	+ ³ ,	× ³ : t	vumbers o Sensi	s refer tivity	0	s =3%	Strain a	at Failu	re			

LOG OF BOREHOLE BH22-01

1 OF 1

PROJECT: Additional Geotechnical Ir	nvestigation
-------------------------------------	--------------

CLIENT: Primont Homes

PROJECT LOCATION: Quaker Road and First Avenue, Welland, ON DATUM: Geodetic

BOREHOLE LOCATION: See Figure 1 N 4765406.469 E 641715.248

DRILLING DATA

Method: Hollow Stem Auger

Diameter: 200 mm Date: Mar-08-2022 REF. NO.: 21-339-302 ENCL NO.: 18

		SOIL PROFILE		S	SAMPL	ES			RESIS	TANCE	PLOT		ATION			- NATI	JRAL			⊢	ME	THANE	
			F				lμ		2	0 4	0 6	0 8	0 10	00	LIMIT	MOIS		LIQUID	ż	ΠW	4	ND	
	(m)		2			ଏ ₋	NS NS	z							Wp	V	V	WL	KPa)	n° (n	GRA	IN SIZE	Ξ
	ELEV	DESCRIPTION	ΑÞ	К		0 N N	ģ₽	Ê				ін (кн +	FIELD V	ANE			`		SQKE V	(KN)	DISTR	IBUTIC)N
	DEPIN		RAT	ABE	щ		No P	N A		JICK TH		T X	& Sensitiv	vity ANF	WAT	ER CC	NTEN	Г (%)	80	NATI		(%)	
	18/ 3		STF	Ĩ	Ľ	ż	й Ö		2	0 4	0 6	8 0	0 10	00	1	0 2	0 3	0			GR S	A SI	CL
	189.0	-TOPSOIL: 350 mm	· 1.	1	SS	11		184	_								0				00,		
	183.4	SILT: some topsoil.	Щ	$\frac{1}{2}$	SS	41			Ē							o							
	2102 0	disturbed/reworked, trace sand, /	X	3	SS	33	⊻	W I -	182 1 1	n n						0							
	2.3	brown, moist, stiff/	1 1	4	SS	40		Mar 25	5. 2022	2						0							
	2.0	SILT TO SILTY CLAY: trace		5	SS	67	1. H.		É							o							
	4	gravel, brown, moist, nard		\sim	\sim	\sim	1:目:	100	-														
	4.6	Vense to very dense	拢	6	SS	39	日	1 100								•	-				1 3	82	14
	178.3	CLAYEY SILT: trace gravel trace	Ш	Ľ		<u> </u>	1:日:	:	Ē								•						
	6.0	sand, brown, wet, hard	11 1	7	SS	31	⊢	178									,						
		SILT: trace clay, brown, wet, dense		ŀ		<u> </u>	1																
	8	to compact		8	SS	33			Ē							0					0 0	92	8
				\sim		<u> </u>	1	176	-														
				9	SS	17			Ē								0						
	10			Ľ		<u> </u>	1	174	-														
				10	SS	28		1/4	Ē							0							
	10170.1			\vdash		<u> </u>	1		-														
	12.1	SILTY CLAY: brown wet very stiff	뷨	11	SS	20		172	-							0							
	170.6		Xi	┟╧╯		~	1		Ē														
	170.6 14 13 7	SILT:trace sand clay seams grey	ťłł	1	SS	19			Ē								0						
	10.7	wet, compact		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	1	170	Ē														
				13	SS	20			F							c	b						
	16			\vdash			1	168	Ē														
				14	SS	25		100									0						
	184.00.0					~	1		Ē								-						
	166.0		╢	15	SS	20		166	-							0							
	10.5	stiff to hard	11	┝─╯		20	1		E.							-							
	20		11	16	SS	19		101								0							
			·[ø]	\vdash		<u> </u>	1	164	-														
			11	17	SS	40			-							0							
	22		11	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	1	162															
			19.	18	22	50/											, ,						
	24		11	\vdash^{10}		25mn	4		Ē								-						
	24.4		詽					160															
	24.4	wet, hard	Ħ						-														
22	26	· ·	111	1				158	Ē														
-7-2			11	1				150	-														
22	20		Ħ	19	SS	50/			Ē							þ	4				0 0	88	12
<u> </u>	20		111			1 /		156	-							•	•				00	00	
SS.C			11	1		25mr	h		Ē														
2	30		H																				
Ð.	30.5	SILTY CLAY TILL: brown moist		20	SS	47		154								0							
Υ	50.5	hard	XX			<u> </u>	1		Ē														
ö	32		XX	1				152															
>								102	Ē														
ΤA	34		XX	21	SS	69			Ē							0							
IRS			XX				1	150								-							
Ц	148 7	ł	X	22	SS	69			E.							0							
AN	35.6	END OF BOREHOLE	21 121																				
RD		Notes:																					
ER		1) 50 mm dia. monitoring well																					
JAK		2) Water Levels Readings:																					
ğ		<u>Date</u> <u>Water Level (mbgs)</u>																					
302		Mar. 25, 2022 1.57																					
39-		April 12, 2022 1.33																					
22-3		June 21, 2022 1.10																					
Ċ																							
P																							
GL																							
SS																							
						1						1	1	1				1					

 $\frac{\text{GRAPH}}{\text{NOTES}} + {}^3, \times {}^3: \underset{\text{to Sensitivity}}{\text{Numbers refer}}$

LOG OF BOREHOLE BH22-02

1	OF	1

PROJECT: Additional Geotechnical Investigation

CLIENT: Primont Homes

PROJECT LOCATION: Quaker Road and First Avenue, Welland, ON DATUM: Geodetic

BOREHOLE LOCATION: See Figure 1 N 4764772.797 E 641331.348

Method: Hollow Stem Auger

Diameter: 200 mm Date: Mar-02-2022 REF. NO.: 21-339-302 ENCL NO.: 19

DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION RESISTANCE PLOT SAMPLES SOIL PROFILE PLASTIC NATURAL MOISTURE LIMIT CONTENT METHANE LIQUID LIMIT **GROUND WATER** AND POCKET PEN. (Cu) (kPa) 40 60 NATURAL UNIT ((kN/m³) 20 80 100 (m) STRATA PLOT **SNDITIONS** GRAIN SIZE BLOWS 0.3 m Wp w W SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa) ELEVATION ELEV DEPTH + FIELD VANE & Sensition DISTRIBUTION -0 -DESCRIPTION NUMBER O UNCONFINED (%) WATER CONTENT (%) TYPE × LAB VANE QUICK TRIAXIAL z 40 60 80 100 10 20 30 20 183.6 GR SA SI CL TOPSOIL: 400 mm 1 SS 3 189.9 182.7 0.9 W. L. 183.4 m SILTY CLAY:disturbed/reworked, 2 SS 17 Jun 27, 2022 brown, moist, soft 3 SS 182 20 SILTY CLAY: with silt seams, trace 4 SS 12 0 gravel, brown, moist to wet, very stiff 5 SS 9 to firm 180 layer of silt, grey, wet, loose 6 SS 4 0 0 0 51 49 2.0 VANE 178 SS 4 7 0 176.0 176 SILT: some sand, with clay 8 SS 8 7.6 seams/layers, brown, wet, loose 9 SS 4 C 174 10 SS 5 172 SS 8 11 169.9 170 SILTY CLAY: trace to some gravel, 12 SS 15 0 137 reddish brown, moist, very stiff to hard 13 SS 16 168 SS 23 о 14 166 SS 31 15 163.8 164 CLAYEY SILT TO SILT: trace SS 54 d— 3 84 12 16 19.8 1 sand, some clay, brown, moist, hard 17 SS 55 162 160 7 CLAYEY SILT TILL: brown, moist, 18 SS 37 0 22.9 160 hard to very stiff 19 SS 43 о 158 22-7-22 20 SS 46 0 156 SS 25 21 COPY.GPJ DS.GDT SS 22 18 154 23 SS 28 0 152 25 24 SS c SOIL LOG 22-339-302 QUAKER RD AND FIRST AV -150 25 SS 39 SS 33 148.0 n END OF BOREHOLE 35.6 Notes: 1) 50 mm dia. monitoring well installed at 6.10 mbgs upon completion. 2) Water Levels Readings: Date Water Level (mbgs) Mar. 25, 2022 April 12, 2022 June 27, 2022 Not Accessible 1.15 0.17 S

GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS Measurement $\overset{1st}{\checkmark} \overset{2nd}{\checkmark} \overset{3rd}{\checkmark} \overset{4th}{\checkmark}$



+ ³,×³: Numbers refer to Sensitivity

O ^{8=3%} Strain at Failure

LOG OF BOREHOLE BH22-03

1	OF	1

PROJECT: Additional Geotechnical Investigation

CLIENT: Primont Homes

PROJECT LOCATION: Quaker Road and First Avenue, Welland, ON DATUM: Geodetic

BOREHOLE LOCATION: See Figure 1 N 4764874.239 E 641283.907

DRILLING DATA

Method: Hollow Stem Auger

Diameter: 200 mm Date: Mar-03-2022 REF. NO.: 21-339-302 ENCL NO.: 20

DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION RESISTANCE PLOT SAMPLES SOIL PROFILE PLASTIC NATURAL MOISTURE LIMIT CONTENT METHANE LIQUID LIMIT **GROUND WATER** POCKET PEN. (Cu) (kPa) AND 40 60 NATURAL UNIT ((kN/m³) 20 80 100 (m) STRATA PLOT CONDITIONS GRAIN SIZE BLOWS 0.3 m Wp w W ELEVATION SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa) ELEV DEPTH + FIELD VANE & Sensition DISTRIBUTION -0 -DESCRIPTION NUMBER O UNCONFINED (%) WATER CONTENT (%) TYPE × LAB VANE QUICK TRIAXIAL ż 20 40 60 80 100 10 20 30 184.0 GR SA SI CL TOPSOIL 300 mm, over <u>۱</u>۲, 1 SS 183:9 W. L. 183.8 m disturbed/reworked clayey silt to 2 SS 10 Mar 25,83022n 0 0.9 sandy silt 3 SS Jung<u>27,</u> 2022 22 SILTY CLAY: reddish brown, with 4 SS 21 o silty sand seams/layers, trace 5 SS 11 gravel, moist to very moist, very stiff 0 180 to soft with layer of silty sand, grey below 3 6 SS 7 0 1 54 45 m wet, firm below 4.6m 178 >100 VANE SS 2 layer of wet, very loose silty sand at 176 7.6 m +2.0 VANE 174 173.3 10.7 SILT: with clay seams, brown, wet, 8 SS 6 loose to compact 172 9 SS 19 10 SS 23 170 11 SS ο 14 168 167.2 CLAYEY SILT TILL: some sand, 12 SS 27 о 16.8 some gravel, brown, moist, very stiff 166 to hard 13 SS 68 0 14 SS 81 164.2 SANDY SILT: trace clay, brown, 164 19.8 moist to wet, very dense 15 SS 77 0 28 67 5 С 162 160 16 SS 64 22-7-22 158 <u>156.5</u> 28 27.5 17 SS 59 CLAYEY SILT TILL: trace gravel, 0 COPY.GPJ DS.GDT 156 brown, moist, hard to very stiff 154 18 SS 61 0 152 SOIL LOG 22-339-302 QUAKER RD AND FIRST AV -25 SS 18 0 150 55 38 148.4 0 35.6 END OF BOREHOLE Notes: 1) 50 mm dia. monitoring well installed at 6.10 mbgs upon completion. 2) Water Levels Readings: Date Water Level (mbgs) Mar. 25, 2022 April 12, 2022 June 27, 2022 0.15 0.28 0.87 S

 $\frac{\text{GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS}}{\text{Measurement}} \stackrel{1\text{st}}{\underbrace{\checkmark}} \stackrel{2\text{nd}}{\underbrace{\checkmark}} \stackrel{3\text{rd}}{\underbrace{\checkmark}} \stackrel{4\text{th}}{\underbrace{\checkmark}}$

 $\frac{\text{GRAPH}}{\text{NOTES}} + {}^3, \times {}^3: \underset{\text{to Sensitivity}}{\text{Numbers refer}}$

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765186 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E:* 641731



								SAM	PLE				Moisture Content
	epth	(m) n		Description	ta			ounts	00mm	Ŋ	(cm2)	N/m3)	▲ w% ▲ 10 20 30 40
	ď	Elevatio	Symbol		Well Da	Type	Number	Blow Cc	Blows/3	Recover	PP (kgf/	U.Wt.(kl	Standard Penetration Test blows/300mm 20 40 60 80
ft	m	183.32		Ground Surface									
1-2-			Ĩ	Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of		SS	1	3,3,6,8	9		<1.0		
3 4 5				Silty Clay/Clayey Silt		SS	2	7,7,9,13	16		>4.5		
6	2		Ħ	Brown to reddish brown, some organics in upper levels, firm to very stiff.		SS	3	7,11,16,19	27		>4.5		→ ↓
8 9			Ħ			SS	4	5,7,10,13	17		2.5		<i>≁</i>
10- 11- 12-		179.82				SS	5	4,3,5,6	8		1.5		
13- 14-	4			Transition in colour to grey.									
15- 16-	5		Ħ			SS	6	3,2,4,5	6		<1.0		
17 18- 19-													
20- 21-	6		Ħ			SS	7	2,2,3,4	5		<1.0		+ +
22 23 24	7												
25- 26-	8		Ħ			ss	8	1,2,2,4	4		<1.0		
27- 28-		174.64	Ŧ										
29- 30- 31-	9		/	Sandy Silt/Silt Reddish brown, occasional gravel,		SS	9	6.6.7.8	13				
32- 33-	E 1(/	loose to very dense.				- , - , - , -					
34 35 36			/				10	6776	14				
37 38-			/				10	0,7,7,0	14				
39- 40-	- 12		/										
41 42 43	E 1:		/			55	11	<i>ঽ</i> , <i>ঽ</i> ,७, <i>१</i>	9				
44 45			/										
46 47 48	14 					SS	12	4,6,7,10	13				
49-	E												

Drill Method: Hollow Stem Augers Drill Date: December 07, 2022 Hole Size: 200 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Datum: Geodetic Field Logged by: IC Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 2

Project No: SM 220530-G Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765186 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E:* 641731



								SAMF	PLE				I	Moistur	re Con	tent
	Depth	Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	▲ 1 Stan	20 20 dard P blows 0 40	<i>№</i> % 30 enetra s/300m 60	40 tion Test 1m 80
50- 51-			1			SS	13	4,6,10,12	16							
52 53 54	16		~ / `													
55 56 57	17		/			SS	14	6,6,8,8	14							
58 59 60	18		1 1													
61 62 63	19		/ /			SS	15	5,7,13,16	20							
64 65	E 20		/			55	16	9 24 48 50/5"	72						\searrow	_
67 68 69	1 1 1 2	162.90		End of Borehole				5,24,40,50/5	12							
70- 71-	, ,			1. Borehole was advanced using												
73 74 75	22			solid stem auger equipment on December 07, 2022 to termination at a depth of 20.4 metres.												
76- 77- 78-				2. Borehole was recorded as open and 'Dry' upon completion and												
79 80 81	1 24 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			backfilled as per Ontario Regulation 903.												
82 83 84	25			3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 months unless otherwise directed												
85 86 87	20			by our chem.												
88 89	27															
91 92	28															
93 94 95	1 1 1 1 2															
90 97 98																

Drill Method: Hollow Stem Augers Drill Date: December 07, 2022 Hole Size: 200 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Datum: Geodetic Field Logged by: IC Checked by: IS Sheet: 2 of 2

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765226 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E:* 641680



								SAM	PLE				Мс	oisture (Conten	ıt
Depth		Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	10 Standa b 20	w% 20 rd Pene lows/30 40	30 4 etration 00mm 60 8	40 n Test 30
ft r	n	183.26		Ground Surface												
1	0	182.96	NHV	Topsoil Approximately 300 millimetres of		SS	1	2,2,3,5	5		2.0		•	1		
4	1			Silty Clay/Clayey Silt		SS	2	5,7,11,14	18		>4.5					
5 6 7	2	180 83	H H	Brown, occasional gravel, firm to very stiff.		SS	3	6,11,16,21	27		>4.5					
8 9 10	3	100.00	H	Transition in colour to grey.		SS	4	4,5,6,7	11		1.0					
11	-					SS	5	4,3,4,6	7		1.5					
13 14	4		H													
15 <u> </u>	5		Ŧ			SS	6	2,3,3,5	6		<1.0					
17 <u>–</u> 18 –	-	177.93	12	Sandy Silt/Silt											/	
19 <u>–</u> 20–	6		/	Reddish brown, compact.												
21 22			/			SS	7	5,5,6,10	11				1	1		
23 24	7		/													
25 <u> </u>	8	175.04	/ /			SS	8	4,7,9,9	16							
27 28				End of Borehole												
29 <u></u> 30 –	9															
31 32				NOTES:		1	I	1	1	I		I				
33 34	10			1. Borehole was advanced using solid termination at a depth of 8.2 metres.	d stem	auger	equi	pment on De	cemb	er 06	, 2022	2 to				
36	1			2 Borehole was recorded as caved to	0 6 0 m	etres	and '\	Wet' at a der	oth of	4.8 m	etres					
38 39	12			below the existing ground surface up Regulation 903.	on com	pletio	n and	backfilled as	s per	Ontar	io					
40				3. Soil samples will be discarded afte	r 3 mor	nths u	nless	otherwise di	recte	d by c	our clie	ent.				
42 <u>+</u> 43 <u>+</u>	13															
45 46	14															
47																
49																
Dri	// /	<i>Metho</i>	d: So	blid Stem Augers Soil-Mat Engin	eers &	& Cor	nsult	ants Ltd.		·	Datu	i m: G	eodetic			

Drill Date: December 06, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765364 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 **E:** 641633



							_	SAM	PLE				Moisture Content				
Denth	-	Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	w% w% 10 20 30 40 Standard Penetration Te blows/300mm 20 40 60 80	•st			
ft	n	183.80		Ground Surface													
1	- 1		NH H	Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of topsoil.		SS	1	3,4,7,5	11		>4.5						
4	- 2	181.60	H	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt Brown, occasional gravel, firm to very stiff		SS	3	8,11,16,22	27		>4.5						
/ 8 9 10	- 3			Sandy Silt/Silt Reddish brown, more silt content with		SS	4	22,26,30,44	56								
11 12 13	- 4		1 1	depth, trace clay, compact to dense.		SS	5	21,23,24,20	47								
14 15 16 17	- 5		/ / /			SS	6	5,6,5,8	11								
18 19 20 21	- 6					SS	7	7,17,22,22	39								
22 23 24 25	- 7		/					-									
26 27 28	8	175.58	/	End of Borehole		SS	8	13,16,18,23	34								
29 30 31	- 9			NOTES:													
32 33 34	- 1(NOTES: 1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem auger equipment on December 06, 2022 to termination at a depth of 8.2 metres.													
35 36 37 38	- 1'			2. Borehole was recorded as open ar Ontario Regulation 903.	nd 'Dry	' upon	ı com	pletion and b	ackfil	led as	s per						
39 40 41 42	- 12			3. Soil samples will be discarded afte	r 3 mor	nths u	nless	otherwise di	recte	d by c	our clie	ent.					
43 44 45	- 13 - 14																
47 48 49	1-																
Dr	ill I	Netho	d: S	olid Stem Augers Soil-Mat Engin	ieers &	s Cor	nsult	ants Ltd.	I	I	Datu	<i>m:</i> G	eodetic				

Drill Date: December 06, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765208 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E*: 641522



							SAM		1			Moisture Content
Depth	Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	10 20 30 40 Standard Penetration Test blows/300mm 20 40 60 80
ft m	183.5	4	Ground Surface									
1		H	Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of		SS	1	4,5,6,8	11		>4.5		
4	1		Silty Clay/Clayey Silt		SS	2	5,7,11,16	18		>4.5		
5 6 7	2	H	Brown, firm to hard.		SS	3	8,15,19,25	34		>4.5		
8	180.6	H			SS	4	5,6,7,10	13		2.0		
11		H H	Transition in colour to grey.		SS	5	3,3,4,5	7		<1.0		
13 — 14 — 15 —	4	H										
16 17 18	5	H			SS	6	2,3,4,4	7		<1.0		
19	⁶ 177.3					_						
21 22 23 24	7		Sandy Silt/Silt Reddish brown, trace clay, compact to dense.		SS	7	5,20,22,28	42				
25 <u>–</u> 26 –	3 175 3	, 			SS	8	6,6,7,7	13				
27 <u>–</u> 28 –	170.0		End of Borehole									
29	9											
			NOTES:	I	I	I	l	I	I	I		
32 <u></u> 33 <u></u> 34 <u></u>	10		1. Borehole was advanced using solid termination at a depth of 8.2 metres.	d stem	auger	equi	pment on De	cemb	oer 06	, 2022	2 to	
30 36 37	1		2. Borehole was recorded as open ar	nd 'Dry	' upor	n com	pletion and b	backfil	lled a	s per		
38 <u>–</u> 39 <u>–</u>	12		Ontano Regulation 903.									
40 41 42			3. Soil samples will be discarded afte	r 3 mor	nths u	nless	otherwise di	irecte	d by c	our cli	ent.	
43 44	13											
45 46 47	14											
48 49												
Drill	Metho	od: S	olid Stem Augers Soil-Mat Engin	ieers &	& Coi	nsult	ants Ltd.			Datu	ım: G	eodetic

Drill Date: December 06, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765091 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E:* 641718



						SAMPLE							Moisture Content		
	Depth	Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	▲ 10 Standar ● b 20	w% 20 30 d Penetra lows/300n 40 60	40 ation Test nm • 80
ft	t m	183.12		Ground Surface											
1			Ĩ	Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of		ss	1	1,1,1,4	2		>4.5				
4	<u> </u>			Silty Clay/Clayov Silt		ss	2	6,8,11,17	19		>4.5			4	
5 6 7	L L 2		H	Brown to reddish brown, firm to very stiff.		ss	3	5,8,11,17	19		>4.5		•		
8- 9-	1111 11 3		Ħ			ss	4	5,6,8,10	14		>4.5				
10 11- 12-		170.01	H			SS	5	3,3,4,4,	7		<1.0				
13- 14-	4	179.01		Transition in colour to grey.											
15- 16- 17-	5		Ħ			SS	6	3,2,3,3	5		<1.0		•		
18- 19-			H												
20- 21-		176.42	Ŧ			SS	7	2,2,2,4	4		<1.0		•		
22- 23-	- 7		- 2	End of Borehole				-							
24 25				NOTES:											
26- 27-	8			1. Borehole was advanced using											
28 29 30 31	9			December 07, 2022 to termination at a depth of 6.7 metres.											
32 33	L L 1			2. Borehole was recorded as open and 'Dry' upon completion and											
34 35 36	L L 1			backfilled as per Ontario Regulation 903.											
37 38 39 40				3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 months unless otherwise directed by our client.											
41 42 43 44 45				4. A monitoring well was installed and the following groundwater level readings have been measured:											
46 47 48 49	14			January 5 2025 : 0.83 metres											

Drill Method: Solid Stem Augers Drill Date: December 07, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Datum: Geodetic Field Logged by: IC Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 1

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765198 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E:* 641374



							SAM		Moisture Content					
Depth	Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	10 Stand	w% 20 3 ard Penel blows/300 40 €	30 40 tration Test 0mm ● 60 80
$\int_{0}^{\text{ft}} m$	183.83	<u> </u>	Ground Surface											
1 1 2		HH/	Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of topsoil.		SS	1	2,4,5,7	9		>4.5			†	
3 1 4 1		F H	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt Brown, firm to very stiff.		SS	2	5,8,11,14	19		>4.5				
6 7 7		H H			SS	3	6,9,19,22	28		>4.5				
8	181.09	Ħ	Transition in colour to grey.		SS	4	4,5,5,6	10		1.0				
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		HH			SS	5	2,3,4,5	7		<1.0				
13 4 14 4		HH												
15 16 17 17		¥ ¥			SS	6	2,2,3,5	5		<1.0				
18 19 19		H H												
20圭 ⁰ 21圭 22丰	177.59 177.13	££	Sandy Silt/Silt		SS	7	5,7,12,17	19						
23 7 24			End of Borehole											
25 26 8			NOTES											
27 28 29			1. Borehole was advanced using solid	stem a	uger e	equip	ment on Dec	embe	er 08,					
30 9			2022 to termination at a depth of 6.7 m	etres.										
31 32 32 - 1(2. Borehole was recorded as 'Wet' at a ground surface upon completion and b	depth ackfille	of 5.9 d as p) metr oer O	es below the ntario Regula	e exist ation §	ing 903.					
34 34 35			3. Soil samples will be discarded after client.	3 mont	hs un	less o	otherwise dire	ected	by ou	ır				
36 1 1 37 1														
38 39								I						
 Drill I	Metho	d: S(blid Stem Augers							Datu	m: G	eodeti		

Drill Method: Solid Stem Augers Drill Date: December 08, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Datum: Geodetic Field Logged by: IC Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 1

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765042 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1



E: 641421

								SAM		Moisture Content							
Depth	-	Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	▲ w% ▲ 10 20 30 40 Standard Penetration Test ● blows/300mm ● 20 40 60 80				
ft r	n	183.52		Ground Surface													
1	0	183.27	H	Topsoil Approximately 250 millimetres of topsoil.		ss	1	1,3,5,6	8		>4.5						
3 4 5	1			Silty Clay/Clayey Silt Brown, firm to very stiff.		ss	2	5,7,12,18	19		>4.5						
6	2		HH			ss	3	6,12,14,18	26		>4.5						
8 9 10	. 3		H H H			ss	4	5,6,7,10	13		2.0						
11 12			HHH			ss	5	3,4,4,7	8		2.0						
13 14	4	179.41	HH	Transition in colour to grey.													
16 16 17	5		H H H			ss	6	2,3,4,5	7		<1.0						
18 19	6	177.43	HH														
20 21 21		176.82	$\langle \rangle$	Sandy Silt/Silt Reddish brown, compact.		SS	7	8,9,9,9	18								
23 23 24	7			End of Borehole													
25 26 27 27	8			NOTES:													
28 29 30	9			1. Borehole was advanced using solid 2022 to termination at a depth of 6.7 n	stem a netres.	uger	equip	ment on Dec	embe	er 08,							
31	. 10			2. Borehole was recorded as caved to backfilled as per Ontario Regulation 9	5.9 me 03.	tres a	ınd 'E)ry' upon coi	mplet	ion ar	nd						
33 34 35				3. Soil samples will be discarded after client.	3 mont	hs un	less o	otherwise dire	ected	by ou	ır						
36 37 38	11			4. A monitoring well was installed and have been measured: January 3 2023 : 1.6 metres	stalled and the following groundwater level readings												
39																	
39 		Netho	d: Solid Stem Augers Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd. Datum: Geodetic														

Drill Date: December 08, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765199 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E:* 641192



							SAM	PLE				Moistur	re Content	t .
Depth	Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	10 20 Standard P • blows 20 40	9 30 4 9 30 4 9	1 Tes
ft m	184.94	L I	Ground Surface											
1 2		ìĦ	Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of		SS	1	2,3,4,6	7		2.0			\uparrow	
3 <u>1</u> 4 <u>1</u>		HH	topsoil.		SS	2	4,7,7,12	14		4.0			f	
6 7 7 2		H	Brown, occasional gravel, firm to very stiff.		SS	3	5,9,15,20	24		>4.5				
8		Ħ			SS	4	4,6,10,15	16		>4.5			+	
10 - 0 11 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 -		H			SS	5	6,7,9,10	16		4.0			•	
13 4 14 4	180.83		Transition in colour to grey.											
16 <u>5</u> 17 <u>5</u>	179.76	Ħ			SS	6	4,4,7,11	11		1.5		•	+	
18 19 6			Sandy Silt/Silt Reddish brown, compact.											
20		/			SS	7	4,9,12,17	21					4	
23 7 24 7		/												
25 26 27 27	176.72	2			SS	8	6,7,9,9	16					<u> </u>	
28 29 - 9			End of Borehole											
30 31 32			NOTES:		I			I						
33 10 34 10			1. Borehole was advanced using solid termination at a depth of 8.2 metres.	d stem	augei	r equi	pment on De	cemb	er 08	, 2022	2 to			
36 1 1' 37 1 37			2. Borehole was recorded as caved to below the existing ground surface up	o 7.3 m on com	etres	and " n and	Wet' at a dep I backfilled as	oth of s per	6.7 m Ontar	etres io				
39 12 40 12			Regulation 903.					- p						
41 42 43 13			3. Soil samples will be discarded afte	r 3 moi	nths u	nless	otherwise di	irecteo	d by c	our clio	ent.			
44 45														
46 14 47 14														
49														
Drill	Motho	d. 50								Datu	m· C	endetic		

Drill Method: Solid Stem Augers Drill Date: December 08, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Datum: Geodetic Field Logged by: IC Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 1

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765253 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E:* 641229



								SAM	PLE				Mois	ture Cor	ntent
nth		(ա) ւ		Description	IJ			unts	00mm	×	sm2)	l/m3)	10 2	w% 20 30	40
De)	Elevatior	Symbol		Well Dat	Type	Number	Blow Co	Blows/30	Recover	PP (kgf/c	U.Wt.(kN	Standard • blov 20 4	Penetra <i>w</i> s/300m 40 60	ation Test nm • 80
ft	m	184.05		Ground Surface											
1	U	183.75	2 H	Topsoil Approximately 300 millimetres of		SS	1	2,2,4,6	6		4.0		•		
3 4 5	- 1		Ħ	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt		SS	2	6,7,9,11	16		>4.5				
6 7	- 2		Ħ	Brown, firm to very stiff.		SS	3	7,9,11,14	20		>4.5				
8 9 10	- 3		H			SS	4	5,9,12,17	21		>4.5				
10 11 12	Ū	180.40		Transition in colour to annu		SS	5	4,6,7,8	13		<1.0				
13 14	- 4	179.94	X	Sandy Silt/Silt											
15 16	- 5		/	Reddish brown, compact.		SS	6	5,11,13,16	24						
1/ 18 19			/												
20 21	- 6	177.35	/			SS	7	7,11,14,21	25				•		
22 23	- 7			End of Borehole											
24 25	•			NOTES:											
20 27 28	- 8			1. Borehole was advanced using											
29 30	- 9			December 08, 2022 to termination at a depth of 6.7 metres.											
31 32	- 1(2. Borehole was recorded as caved											
34 35				to 5.4 metres and 'Wet' at a depth of 5.3 metres below the existing ground											
36 37	- 1′			surface upon completion and backfilled as per Ontario Regulation											
38	- 12			903.											
40 1 41 1				3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 months unless otherwise											
42 43 44	- 13			directed by our client.											
45 46	- 14														
47 48															
49 于															

Drill Method: Solid Stem Augers Drill Date: December 08, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Datum: Geodetic Field Logged by: IC Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 1

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765588 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1



E: 641174

					SAMPLE							Moisture Content		
Depth	Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	▲ w% ▲ 10 20 30 40 Standard Penetration Test ● blows/300mm ● 20 40 60 80		
ft m	184.23	~ .	Ground Surface											
		2 H	Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of		SS	1	2,1,3,4	4		1.5				
4 5		H	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt		SS	2	4,6,7,11	13		>4.5				
6 <u> </u>	2	Ħ	Brown, some organics in upper levels, firm to very stiff.		SS	3	5,11,15,18	26		>4.5				
8 9 10					SS	4	5,6,7,9	13		2.5				
11 = 1 12 = 1	180.73		Transition in colour to grev.		SS	5	2,3,4,5	7		1.0				
13 <u> </u>	180.12	\mathbb{Z}	Sandy Silt/Silt											
15 <u> </u>	5	/	Reddish brown, compact to dense.		SS	6	6,11,12,14	23						
17 <u> </u>		/												
20 = 6 21 =	5	/			SS	7	10,16,19,24	35				→ ↓		
22 <u>–</u> 23 – 7	,	/												
24 <u>-</u> 25 <u>-</u> 26 <u>-</u> 0	470.04	/			SS	8	66910	15						
27 – 28 –	176.01		End of Borehole				-,-,-,-							
29 <u>‡</u> 30±)													
31 32			NOTES:		I				1	1				
33 <u>-</u> 34 <u>-</u> 35 <u>-</u>	C		1. Borehole was advanced using solic termination at a depth of 8.2 metres.	d stem a	auger	equi	oment on De	cemb	er 09	, 2022	2 to			
36 <u> </u>	1		2. Borehole was recorded as caved to below the existing ground surface upo Regulation 903	o 6.4 m on com	etres oletio	and '\ n and	Net' at a dep backfilled as	th of s per	5.7 m Ontar	ietres io				
	2		3. Soil samples will be discarded after	r 3 mon	ths u	nless	otherwise di	recte	d by c	our clie	ent.			
+2 <u> </u>	5													
45 <u>₹</u> 46 <u>₹</u> 47 ₹	2													
48 49														
Drill	Metho	d: So	blid Stem Augers	oore 9	Cor		ante I to			Datu	<i>m:</i> G	eodetic		
Drill	Date: [Dece	mber 09, 2022 401 Grays Road	· Hamil	ton, C	ntario	$0 \cdot L8E 2Z3$	10 74	55	Field	l Log	ged by: IC		

Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 1

Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

IF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765518 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1



E: 641270

				SAMPLE Moisture Content											
Depth	Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	▲ 10 Standar ● bl 20	w% 20 30 rd Penetratio lows/300mn 40 60	40 on Test n • 80	
ft m	183.23		Ground Surface												
1 1 2		AHV (Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of topsoil.		SS	1	2,2,5,5	7		2.0					
3 1 4 1 5 1		HHH	Silty Clay/Clayey Silt Brown, some organics in upper levels,		SS	2	3,4,7,11	11		>4.5			f		
6 2 7 2		F H	inn to very sun.		SS	3	4,7,12,16	19		>4.5					
8 9 10 3	180.64	HH	Transition in colour to grey.		SS	4	4,7,8,10	15		2.5					
10 = 1 11 = 1 12 = 1		H H			SS	5	3,4,3,7	9		2.0					
13 4 14		HH													
15 16 17		HH			SS	6	3,3,5,7	8		1.5					
18 18 19		H H													
20 6 21	176.53	H H			SS	7	2,3,3,4	6		<1.0		•			
22 ± 7		~-~	End of Borehole												
23 <u> </u>															
25 26 8			NOTES:												
28 28 29			1. Borehole was advanced using solid 2022 to termination at a depth of 6.7 m	stem a netres.	uger (equip	ment on Dec	embe	er 09,						
30 - 9 31 9			2. Borehole was recorded as 'Wet' at backfilled as per Ontario Regulation 90	a depth)3.	of 5.	4 met	res upon cor	npleti	on an	ıd					
32 33 34 34			3. Soil samples will be discarded after client.	3 mont	hs un	less o	otherwise dire	ected	by ou	ır					
35 36 1 1 37			4. A monitoring well was installed and the following groundwater level readings have been measured: January 3 2023 : 0.0 metres												
38 39															
Drill l	Nethod	d: So	blid Stem Augers Soil-Mat Engin	ieers &	k Coi	nsult	ants Ltd.			Datu	<i>m:</i> G	eodetic			

Drill Date: December 09, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca
Log of Borehole No. 12

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4765409 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E:* 641213



							SAMF	PLE	1			Moisture Content
Depth	Elevation (m)	Symbol	Description	Well Data	Type	Number	Blow Counts	Blows/300mm	Recovery	PP (kgf/cm2)	U.Wt.(kN/m3)	w% A 10 20 30 40 Standard Penetration Test blows/300mm 20 40 60 80
ft m	184.19	~ .	Ground Surface									
1		H	Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of		SS	1	0,2,3,5	5		1.5		
3 1 4			Silty Clay/Clayey Silt		SS	2	5,8,10,13	18		>4.5		
5 6 7 7	2	H H	Brown, firm to very stiff.		SS	3	5,8,12,16	20		>4.5		
8 9 10	3 4 0 0 0 4	HH			SS	4	6,10,13,16	23		>4.5		
11	180.84		Transition in colour to grey.		SS	5	4,5,7,7	12		1.5		┥ ┥ │
12 13 14	1	1 H	0,7									
15 16	179.32	Ħ			SS	6	3.5.15.19	20		1.5		
17		/	Sandy Silt/Silt Reddish brown, compact to dense.				0,0,10,10					
20 - 6 21 - 6	5	/			SS	7	12,16,21,25	37				•
23 - 7 24 - E	7	/										
25 26 <u>—</u> е 27 — е	3 175.97	/			SS	8	12,14,26,28	40				
28			End of Borehole									
30 <u> </u>)		NOTES:									
32 <u>+</u> 33 <u>+</u> 1 34 +	IC		1. Borehole was advanced using solid	d stem	auger	equi	pment on De	cemb	ber 09	, 2022	2 to	
35 36 手 1			termination at a depth of 8.2 metres.									
37 38			2. Borehole was recorded as open an Ontario Regulation 903.	id 'Dry'	upon	comp	etion and ba	ackfill	led as	per		
>91 1 40 1 1 41 1 1	12		3. Soil samples will be discarded afte	r 3 mor	nths u	nless	otherwise di	recte	d by c	our clie	ent.	
42 43 1	13											
+4 1 45 1 46 1 1	14											
47 48 ₫												
49												
Drill	Metho	d: So	blid Stem Augers Soil-Mat Engin	eers &	& Cor	nsult	ants Ltd.			Datu	<i>m:</i> G	eodetic
Drill	Drill Date: December 09, 2022 401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 Field Logged by: IC											

Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

1:905.318.7440 F: 800.243.1922 · F -: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 1

Log of Borehole No. 13

Project No: SM 220530-G

Project: Proposed Residential Development Location: Quaker Road & First Avenue, Welland UTM Coordinates - N: 4764871 Client: Primont (Thorold/Welland) Inc.

Project Manager: Ian Shaw, P.Eng. Borehole Location: See Drawing No. 1 *E:* 641196



								SAM	PLE				Mois	ture Cor	ntent
:	Jepth	ion (m)	0	Description	Jata		er	Counts	/300mm	ery	jf/cm2)	kN/m3)	10 2 Standard	w% 20 30 Penetra	40
		Elevat	Symbe		Well D	Type	Numb	Blow (Blows	Recov	PP (k(U.Wt.(• blov 20 4	<i>w</i> s/300n 10 60	nm • 80
ft	m	183.94		Ground Surface											
1-2-			H	Topsoil Approximately 200 millimetres of		SS	1	0,2,3,6	5		1.5		•	†	
3- 4- 5-	1			Silty Clay/Clayey Silt		SS	2	5,7,8,11	15		>4.5				
6- 7-	2		Ħ	Brown, firm to very stiff.		SS	3	7,7,10,15	17		>4.5			1	
8- 9-			HH			SS	4	6,12,16,19	28		>4.5				
10 11 12	, ,		H			SS	5	4,7,8,11	15		3.0		f		
13- 14-	4		H												
15 16 17	5	178.76				SS	6	3,4,8,8	12		<1				
18- 19-	6			I ransition in colour to grey.											
20- 21- 22-		177.24	Æ			SS	7	2,2,3,4	5		<1		-		
23- 24-	7			End of Borehole											
25- 26- 27-	8			NOTES:											
28- 29-	9			1. Borehole was advanced using solid stem auger equipment on December 09. 2022 to termination at											
30 31- 32-				a depth of 6.7 metres.											
33- 34-	= 1(2. Borehole was recorded as 'Wet' at a depth of 5.6 metres upon											
35 36 37	1′			Completion and backfilled as per Ontario Regulation 903.											
38- 39-	12			3. Soil samples will be discarded after 3 months unless otherwise											
40 41 42				directed by our client.											
43- 44-	13 														
45 46 47	14														
48- 49-															

Drill Method: Solid Stem Augers Drill Date: December 09, 2022 Hole Size: 150 Millimetres Drilling Contractor: Elements Drilling Ltd.

Soil-Mat Engineers & Consultants Ltd.

401 Grays Road · Hamilton, Ontario · L8E 2Z3 T: 905.318.7440 · TF: 800.243.1922 · F: 905.318.7455 www.soil-mat.ca · E: info@soil-mat.ca

Datum: Geodetic Field Logged by: IC Checked by: IS Sheet: 1 of 1

Appendix C

Hydraulic Conductivity Analyses

























Sample Name:

BH21-2 SS5 Primont Homes, Welland

Mass Sample (g):

100

T (oC) 20



cm/s	m/s	m/d	de
2.E-07	2.E-09	0.00	
3.E-07	3.E-09	0.00	
4.E-08	4.E-10	0.00	
6.E-08	6.E-10	0.00	
2.E-07	2.E-09	0.00	
1.E-07	1.E-09	0.00	
2.E-05	2.E-07	0.01	
4.E-06	4.E-08	0.00	
3.E-06	3.E-08	0.00	
3.E-06	3.E-08	0.00	
7.E-08	7.E-10	0.00	
4.E-08	4.E-10	0.00	
7.E-07	7.E-09	0.00	
6.E-10	6.E-12	0.00	
3.E-05	3.E-07	0.03	
4.E-05	4.E-07	0.03	
6.E-07	6.E-09	0.00	
9.E-06	9.E-08	0.01	
	cm/s 2.E-07 3.E-07 4.E-08 6.E-08 2.E-07 1.E-07 2.E-05 4.E-06 3.E-06 3.E-06 7.E-08 4.E-08 4.E-08 7.E-07 6.E-10 3.E-05 4.E-05 6.E-07 9.E-06	cm/sm/s2.E-072.E-093.E-073.E-094.E-084.E-106.E-086.E-102.E-072.E-091.E-071.E-092.E-052.E-074.E-064.E-083.E-063.E-083.E-063.E-083.E-063.E-087.E-087.E-104.E-084.E-107.E-077.E-096.E-106.E-123.E-053.E-074.E-054.E-076.E-076.E-099.E-069.E-08	cm/s m/s m/d 2.E-07 2.E-09 0.00 3.E-07 3.E-09 0.00 4.E-08 4.E-10 0.00 6.E-08 6.E-10 0.00 2.E-07 2.E-09 0.00 2.E-07 2.E-09 0.00 2.E-07 2.E-09 0.00 2.E-05 2.E-07 0.01 4.E-06 4.E-08 0.00 3.E-06 3.E-08 0.00 4.E-08 4.E-10 0.00 7.E-07 7.E-09 0.00 6.E-10 6.E-12 0.00 3.E-05 3.E-07 0.03 4.E-05 4.E-07 0.03 6.E-07 6.E-09 0.00 9.E-06 9.E-08 0.01



Sample Name:

BH21-3 SS5 Primont Homes, Welland

Mass Sample (g):

100

T (oC) 20



Estimation of Hydraulic Conductivity	cm/s	m/s	m/d	de
Hazen	7.E-08	7.E-10	0.00	
Hazen K (cm/s) = d_{10} (mm)	1.E-07	1.E-09	0.00	
Slichter	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Terzaghi	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Beyer	8.E-08	8.E-10	0.00	
Sauerbrei	5.E-08	5.E-10	0.00	
Kruger	1.E-05	1.E-07	0.01	
Kozeny-Carmen	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
Zunker	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
Zamarin	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
USBR	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Barr	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Alyamani and Sen	4.E-08	4.E-10	0.00	
Chapuis	2.E-10	2.E-12	0.00	
Krumbein and Monk	8.E-05	8.E-07	0.07	
Shepherd	8.E-06	8.E-08	0.01	
geometric mean	1.E-07	1.E-09	0.00	
arithmetic mean	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	



Sample Name:

BH21-6 SS5 Primont Homes, Welland

Mass Sample (g):

100

20

T (oC)



Estimation of Hydraulic Conductivity	cm/s	m/s	m/d	de
Hazen	1.E-07	1.E-09	0.00	
Hazen K (cm/s) = d_{10} (mm)	2.E-07	2.E-09	0.00	
Slichter	3.E-08	3.E-10	0.00	
Terzaghi	4.E-08	4.E-10	0.00	
Beyer	1.E-07	1.E-09	0.00	
Sauerbrei	8.E-08	8.E-10	0.00	
Kruger	1.E-05	1.E-07	0.01	
Kozeny-Carmen	3.E-06	3.E-08	0.00	
Zunker	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
Zamarin	3.E-06	3.E-08	0.00	
USBR	4.E-08	4.E-10	0.00	
Barr	3.E-08	3.E-10	0.00	
Alyamani and Sen	2.E-07	2.E-09	0.00	
Chapuis	4.E-10	4.E-12	0.00	
Krumbein and Monk	4.E-05	4.E-07	0.03	
Shepherd	2.E-05	2.E-07	0.02	
geometric mean	3.E-07	3.E-09	0.00	
arithmetic mean	5.E-06	5.E-08	0.00	



Sample Name:

BH21-8 SS5 Primont Homes, Welland

Mass Sample (g):

100

20

T (oC)



Estimation of Hydraulic Conductivity	cm/s	m/s	m/d	de
Hazen	5.E-08	5.E-10	0.00	
Hazen K (cm/s) = d_{10} (mm)	6.E-08	6.E-10	0.00	
Slichter	1.E-08	1.E-10	0.00	
Terzaghi	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Beyer	5.E-08	5.E-10	0.00	
Sauerbrei	4.E-08	4.E-10	0.00	
Kruger	2.E-05	2.E-07	0.01	
Kozeny-Carmen	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
Zunker	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
Zamarin	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
USBR	1.E-08	1.E-10	0.00	
Barr	1.E-08	1.E-10	0.00	
Alyamani and Sen	7.E-10	7.E-12	0.00	
Chapuis	2.E-10	2.E-12	0.00	
Krumbein and Monk	2.E-04	2.E-06	0.16	
Shepherd	3.E-06	3.E-08	0.00	
geometric mean	3.E-08	3.E-10	0.00	
arithmetic mean	6.E-07	6.E-09	0.00	



Sample Name:

BH21-9 SS5 Primont Homes, Welland

Mass Sample (g):

100

T (oC) 20



Estimation of Hydraulic Conductivity	cm/s	m/s	m/d	de
Hazen	5.E-08	5.E-10	0.00	
Hazen K (cm/s) = d_{10} (mm)	7.E-08	7.E-10	0.00	
Slichter	1.E-08	1.E-10	0.00	
Terzaghi	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Beyer	6.E-08	6.E-10	0.00	
Sauerbrei	4.E-08	4.E-10	0.00	
Kruger	2.E-05	2.E-07	0.02	
Kozeny-Carmen	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
Zunker	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
Zamarin	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
USBR	1.E-08	1.E-10	0.00	
Barr	1.E-08	1.E-10	0.00	
Alyamani and Sen	4.E-09	4.E-11	0.00	
Chapuis	1.E-10	1.E-12	0.00	
Krumbein and Monk	1.E-04	1.E-06	0.12	
Shepherd	4.E-06	4.E-08	0.00	
geometric mean	5.E-08	5.E-10	0.00	
arithmetic mean	1.E-06	1.E-08	0.00	



Sample Name:

BH21-11 SS5 Primont Homes, Welland

Mass Sample (g):

100

T (oC) 20



Estimation of Hydraulic Conductivity	cm/s	m/s	m/d	de
Hazen	7.E-08	7.E-10	0.00	
Hazen K (cm/s) = d_{10} (mm)	9.E-08	9.E-10	0.00	
Slichter	1.E-08	1.E-10	0.00	
Terzaghi	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Beyer	8.E-08	8.E-10	0.00	
Sauerbrei	4.E-08	4.E-10	0.00	
Kruger	1.E-05	1.E-07	0.01	
Kozeny-Carmen	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
Zunker	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
Zamarin	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
USBR	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Barr	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Alyamani and Sen	3.E-08	3.E-10	0.00	
Chapuis	2.E-10	2.E-12	0.00	
Krumbein and Monk	8.E-05	8.E-07	0.07	
Shepherd	7.E-06	7.E-08	0.01	
geometric mean	1.E-07	1.E-09	0.00	
arithmetic mean	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	



Sample Name:

BH21-13 SS5 Primont Homes, Welland

Mass Sample (g):

100

T (oC) 20



Estimation of Hydraulic Conductivity	cm/s	m/s	m/d	de
Hazen	5.E-08	5.E-10	0.00	
Hazen K (cm/s) = d_{10} (mm)	6.E-08	6.E-10	0.00	
Slichter	1.E-08	1.E-10	0.00	
Terzaghi	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Beyer	5.E-08	5.E-10	0.00	
Sauerbrei	4.E-08	4.E-10	0.00	
Kruger	2.E-05	2.E-07	0.01	
Kozeny-Carmen	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
Zunker	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
Zamarin	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
USBR	1.E-08	1.E-10	0.00	
Barr	1.E-08	1.E-10	0.00	
Alyamani and Sen	7.E-10	7.E-12	0.00	
Chapuis	2.E-10	2.E-12	0.00	
Krumbein and Monk	2.E-04	2.E-06	0.19	
Shepherd	3.E-06	3.E-08	0.00	
geometric mean	3.E-08	3.E-10	0.00	
arithmetic mean	6.E-07	6.E-09	0.00	



Sample Name:

BH21-14 SS5 Primont Homes, Welland

Mass Sample (g):

100

T (oC) 20



Estimation of Hydraulic Conductivity	cm/s	m/s	m/d	de
Hazen	5.E-08	5.E-10	0.00	
Hazen K (cm/s) = d_{10} (mm)	7.E-08	7.E-10	0.00	
Slichter	1.E-08	1.E-10	0.00	
Terzaghi	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Beyer	5.E-08	5.E-10	0.00	
Sauerbrei	3.E-08	3.E-10	0.00	
Kruger	2.E-05	2.E-07	0.02	
Kozeny-Carmen	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
Zunker	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
Zamarin	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
USBR	1.E-08	1.E-10	0.00	
Barr	1.E-08	1.E-10	0.00	
Alyamani and Sen	3.E-09	3.E-11	0.00	
Chapuis	1.E-10	1.E-12	0.00	
Krumbein and Monk	2.E-04	2.E-06	0.13	
Shepherd	4.E-06	4.E-08	0.00	
geometric mean	5.E-08	5.E-10	0.00	
arithmetic mean	1.E-06	1.E-08	0.00	



Sample Name:

BH21-16 SS5 Primont Homes, Welland

Mass Sample (g):

100

T (oC) 20



Estimation of Hydraulic Conductivity	cm/s	m/s	m/d	de
Hazen	7.E-08	7.E-10	0.00	
Hazen K (cm/s) = d_{10} (mm)	9.E-08	9.E-10	0.00	
Slichter	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Terzaghi	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Beyer	8.E-08	8.E-10	0.00	
Sauerbrei	5.E-08	5.E-10	0.00	
Kruger	1.E-05	1.E-07	0.01	
Kozeny-Carmen	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
Zunker	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
Zamarin	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
USBR	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Barr	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Alyamani and Sen	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Chapuis	2.E-10	2.E-12	0.00	
Krumbein and Monk	8.E-05	8.E-07	0.07	
Shepherd	7.E-06	7.E-08	0.01	
geometric mean	1.E-07	1.E-09	0.00	
arithmetic mean	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	



Sample Name:

BH22-1 SS6 Primont Homes, Welland

Mass Sample (g):

100

T (oC) 20



Estimation of Hydraulic Conductivity	cm/s	m/s	m/d	de
Hazen	9.E-07	9.E-09	0.00	
Hazen K (cm/s) = d_{10} (mm)	1.E-06	1.E-08	0.00	
Slichter	2.E-07	2.E-09	0.00	
Terzaghi	3.E-07	3.E-09	0.00	
Beyer	1.E-06	1.E-08	0.00	
Sauerbrei	1.E-06	1.E-08	0.00	
Kruger	3.E-05	3.E-07	0.02	
Kozeny-Carmen	1.E-05	1.E-07	0.01	
Zunker	8.E-06	8.E-08	0.01	
Zamarin	9.E-06	9.E-08	0.01	
USBR	1.E-06	1.E-08	0.00	
Barr	2.E-07	2.E-09	0.00	
Alyamani and Sen	6.E-06	6.E-08	0.01	
Chapuis	5.E-09	5.E-11	0.00	
Krumbein and Monk	4.E-05	4.E-07	0.03	
Shepherd	2.E-04	2.E-06	0.15	
geometric mean	4.E-06	4.E-08	0.00	
arithmetic mean	5.E-05	5.E-07	0.04	



Sample Name:

BH22-2 SS6 Primont Homes, Welland

Mass Sample (g):

100

20

T (oC)



Estimation of Hydraulic Conductivity	cm/s	m/s	m/d	de
Hazen	8.E-08	8.E-10	0.00	
Hazen K (cm/s) = d_{10} (mm)	1.E-07	1.E-09	0.00	
Slichter	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Terzaghi	3.E-08	3.E-10	0.00	
Beyer	9.E-08	9.E-10	0.00	
Sauerbrei	6.E-08	6.E-10	0.00	
Kruger	1.E-05	1.E-07	0.01	
Kozeny-Carmen	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
Zunker	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
Zamarin	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
USBR	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Barr	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Alyamani and Sen	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Chapuis	3.E-10	3.E-12	0.00	
Krumbein and Monk	8.E-05	8.E-07	0.07	
Shepherd	7.E-06	7.E-08	0.01	
geometric mean	1.E-07	1.E-09	0.00	
arithmetic mean	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	



Sample Name:

BH22-3 SS6 Primont Homes, Welland

Mass Sample (g):

100

T (oC) 20

-



Estimation of Hydraulic Conductivity	cm/s	m/s	m/d	de
Hazen	7.E-08	7.E-10	0.00	
Hazen K (cm/s) = d_{10} (mm)	1.E-07	1.E-09	0.00	
Slichter	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Terzaghi	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Beyer	8.E-08	8.E-10	0.00	
Sauerbrei	5.E-08	5.E-10	0.00	
Kruger	1.E-05	1.E-07	0.01	
Kozeny-Carmen	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
Zunker	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
Zamarin	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	
USBR	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Barr	2.E-08	2.E-10	0.00	
Alyamani and Sen	4.E-08	4.E-10	0.00	
Chapuis	2.E-10	2.E-12	0.00	
Krumbein and Monk	8.E-05	8.E-07	0.07	
Shepherd	8.E-06	8.E-08	0.01	
geometric mean	1.E-07	1.E-09	0.00	
arithmetic mean	2.E-06	2.E-08	0.00	

Appendix D

Groundwater Datalogger Charts









Appendix E

Wetland Monitoring

Primont Site Visit April 5, 2023

<u>SW-1</u>

- Top Dp-> Water=32.5cm
- Depth at DP=28.5cm
- Temp=8.6 Cond=208



<u>SW-2</u>

- Top Dp-> Water=60.3cm
- Depth at DP=4cm (small pool)
- Temp=11.25 Cond=455us



<u>SW-3</u>

- Top Dp-> Water=49.5cm
- Depth at DP=18.5cm
- Temp=10.5 Cond=305us



<u>SW-4</u>

- Top Dp-> Water=59.5cm
- Depth at DP=5.5cm
- Temp=9.5 Cond=98us


<u>SW-5</u>

- Top Dp-> Water=60.2cm
- Depth at DP=8.5cm
- Temp=11.9 Cond=64us



<u>SW-6</u>

- Top Dp-> Water=30.5m
- Depth at DP=31.5cm
- Temp=9.5 Cond=58us



<u>SW-7</u>

- Top Dp-> Water=52.4cm
- Depth at DP=16cm
- Temp=10.2 Cond=310us



<u>SW-8</u>

- Top Dp-> Water=37cm
- Depth at DP=19.5cm
- Temp=7.3 Cond=120us



<u>SW-9</u>

- Top Dp-> Water=54.4cm
- Depth at DP=8cm
- Temp=10.3 Cond=132us



<u>SW-10</u>

- Top Dp-> Water=53.2cm
- Depth at DP= 7.9cm
- Temp=7.7 Cond=125



<u>SW-11</u>

- Top Dp-> Water= 48.8cm
- Depth at DP=10.5cm
- Temp=7.8 Cond=264us



<u>SW-12</u>

- Top Dp-> Water=50.3cm
- Depth at DP=10.4cm
- Temp=7.5 Cond=154us



<u>SW-13</u>

- Top Dp-> Water=53.5m
- Depth at DP=8.5cm
- Temp=7.5 Cond=82us0



<u>SW-14</u>

- Top Dp-> Water=39.5cm
- Depth at DP=27.2cm
- Temp= 8.6 Cond=80us



<u>SW-15</u>

- Top Dp-> Water=36.5cm
- Depth at DP=25cm
- Temp=9.3 Cond=77us



<u>SW-16</u>

- Top Dp-> Water=62cm
- Depth at DP=7cm
- Temp= 8.5 Cond=153us



<u>SW-17</u>

- Top Dp-> Water=58cm
- Depth at DP=7.5cm
- Temp= 7.8 Cond=6us



<u>SW-18</u>

- Top Dp-> Water=36.3cm
- Depth at DP=21.6cm
- Temp= 7.3 Cond=46us










































































Appendix F

Tow Path Drain Surface Water Monitoring



SG-101 (October 23, 2023)

Photo taken at 12:00 facing to the North.



Photo taken at 10:20 facing the West.

SG-103 (October 23, 2023)



Photo taken at 10:50 facing the West.



Photo taken at 11:40 facing the South.



























Appendix G

Supporting Information



DRAFT PLAN			
OF SUBDIVISION			
	PRIMON	T	
(THOR	OLD / WELL	_AND)	INC.
PART OF TOWNSHIP LOTS 174 AND 228, GEOGRAPHIC TOWNSHIP OF THOROLD IN THE CITY OF WELLAND, REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF NIAGARA			
20 10 0	20 20	40	60 metre
MERRITT ROAD		MERRITT R	DAD
ROSEWOOD CRESCENT	SORATACT ROAD (ALSO KNOWN AS FIRST AVE)		NIAGARA STRFET
QUAKER RO	AD		ER ROAD
RICE RO			
I	KEY MAP - NOT TO S	CALE	I
UNDER SECTION 51 (17) OF THE PLANNING ACT, R.S.O. 1990. c.P.13 AS AMENDED FEBRUARY 21, 2024 (a) - AS SHOWN (b) - AS SHOWN (c) - AS SHOWN (d) - AS LISTED BELOW (e) - AS SHOWN (f) - AS SHOWN (g) - AS SHOWN (h) - MUNICIPAL WATER (i) - CLAY LOAM (j) - AS SHOWN (k) - MUNICIPAL SANITARY AND STORM SEWERS (i) - CLAY LOAM (j) - AS SHOWN (k) - MUNICIPAL SANITARY AND STORM SEWERS (i) - AS SHOWN (k) - MUNICIPAL SANITARY AND STORM SEWERS (i) - AS SHOWN (k) - MUNICIPAL SANITARY AND STORM SEWERS (i) - AS SHOWN (k) - MUNICIPAL SANITARY AND STORM SEWERS (i) - AS SHOWN (k) - MUNICIPAL SANITARY AND THEIR RELATIONSHIP TO THE ADJACENT LANDS ARE ACCURATELY AND CORRECTLY SHOWN. SIGNED ACTE DATE DATE DATE LAND USE SCHEDULE LAND USE SCHEDULE LAND USE SCHEDULE LAND USE SCHEDULE			
RESIDENTIAL (FREEHOLD)	19, 20-24, 26, 29, & 33	245-275±	6.127± Ha
RESIDENTIAL (CONDOMINIUM	BLOCK 1	44±	1.167± Ha
REBILIENPEALSIT	P BLOCK 30	422±	1.109± Ha.
PARK LAND	BLOCK 17		0.749± Ha
OPEN SPACE	BLOCKS 6, 15, 25		0.101± Ha
STORM WATER MANAGEMENT	BLOCKS 7, 18, & 31		1.808± Ha.
ENVIRONMENTA AREA	BLOCKS 27 & 28		14.547± H
CHANNEL	BLOCKS 8 & 9		0.592± Ha
ROADS, RESERVES	8,7877778777877877878787878787878787878	,	3.937± Ha
& WIDENINGS	00, 07 0 00	711-741±	30.118+ H
2	UPDATED STORMWATER RI OCY	 S_JUNF 13	. 2024 IKI
2 1	ORIGINAL DRAWING	MARCH	, 2024 N 15,2024 K

METRIC NOTE: DISTANCES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE IN METRES AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO FEET BY DIVIDING BY 0.3048 REVISIONS A.T. McLaren Limited LEGAL AND ENGINEERING SURVEYS

69 JOHN STREET SOUTH, SUITE 230 HAMILTON, ONTARIO, L8N 2B9 PHONE (905) 527–8559 FAX (905) 527–0032

Drawn Checked Crew Chief Scale KM RBM SM 1:1000 Dwg.No. 36920-DP



Salembier; 2024-04-05 12:01:02 PM P:/2022/0091/10/05-D56N/CINL/Storm/SDRP/2022-0091-10 SDRP; Layont1; None; Eric

APPENDIX H

Drawings